

Heat Stress Impedes Development and Lowers Fecundity of the Brown Planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål)

Jiranan Piyaphongkul, Jeremy Pritchard, Jeff Bale*

School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, West Midlands, United Kingdom

Abstract

This study investigated the effects of sub-lethal high temperatures on the development and reproduction of the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål). When first instar nymphs were exposed at their ULT₅₀ (41.8°C) mean development time to adult was increased in both males and females, from 15.2±0.3 and 18.2±0.3 days respectively in the control to 18.7±0.2 and 19±0.2 days in the treated insects. These differences in development arising from heat stress experienced in the first instar nymph did not persist into the adult stage (adult longevity of 23.5±1.1 and 24.4±1.1 days for treated males and females compared with 25.7±1.0 and 20.6±1.1 days in the control groups), although untreated males lived longer than untreated females. Total mean longevity was increased from 38.8±0.1 to 43.4±1.0 days in treated females, but male longevity was not affected (40.9±0.9 and 42.2±1.1 days respectively). When male and female first instar nymphs were exposed at their ULT₅₀ of 41.8°C and allowed to mate on reaching adult, mean fecundity was reduced from 403.8±13.7 to 128.0±16.6 eggs per female in the treated insects. Following exposure of adult insects at their equivalent ULT₅₀ (42.5°C), the three mating combinations of treated male x treated female, treated male x untreated female, and untreated male x treated female produced 169.3±14.7, 249.6±21.3 and 233.4±17.2 eggs per female respectively, all significantly lower than the control. Exposure of nymphs and adults at their respective ULT₅₀ temperatures also significantly extended the time required for their progeny to complete egg development for all mating combinations compared with control. Overall, sub-lethal heat stress inhibited nymphal development, lowered fecundity and extended egg development time.

Citation: Piyaphongkul J, Pritchard J, Bale J (2012) Heat Stress Impedes Development and Lowers Fecundity of the Brown Planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål). PLoS ONE 7(10): e47413. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047413

Editor: Andrew Wilby, University of Lancaster, United Kingdom

Received: June 16, 2012; **Accepted:** September 13, 2012; **Published:** October 11, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Piyaphongkul et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work is funded by the Royal Thai Government and School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: J.S.Bale@bham.ac.uk

Introduction

The effects of climate change on organisms and ecological communities are a highly topical issue. Insects are a taxon with limited ability to regulate their body temperature and are thus directly impacted by both prevailing weather and longer term climate change. Research on insect-climate interactions has focused on the measurement of thermal thresholds and lethal limits ([1], [2], [3], [4]), responses to manipulated conditions representing different scenarios of climate warming ([5], [6], [7], [8]) and shifts in distributions or changes in phenology detected through analyses of long term datasets ([9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]). In general, more is known about the low temperature ecophysiology of insects ([16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23]) than the effects of high temperatures, though upper thermal limits have been measured for a number of species ([24], [25], [26], [27], [28]). Also, whilst many studies have measured critical thermal thresholds at both low ([20], [23], [29], [30], [31]) and high temperatures ([2], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36]), less is known about the impacts of sub-lethal thermal stress on surviving individuals, though effects on development and reproduction have been reported ([37], [38], [39], [40], [41]). Climate change can affect terrestrial ectothermic species by modifying the structure of their physical environment, and by the associated changes in the thermal regime or temperature profile of the habitat ([42], [43], [44]). The mechanistic link between the biophysical environment

