พันธุ์ต้านทานข้าวที่มีต่อเพลี้ยกระโดดหลังขาว

Varietel Resistance of Rice to Whitebacked Planthopper,

Sogetella furcifera (Horvath)

วาสนา พันธุ์เพ็ง 1 และ อวบ สารถ้อย 2

Vasana Punpeng and Ouab Sarnthoy

ABSTRACT

Varietal resistance of rice to whitebacked planthopper, Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) was evaluated and carried out for its resistance mechanism. From screening test of 244 rice varieties and lines by host preference, antibiosis and tolerance test methods were used. It was found that among test varieties and lines, only ARC5752 /WC1240, Ptb33, Chempan, Sonpatter 45 and ADR 52 were indicated resistant to whitebacked planthopper. Resistance of all resistant rice varieties and lines were evaluated as bothnon-preference and antibiosis togethers but not tolerent one, Non-preference feeding for nymphs was found affected for egg oviposition in resistant varieties and lines of rice.

บทคัดย่อ

ได้ทำการประเมินพันธุ์ต้านทานของข้าวที่มีต่อเพลี้ยกระโดดหลังขาว เพื่อหากลไกของ ความต้านทานจากการคัดเลือกพันธุ์ข้าว 244 สายพันธุ์ โดยวิธีการทดสอบการชอบพืชอาหาร การมีผลชงักงันต่อการเจริญเติบโต และความสมบูรณ์ และการทนทานต่อการลงทำลายของ แมลง พบว่าในจำนวนพันธุ์และสายพันธุ์ข้าวที่ทดสอบมีเพียง ARCS152, WS1240, Ptb33, Chempan, Sonpattar 45 และ ADR52 เท่านั้นที่พบว่ามีความต้านทานต่อเพลี้ยกระโดดหลัง ขาว กลไกของพันธุ์และสายพันธุ์ข้าวทั้งหมดที่พบว่าต้านทานมีลักษณะความต้านทานแบบ การไม่ชอบพืชอาหารและการมีผลชงักงันต่อการเจริญเติบโตและความสมบูรณ์ของแมลงควบคู่ กันทั้งสองอย่าง แต่ไม่ใช่ลักษณะความของความทนทานต่อการทำลายของแมลงลักษณะ

¹ ศูนย์วิจัยข้าวปทุมธานี สถาบันวิจัยข้าว กรมวิชาการเกษตร

Pathum Thani Rice Research Center, Rice Research Institute, Department of Agriculture.

² ภาควิชากีฏวิทยา คณะเกษตร มหาวิทยาลัยเกษตรศาสตร์

Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Kasetsart University.

ของการไม่ชอบดูดกินพืชอาหารของตัวอ่อน พบว่า มีผลต่อการไม่ชอบวางไข่ของตัวโตเต็มวัย บนพันธุ์และสายพันธุ์ข้าวที่ต้านทานด้วย

Introduction

The whitebacked planthopper, Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) isconsidered as a common rice insect pest in Thailand and others AsianCountries (Mochida, 1982) The tremendous increases in population of this insect were due to the use of brown planthopper resistant rice variety (Ruay Aree, 1984), also including the application of the synthetic insecticides in the paddy field which causes harmful affected the keynatural enemies of planthoppers. Future control strategies will be depended on appropriate combinations of varietal resistance, cultural control, conservation of important natural enemies, and judicious use of insecticides (Way, 1976). However, the progress of studies on the resistance of crop varieties to planthoppers has resulted in serious problems involved in screening. To reduce times, labor and space, the techniques for screening have to be developed and used as a standard procedure.

Objective

The objectives of this proposed study were included:

- 1. To screening the varietal resistance of rice for the whitebacked planth oppers.
- 2. To determine the mechanism of resistance for whitebackedplanthopper.

Materials and Methods

The whitebacked planthoppers were mass reared and multiplied under the greenhouse condition at Pathum Thani Rice Research Center. Thefield collected insects were examined for their viruliferous by employed the seedling method (Nasu, 1961; Ling, 1968). The only free virus insectswere confined and reared on 60 days old Taichung Native 1 which grown in 12cm dismeter clay plots. Four of the potted plants were placed inside awooden rearing cage (45 x 45 x 135 cm) with a glass plate at the front side but on the top and other three sides were made with a fine mesh nylon cloth and mesh wire.