and individual performance will directly affect demographic (e.g. survivorship, growth and reproduction) and population level phenomena (e.g. density and age structure) ([45]). Thus, a central issue in insect ecophysiology is how environmental factors such as temperature affect physiological performance ([1], [46], [47], [48], [49]). Temperature has a direct effect on the growth and development of insects ([50], [51], [52], [53], [54], [55]). The temperature-development relationship is approximately linear, increasing progressively to a maximum level beyond which the rate decreases and the response curve becomes markedly asymmetrical through the effects of heat stress and approaching lethality ([21], [56], [57], [58], [59], [60]). In addition, both longevity and fecundity of insects reach a maximum at species-specific optimum temperatures and more or less symmetrically decrease at both the lower and upper limits of tolerance ([61], [62]). Understanding the behavioural and physiological responses of insects to thermal stress will inform predictions about how climate warming could affect distributions, changes in pest status, and the likelihood of species extinctions ([63]). A number of studies have investigated the effects of temperature on development and fecundity e.g. *Nilaparvata lugens* ([64], [65], [66], [67], [68], [69], [70]), small brown planthopper *Laodelphax striatellus* ([38], [71], [72]), the butterfly *Pararge aegeria* ([73]) and the pea leafminer *Liriomyza huidobrensis* ([74]).

The brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (Order Hemiptera; Family Delphacidae) is the most serious rice pest in Asia, affecting a wide range of economically important rice crops that arose from the green revolution ([75], [76], [77], [78], [79]). *Nilaparvata lugens* is 'sucking pest' which removes sap from the xylem and phloem tissues of the rice stem ([80]). Severely damaged rice plants desiccate through the effects of feeding and ovipositor damage, a condition known as 'hopper burn' ([81]). *Nilaparvata lugens* is also a vector of rice virus diseases, such as 'grassy stunt' ([75], [82], [83], [84]). *Nilaparvata lugens* populations fluctuate in response to changing environmental conditions, both physical (abiotic) and biotic, and can lead to pest outbreaks ([85]). In general, *N. lugens* is endemic to the Asian sub-tropical region, though its range can expand temporarily every summer as far north as Japan and Korea through long-distance migrations from the tropics ([75], [86]). As tropical species experience less seasonal variation in temperature they generally have narrower thermal tolerances compared with temperate species ([87], [88], [89]).

Much of the previous research on *N. lugens* has focused on the effect of rearing at different constant or variable temperatures on development and fecundity ([69], [90]) and on the impact of variation in the dietary composition of resistant cultivars on reproductive output ([68], [75], [91]). By comparison, the effects of sub-lethal heat stress on development and reproduction have received little attention but are likely to become more important in a scenario of climate warming. The mean summer day time high temperature in China varies from 37 to 41°C ([92]) and can rise to 50°C in some sub-tropical countries ([93]). Temperatures in this range are of interest because a recent study on *N. lugens* [28] found that nymphs were less heat tolerant than adults and concluded that in some parts of its distribution and under current climatic regimes, juvenile stages of *N. lugens* could become immobilised through heat stress and might be killed by high temperature exposure. However, even though insects may survive thermal stress, there may be sub-lethal effects on key processes that would impact negatively on population abundance, and hence the pest status of species such as the brown plant hopper. This raises the interesting question of whether insects living in tropical areas are sufficiently heat tolerant to survive under current conditions and if they can also adapt to the more stressful climatic regimes that may be experienced in the future.

Using knowledge gained on the upper lethal temperatures of nymphal and adult *N. lugens*, this study investigated the effects of sub-lethal high temperatures applied at different life cycle stages on the subsequent development, reproduction and longevity.

Results

Effect of Sub-lethal High Temperatures on Development and Longevity

When first instar nymphs were exposed at their UL_{T50} of 41.8°C mean times required to complete nymphal development increased from 15.2±0.3 (n = 31) and 18.2±0.2 (n = 19) days for male and female nymphs to 18.7±0.2 (n = 21) and 19.0±0.2 (n = 29) days respectively in the treated insects. Exposure at the first instar increased the longevity of adult females (from 20.6±1.1 to 24.4±1.0 days), but adult males were unaffected (longevity of 25.7±1.0 and 23.5±1.1 days for control and treated insects); however, mean development time of treated males was shorter than that for the control males. Mean total longevity was also increased in female insects (from 38.8±1.0 to 43.4±1.0 days), but the lifespan of male insects was similar between the control and treated males (40.9±0.9 and 42.2±1.1 days).

The increase in mean development time from nymph to adult after exposure at the UL_{T50} was significant ($F_{1, 96} = 64.641$, $p < 0.001$), with a difference between the sexes ($F_{1, 96} = 35.676$, $p < 0.001$) and in the interaction between the temperature treatment and sex ($F_{1, 96} = 25.398$, $p < 0.001$). By comparison, there was no difference in adult longevity between the control and treated groups ($F_{1, 96} = 0.525$, $p = 0.470$), nor between the sexes ($F_{1, 96} = 3.615$, $p = 0.060$), but the interaction between the temperature treatment and sex was significant ($F_{1, 96} = 7.342$, $p = 0.008$). There was a significant effect of temperature on total longevity ($F_{1, 96} = 8.764$, $p = 0.004$), but no difference between the sexes ($F_{1, 96} = 0.236$, $p = 0.628$), nor in the interaction between the treatment and sex ($F_{1, 96} = 2.645$, $p = 0.107$).

The range of times required for nymphs to complete development to adult is shown in Figure 1A and 1B. Whilst the overall range of treated males (17–20 days) and treated females (16–21 days) was similar to that of the control groups (13–19 days for males and 17–20 days for females), within these ranges, the treated insects generally took longer to complete nymphal development in both males ($F_{1, 50} = 66.247$, $p < 0.001$) and females ($F_{1, 46} = 6.959$, $p = 0.011$).

The impact of exposure of first instar nymphs at the UL_{T50} temperature on development persisted into the adult stage; whilst the range of adult lifespans were again similar for treated females (8–31 days) and controls (13–30 days), the treated insects lived longer ($F_{1, 46} = 5.950$, $p = 0.019$, Figure 2B). However, treated males did not live as long as the control group (10–30 days and 14–35 days respectively, $F_{1, 50} = 1.968$, $p = 0.167$, Figure 2A).

Effect of Sub-lethal High Temperatures on Fecundity

Treated nymphs vs treated adults. After exposure at the UL_{T50} of 41.8 and 42.5°C at the first instar and adult stage respectively, mean egg production per female decreased from 403.8±13.7 in the untreated control to 128.0±16.6 (treated nymph male x treated nymph female) and 169.3±14.7 (treated male x treated female) ($F_{2, 57} = 62.120$, $p < 0.001$, Figure 3), with a range of 267–627 eggs per female in the control, 34–317 in the treated nymph group and 84–326 in the treated adult group. Overall, mean egg production was most reduced when insects were exposed as first instar nymphs (31.7% of control group), than when both sexes were exposed as adults (reduction to 41.9% of control). However, there was no difference in mean egg production between treated nymph male x treated nymph female and treated male x treated female ($p = 0.278$).

Treated adult mating combinations. For the three mating combinations after exposure of adults at the UL_{T50} of 42.5°C the mean number of eggs produced per female were: 169.3±14.7 (treated male x treated female, range 84–326), 249.6±21.3 (treated male x untreated female, range 75–436) and 233.4±17.2 (untreated male x treated female, range 94–412); $F_{3, 76} = 25.470$, with all adult mating combinations producing significantly fewer viable eggs than the control, $p < 0.001$, Figure 4). Overall mean egg production was most reduced when both sexes had been exposed as adults (reduction to 41.9% of control), with less affect when only one sex was exposed as an adult (61.8% for treated male and 57.8% for treated female compared with the control group).

Nilaparvata lugens produced viable eggs in all mating groups that included insects exposed at their respective UL_{T50} temperatures (Figure 5). However, for all the treatment groups there was some delay until the first egg hatched and the range of egg development times was also extended in all the treated groups: 11–16 days for treated nymphs, 10–21 days for treated adult male and female, 11–16 days for treated male x untreated female, 10–16 days for




Figure 1. Range of development times for the nymphal stages of *Nilaparvata lugens* after exposure at the ULT₅₀. N = 50 for control (31 male and 19 female) and treatment (21 male and 29 female) groups. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047413.g001

untreated male x treated female, compared with 9–14 days in the control; all treated groups were significantly different to the control ($F_{4, 95} = 10.616$, $p < 0.001$), but there was no difference between any of the treated groups.