Screening for Varietal Resistance

The total of 244 rice varieties were used as the material in this experimental screening test. These rice (Oryza sativa L.) varieties andlines seed were obtained from the World Germplasm Bank which maintianed at the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Germplasm Bank of Rice at Pathum Thani Rice Research Center and

lowland rice at Pathum Thani RiceResearch Center, Thanyaburi, Thailand. The mass screening techniques andthe plant damage evaluation were done on the basis of Standard EvaluationSystem (SES) for rice by using whiteback planthopper index (IRRI, 1980). This mass screening test for varietal resistance of S. furcifera was conductunder the greenhouse condition at Pathum Thani Rice Research Center.

Retesting of Selected Varieties

The rice varieties which showing resistance in the preliminaryscreening test were reevaluated following as the same technique of screening as described above. The mass screening was done to reject the bulk of susceptible lines, each selected varieties were replicated threetimes. Aside from the grade to be noted, the number of an insect present oneach tested variety at 24 hours or more after infestation were recorded, and the damage which they caused at 5 days intervals were also recorded.

Mechanism of Resitance

Mechanism of resistance which included non-preference antibiosis and tolerance of selected rice varieties and line from the mass screening were conducted for S.furcifera under the greenhouse and the field condition. The resistance's level were compared and analyzed based on the criteria of IRRI (1978, 1980, 1981).

Results and Discussion

Host Preference

Fram the results of experiment (Table 1) it was shown that at sixhours after infestation, the number of whitebacked planthopper (WBPH) nymphs feeding on resistant varieties and susceptible check were nonsignificantly different, except the number of nymphs on ARC 5752 and chempan which significantly less than susceptible check (TNI). One and three days after infestation, there were more nymph on NTI, the susceptiblecheck than on the resistant varieties. At five days after infestation, thesusceptible TNI was found to wilt and nymphs moved to varieties that they preferred. Seven days after infestation the number of WBPH nymph on susceptible check TNI were significantly less than resistant varieties and lines, since the susceptible check variety had found severely damaged. The resistant varieties were only shown slightly damaged even when more insects moved to them from the susceptible variety that has been killed. The white backed planthopper nymphs were preferred susceptible more than resistant. It was also indicated that after four days infestation of WBPH adults, the

number of eggs on the resistant varieties were found significantly less than susceptible check TNI (Table 2).

Antibiosis

The percent survival of first instar nymphs and nymphaldevelopment of whitebacked planthopper were indicated that from five toeleven days after infestation the percent insect survival on resistantentries were decreased when the day's number increased and significantly less than susceptible TNI. On the resistant varieties, the developing time from nymph to adult was also significantly longer than on the susceptible TNI (Table 3). Amount of honeydew excretion by the whitebacked planth opper after feeding on resistant and susceptible rice varieties were detected. After feeding of female adults, on the resistant rice varieties there werehoneydew excretion area more significantly less than on susceptible TNI, especially the less area on the resistant variety WC 1240 only 0.044 mm²(Table 4).

Tolerance

The tolerance of the tested rice varieties and lines to white backed planthopper was observed, the experimental results were obtained (Table 7). From the obtained results, it was indicated that eitherthe number of insect fed per rice hill, rice hopperburn percent or riceyield in term of harvestable seed yield (kg/rai) were shown significantly different among tested rice varieties and lines. The tolerance mechanismwas not able to detected, since the number of infested insect on resistantrice varieties and lines were drastically decreased in number as compared to the susceptible check Taichung Native 1 rice variety. The lowest ricehopperburn percentage were observed on Suduru Samba (0.00 %), chempan (5.00 %), ARC 5752 (5.17 %) and WC 1240 (9.33 %) in Compared with susceptible TaichungNative 1 (100 %).

Conclusion

From the experiment results, it is concluded that the adults andnymphs of whitebacked planthopper did not like to feed on resistantvarieties and adults did not also like to lay eggs. Among the tested ricevarieties and lines, varieties that non-preference for an insects were indicated as ARC 5752, WC 1240, Pht 33, Chempan, Sanpattar 45 and ADR 52. These six resistant varieties were indicated their resistance mechanism asantibiosis together with non-preference mechanism resistant one.