Discussion

Climate change operates on a global scale with wide-ranging and interrelated impacts across the social-economic-environmental interface ([94]). A greater understanding of the effects of climate warming on agricultural and natural ecosystems will inform policies aimed at mitigating risks, particularly with regard to ectothermic organisms for which temperature is an important determinant of development, survival and distribution ([54], [55], [95], [96], [97]). Insects have evolved a range of behavioural, physiological and biochemical adaptations to survive both seasonal and more acute fluctuations in temperature ([49]), but there are limits above and below which species cannot survive. A recent study with the brown plant hopper *Nilaparvata lugens* found that around 50% of first instar nymphs were killed by a brief exposure at 41.8°C (ULT₅₀) and a similar proportion of adults at 42.5°C; both life cycle stages were immobilized by heat stress at lower temperatures ([28]). Whilst lethal temperatures provide estimates of the limits to survival, it cannot be assumed that individuals that survive at temperatures close to these limits are unaffected by the exposure ([98]) This study focused on the effects of sub-lethal high temperature exposure on the development and reproduction of *N. lugens*, a major pest of rice in tropical Asia.

After exposure of first instar nymphs at the ULT₅₀ of 41.8°C development time to adult was significantly increased in both male

and female *N. lugens*. The combination of nymphal development time and adult longevity resulted in an overall extension of the total life span of females but not males. A number of studies that have shown that males and females of several insect species differ in absolute performance capacities (e.g. consumption of resources, locomotor ability, duration of stress tolerance) when living under favourable (i.e. non-stressful) conditions ([48], [99], [100], [101]). As temperature is known to have a major influence on various ‘rate-based’ processes in ectotherms ([48]), the data suggest that there may be inherent differences in the thermal biology of males and females, or that they are differentially affected by exposure to high temperature. The results from this study also support the view that sub-lethal high temperatures can have a negative impact on insect development, especially at temperatures close to the upper thermal limit ([7], [102], [103]). The physiological explanation for impeded development following high temperature stress may be related to deleterious effects on respiratory metabolism ([104], [105], [106], [107], [108], [109]) or interference with the synthesis of hormones involved in the moulting process ([37], [110]).

As the eggs of *N. lugens* are laid in plant tissue, it is not possible to determine accurately the number of viable eggs laid, as some eggs would be destroyed when dissected out of the rice stems. Emergence of first instar nymphs was therefore used as an indicator of reproductive output. High temperature stress exerted a number of sub-lethal effects on reproduction in *N. lugens*: fewer nymphs emerged from eggs, the period of egg development was extended, and some nymphs were unable to moult to the second instar. An important factor that may contribute to the negative effects of high temperature stress on both development and reproduction in *N. lugens* concerns the role of the intracellular




Figure 2. Range of development times for adults of *Nilaparvata lugens* after exposure as first instar nymphs at the ULT₅₀. N = 50 for control and treated groups (gender ratio as in Figure 1). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047413.g002




Figure 3. Mean number of eggs per female after exposure of first instar nymphs and adults of *Nilaparvata lugens* at their ULT₅₀. N = 20 pairs for each mating combination. Mean values with the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05 level. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047413.g003




Figure 4. Mean number of eggs per female after exposure of adults of *Nilaparvata lugens* at their ULT₅₀. N=20 pairs for each mating combination. Mean values with the same letter are not significantly different at p<0.05 level. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047413.g004




Figure 5. Range of egg development times after exposure of first instar nymphs and adults of *Nilaparvata lugens* at their ULT₅₀. N=20 pairs for each mating combination. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047413.g005

yeast-like symbiotes (YLS). In *N. lugens* and *L. striatellus* the YLS are contained in the fat body and transmitted transovarially between generations ([67]). The YLS are reported to play an important role in the abdominal segmentation and differentiation of planthopper embryos ([66]) and synthesise essential amino acids (that are vital for normal development) to compensate for variable amino acid availability in different plant hosts ([70]). Exposure of newly hatched nymphs of *L. striatellus* for 3 days at 35°C reduced the number of YLS by approximately 90% ([71]). The same treatment applied to nymphs of *N. lugens* for 3 days destroyed the YLS which in turn impeded development and ecdysis ([111]). Similarly, exposure at 32°C of 3 day-old adult females of *N. lugens* containing fully developed ovaries reduced the number of YLS and lowered fecundity ([64], [112]).

In a study on the pine false webworm *Acantholyda erythrocephala*, eggs failed to hatch at around 30°C ([113]). It is possible that the secretion of hormones from neurosecretory cells associated with egg production is inhibited by a direct heat exposure ([38]), but after transfer to favourable conditions, the reproductive activities are resumed in both males and females, but with a net reduction in overall fecundity. High temperature exposure may also reduce mating success, sperm viability and oviposition, all of which would impact negatively on generation-to-generation population abundance ([114], [115]). Also, whilst the effects of sub-lethal heat stress on *N. lugens* reported here arose from very brief exposures, in nature, the time periods involved would be much longer, unless the insects showed some form of avoidance behaviour. For example, large leaves of the host plants of *Manduca sexta* L. became hotter during the day than smaller leaves such that by selecting smaller leaves for oviposition, the thermal buffering of extreme temperatures would increase egg survival and successful hatching ([116]). A further consideration is that populations reared under laboratory conditions over long periods of time and multiple generations (with periodic refreshment with wild stock) may become increasingly different from natural populations through genetic bottlenecks ([117]). However, as population of *N. lugens* had been in culture for less than two years (and completed 11–12 generations), such effects are unlikely with the studied colony. It is also recognised that the effects of extreme exposures associated with climate change will most likely be revealed over longer term timescales and be subject to important interactions with other physical and biological factors ([118], [119]).

With these provisos in mind, the results from this study can be placed in a wider ecological context. Based on climatic data from various countries across the distribution of *N. lugens*, Piyaphongkul et al. ([28]) concluded that although mean temperatures were generally below the estimated ULT₅₀ values of nymphs (41.8°C) and adults (42.5°C) there were occasional extreme events that would overlap with these lethal temperatures, and that through heat-induced immobility at lower temperatures (at the CT_{max}), insects may not be able to move away from potentially lethal exposure, or as has been identified in this study, deleterious effects of reproduction. When insects are heated (or cooled) at rates that are faster than those experienced in nature, the observed mortality (or other deleterious effects) may be caused by the range of temperatures experienced, the rate of change, the most extreme temperature experienced or a combination of all factors. When adult *N. lugens* were heated at 0.5°C min⁻¹ to determine the ULT₅₀ (42.5°C), no insects were killed until exposure at 42°C ([28]). As the same rate of warming was used in these experiments it seems reasonable to conclude that neither the change in temperature (approximately 20°) nor the rate of increase in temperature are detrimental to survival *per se* – rather, it is the

highest temperature experienced that impedes development and lowers fecundity.

Across the distribution of *N. lugens* in tropical Asia there is considerable variation in winter minimum temperatures and also heat waves and more prolonged ‘hot spells’ in summer ([120]). Extreme temperatures of over 45°C occur over the north-west part of the region during May–June, and several countries in this region have reported increasing surface temperature trends in recent decades. For example, the annual mean surface air temperature in Vietnam, Sri Lanka and India has increased by 0.30–0.57°C per 100 years ([121]). Moreover, regional climate change simulations for the 21st century by Atmosphere–Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs) relative to the baseline period of 1961–1990 suggest that the area-average annual mean surface air temperature over land areas of Asia will be higher by 1.6±0.2°C in the 2020s, 3.1±0.3°C in the 2050s and 4.6±0.4°C in the 2080s as a result of increases in the atmospheric concentration of greenhouse gas emissions ([121], [122]). Importantly, the influence of temperature on insect development is related not only to the daily or monthly mean values, but also to the rate of temperature change that will sometimes include extreme exposures ([103], [119]). Whilst the experiments reported here and the previous study on the lethal and behavioural thermal thresholds ([28]) suggest that *N. lugens* may be adversely affected across parts of its current distribution by high temperature stress and progressive climate warming, for some insects a warmer climate may be beneficial, as has been observed with the range expansion of the coffee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei*) ([123]). As such, the opportunity to benefit from a warmer climate (or not to suffer deleterious effects) lies in part in the difference in temperature between the upper lethal limit (and the range over which sub-lethal effects occur) and prevailing and future climatic regimes, and the ability to exploit new areas where necessary resources are available, but temperature has previously been a barrier to establishment and residency. Indeed, whilst Piyaphongkul et al. ([28]) highlighted areas where *N. lugens* might experience thermal stress under current climates, and would be more likely to do so in warmer climate (unless acclimation occurred), there were also parts of the distribution where winter low temperatures currently prevent year-round survival, but which might become more favourable through climate change.