Table 1. Fooding preference of Sogotelln furcifora (Horvnth) mymph on different tested rice varieties and lines and their resistant level.

No. of	No. of WBPH nymphs (nymphs/20 seedlings)					
Varieties and lines						
	6 hrs. 1	day 3 da	nys 5 days	7 days	level	
ARC5752	241 a	253 ab	237.14 b	206 bcd	162 bcd	1.00 a ¹
WC1240	287 abc	262 ab	254.58 bcd	214 ab	178 cd	1.00 a
Ptb33	294 abc	274 abc	268.53 bcd	233 bce	184 de	1.50 ab
BKNLR78007-R-R-PSL-3-4	311 bc	325 e	320.63 f	295 f	261 g	3.50 c
Chempan	253 ab	249 ab	242.66 bc	218 abc	154 bc	1.00 a
BKNLR78007-R-R-PSL-3-8	297 abc	318 de	295.80 ef	290 f	248 fg	3.50 c
Sonpattar 45	324 c	304 cde	292.42 ef	265 e	232 f	3.00 b
ADR52	308 bc	282 bcd	274.16 de	241 cde	207 e	2.00 b
Suduru Samba	276 abc	237 a	211.35 a	197 a	142 b	1.00 a
(resistant check)						
TNI	331 с	458 f	4 25.60 g	252 ce	56 a	9.00 d
(susceptible check)						
C.V.(%)	12.9	9.0	1.3	6.8	9.1	17.6

^{1/} In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT

Table 2 Average number of adults Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) and number of eggs found on tested rice varieties and lines on 4 days after Infestation.

No. of Varieties or lines	WBPH adults, days after infestation			Number of WBPH adults avderage 4 day		Number of WBPH eggs 4 day after	
	1 hour	1 day	2 days	3 days	4 days	(insects/plant)	infestation
ARC5752	19 ab	8 a	4.94 a	7.50 a	2.75 a	5.8	532 ab ¹ /
WC1240	17 a	11 a	7.99 ab	8.00 a	6.75 abc	8.4	580 ab
Ptb33	22 ab	14 a	9.01 a	7.50 a	5.50 ab	9.0	691 ab
BKNLR78007-R-R-PSL-3-4	23 ab	37 b	18.56 bc	30.00 b	27.25 de	28.2	1656 d
Chempan	11 a	8 a	4.01 a	3.50 a	5.25 ab	5.2	403 a
BKNLR78007-R-R-PSL-3-8	21 ab	31 b	21.94 bc	24.50 b	19.25 cde	24.2	1031 bc
Sonpattar 45	18 a	12 a	12.35 abc	13.75 a	16.00 bcd	13.5	861 ab
ADR52	21 ab	13 a	11.11 ab	11.75 a	19.50 cde	13.8	740 ab
Suduru Samba	21 ab	10 a	4.45 a	6.25 a	2.75 a	5.9	678 ab
(resistant check)							
TNI	32 b	53 e	32.17 e	30.75 ь	30.75 e	36.7	1387 ce
(susceptible check)							
C.V.(%)	41.6	31.8	27.5	48.3	59.9		37.4

^{1/} In a colum, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT.

Table 3. Percentage of mymph survival and developmental period (days) from the first instar mymphs to adults of whitebacked planthopper Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) on the rice varieties and lines.

	Survival (%) (days after infestation)							Nymphal
Varietits and lines	1 day	3 days	5 days	7 days	 9 days 11	- days	survival of insects	stage (days)
ARC5752	97.50 a	85.00 ab	72.50 abc	66.25 abc	57.50 ab	51.25 abc	46.25 abc	18.00 b ¹ /
WC1240	100.00 a	88.75 abc	75.00 bc	68.75 bc	60.00 abc	53.75 abc	51.25 bcd	12.63 a
Ptb33	98.75 a	90.00 abcd	78.75 bc	72.50 bc	63.75 bcd	55.00 abcd	52.50 bcd	15.88 b
BKNLR78007-R-R-PSL-3-4	98.75 a	95.00 cd	83.75 bcd	78.50 cd	72.50 cd	66.25 de	45.00 ab	10.00 a
Chempan	100.00 a	87.50 abc	71.25 ab	62.50 ab	56.25 ab	48. 75 ab	41.25 a	18.38 b
BKNLR78007-R-R-PSL-3-8	98.75 a	96.25 cd	86.25 cd	81.25 cd	75.00 d	68.75 e	60.00 d	10.38 a
Sonpattar 45	98.75 a	93.75 bcd	81.25 bcd	76.25 bc	68.75 bcd			
ADR52	97.52 a	91.25 abcd	82.50 bcd	70.00 bc	66.25 bcd	58.75 bcde	5 6.2 5 d	18.00 b
Suduru Samba	96.25 a	82.50 a	60.00 a	52.50 a	48.7 5 a	45.00 a	41.25 a	18.50 b
(resistant check)								
TNI	100 a	98.75 d	95 d	93.75 d	90.00 e	87.50 f	83.75 e	10.00 a
(susceptible check)								
C.V.(%)	2.7	6.5	11	13.3	12.9	12.8		11.9