In summary, the results reported here indicate that the temperatures that kill around 50% of nymphs and adults of *N. lugens* also exert negative effects on development and longevity. The same exposures also lower fecundity through a combination of effects that operate through both of the sexes, in which the greatest effects occur when both males and females have experienced sub-lethal heat stress.

Materials and Methods

Insect Materials

Adults of *N. lugens* were originally collected from the MARDI Research Station at Pulau Pinang in Malaysia. All insects in the stock culture and before and after experiments were reared on rice seedlings, *Oryza sativa* L. cv. TN 1, in cages or perspex boxes covered with 1.22 mm ventilation mesh at 16:8 L:D and 23±0.5°C. Newly-hatched first-instar nymphs (within 48 h of hatching) and unmated adults (30–35 days old) were used in the experiments. All high temperature exposures were carried out in a programmable alcohol bath (Haake Phoenix 11 P2; Thermo Electron Corp., Germany) to an accuracy of ±0.5°C.

To investigate the effects of sub-lethal high temperature on development and fecundity of *N. lugens*, insects were exposed at their upper lethal temperature (ULT₅₀). The ULT is determined

by exposing insects at progressively higher temperatures and recording the mortality at each temperature. The ULT_{50} is the estimated temperature at which 50% of the population is killed ([25]).

Effect of Sub-lethal High Temperatures on Development and Longevity

A sample of 150 newly-hatched first instar nymphs were warmed from 20°C at 0.5°C min⁻¹ to their ULT_{50} (41.8°C), held for 2 min and then cooled at the same rate back to 20°C; preliminary experiments had indicated the time required for nymphs to be held at the ULT_{50} to experience the desired exposure temperature. When insects are heated or cooled, for example, in an alcohol bath, there is a time delay between the bath reaching the set temperature and the insects achieving thermal equilibrium at this temperature. This lag time is dependent on the thermal properties of the exposure system ([124]) and in general, larger insects will take longer to reach thermal equilibrium with the surrounding environment ([125], [126], [127], [128]).

From the surviving population a sample of 50 nymphs was placed individually on rice seedlings in Perspex boxes in the standard rearing conditions. A control group of 50 first instar nymphs were held individually in the same conditions. Daily observations were made to record the time taken to moult to adult and total longevity in the treatment and control groups. As the gender of the treated and untreated insects could not be determined at the first instar stage, the male and female sample sizes were not equal. A split-plot method was used to determine the main effects of treatment on the development and longevity of *N. lugens* using temperature treatment and sex as fixed factors in SPSS 17.0 software. In the split plot design, sex was a split plot factor within the temperature treatment.

Effects of Sub-lethal High Temperatures on Fecundity

Nymphs. A sample 200 of newly-hatched first instar nymphs were heated from 20°C at 0.5°C min⁻¹ to their ULT_{50} (41.8°C), held for 2 min, and then cooled back to 20°C at the same rate. Each surviving nymph was maintained individually in a Perspex rearing box containing a rice seedling. After moulting to adult, 20 treated females and males were randomly selected and transferred

as pairs into separate rearing boxes with a rice seedling and maintained in the standard rearing conditions. Fecundity was measured by counting the number of emerging first instar nymphs at daily intervals until there was no further emergence.