^{1/} In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT

Table 4 Honeydew excretion by three-day adult whitebacked planthopper Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) eighteen hours after infestation on the rice plants.

Varieties and lines	Honeydew excretion (millimetre) 2	
ARC5752	2.31 a ¹ /	
WC1240	0.04 a	
Ptb33	1.89 a	
BKNLR78007-R-R-PSL-3	3-4 13.34 ab	
Chempan	4.13 a	
BKNLR78007-R-R-PSL-3	18.34 bc	
Sonpattar 45	4.6 3 a	
ADR52	0.6 5 a	
Suduru Samba (resistant check	o.47 a	
TNI (susceptible check)	30.38 с	
C.V. (%)	115.90	

^{1/} In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT

Table 5 Number of insects, rice hopperburn percentage and yield of rice plants after infestation by whitebacked planthopper Sogatella furcifera (Horvath).

	No of insects after	infestation		
	35 pairs of adults	whitebacked		
	planthopper/25 ric	e hills	Rice	
Varieties and lines			hopperburn	Rice Yield
	20 days	40 days	(%)	(kg/rai)
ARC5752	10.78 al	o 10.55 ab	5.17 b	615.83 ab ¹ /
WC1240	20.37 al	bc 17.89 bc	9.33 c	534.42 bcd
Ptb33	16.30 al	bc 17.37 bc	13.33 d	502.83 cd
BKNLR78007-R-	R-PSL-3-4 31.15 c	131.11 e	66.00 g	443.02 d
Chempan	5.30 a	9.56 ab	5.00 b	644.27 a
BKNLR78007-R-	R-PSL-3-823.67 b	c 126.22 e	62.67 g	440.29 d
Sonpattar 45	19.29 a	bc 83.48 d	44. 33 f	458.68 d
ADR52	9.70 a	b 21.48 c	35.00 e	481.40 cd
Suduru Samba	6.19 a	7.15 a	0.00 a	584.10 abc
(resistant check)				
TNI	31.11 c	380.30 f	100.00 b	58.73 e
(susceptible check)				
C.V. (%)	48.5	6.8	5.8	11.9

^{1/} In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT

Literature Cited

International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). 1978). Sources of resistant, whitebacked planthopper, p. 63-64. In Annyual Report for 1977. Int. Rice Res. Inst., Los Banos. Philippines.

p. 234. In Annual Report for 1979. Int. Rice Res. Inst., Los Banos, Philippines.

- International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). 1981. Collaborative research on varietal resistance to the brown planthopper. Int. Rice Res. Inst., Las Banos, Laguna, Philippines (Mimeographed)
- Ling, K.G. 1968. Virus diseases of rice plant. Int. Rice Res. Inst. Las Banos. Philippines. 52 p.
- Mochida, 0. 1982. Whitebacked planthopper, Sogatella furcifera (Horvath), problem on rice in Asia, Paper presented in IRRI Saturday Seminar, June 5, 1982. IRRI, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines, (Mimeographed).
- Nasu, S. 1961. A method for test vectors of rice virus diseases. Proc. Assoc. Plant Prot. Kyushi 23:213-215.
- Ruay-aree, S. 1984. The relationship between brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens (Stal) and whitebacked planthopper, Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) on rice varieties RD7 and RD23 and their immigrant population in the rice field. M.S.Thesis, Kasetsart Univ., Bangkok. 123 p.
- Way, M.J. 1976. Entomology and the world food situation. Bull. Entomol. Soc. Amer. 22(2): 125-129.