Adults. A sample of 600 newly-hatched first-instar nymphs were reared together in a number of Perspex boxes containing rice seedlings until the late fifth instar, after which males and females were reared separately on rice seedlings to obtain unmated adults. For each mating combination, 100 adult virgin males and females were heated from 20°C at 0.5°C min⁻¹ to their ULT_{50} (42.5°C), held for 6 min and then cooled back to 20°C at the same rate. From the surviving populations and a control population of the same age, 20 randomly selected pairs were established for each of three mating combinations: treated male x treated female, treated male x untreated female, and untreated male x treated female. The control group was created by allowing nymphs to develop from first to fifth instar after which the sexes were separated; 20 male and female pairs were taken from this stock and then allowed to mate and oviposit under the same conditions. Fecundity was measured in the same way as in the experiment with first instar nymphs.

All data were analysed by one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) to test for the effect of treatment on the number of emerged nymphs between treated nymphs and treated adults, and among adult mating combinations. Where significant differences occurred, the data were further analysed using Tukey's honest significance difference post-hoc test and the Games-Howell test to separate statistically heterogeneous and non-heterogeneous groups respectively.

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to colleagues in the Arthropod Ecophysiology laboratory at the University of Birmingham for their assistance and help.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: J. Piyaphongkul, J. Pritchard, JSB. Performed the experiments: J. Piyaphongkul. Analyzed the data: J. Piyaphongkul, JSB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: J. Piyaphongkul, J. Pritchard, JSB. Wrote the paper: J. Piyaphongkul, JSB.

References

- Klok CJ, Sinclair BJ, Chown SL (2004) Upper thermal tolerance and oxygen limitation in terrestrial arthropods. *J Exp Biol* 207: 2361–2370.
- Renault D, Vernon P, Vannier G (2005) Critical thermal maximum and body water loss in first instar larvae of three Cetonidae species (Coleoptera). *Journal of Thermal Biology* 30: 611–617.
- Klose MK, Atwood HL, Robertson RM (2008) Hyperthermic preconditioning of presynaptic calcium regulation in *Drosophila*. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 99: 2420–2430.
- Hanna CJ, Cobb VA (2009) Critical thermal maximum of the green lynx spider, *Psecitia viridans* (Araneae, Oxyopidae). *Journal of Arachnology* 35: 193–196.
- Estay SA, Lima M, Labra FA (2009) Predicting insect pest status under climate change scenarios: combining experimental data and population dynamics modelling. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 133: 491–499.
- Hegland SJ, Nielsen A, Lazaro A, Bjerknes AL, Totland O (2009) How does climate warming affect plant-pollinator interactions? *Ecology Letters* 12: 184–195.
- Bale JS, Hayward SAL (2010) Insect overwintering in a changing climate. *J Exp Biol* 213: 980–994.
- Hofmann GE, Todgham AE (2010) Living in the now: physiological mechanisms to tolerate a rapidly changing environment. *Annual Review of Physiology* 72: 127–145.
- Kersting U, Satar S, Uygun N (1999) Effect of temperature on development rate and fecundity of apterous *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hom., Aphididae) reared on *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 123: 23–27.
- Parmesan C, Ryrholm N, Stefanescu C, Hill JK, Thomas CD, et al. (1999) Poleward shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming. *Nature* 399: 579–583.
- Karban R, Strauss SY (2004) Physiological tolerance, climate change, and a northward range shift in the spittlebug, *Philaenus spumarius*. *Ecological Entomology* 29: 251–254.
- Terblanche JS, Chown SL (2006) The relative contributions of developmental plasticity and adult acclimation to physiological variation in the tsetse fly, *Glossina pallidipes* (Diptera, Glossinidae). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 209: 1064–1073.
- Musolin DH (2007) Insects in a warmer world: ecological, physiological and life-history responses of true bugs (Heteroptera) to climate change. *Global Change Biology* 13: 1565–1585.
- Liefting M, Weerenbeck M, Van Dooremalen C, Ellers J (2010) Temperature-induced plasticity in egg size and resistance of eggs to temperature stress in a soil arthropod. *Functional Ecology* 24: 1291–1298.
- Nethrer S, Schopf A (2010) Potential effects of climate change on insect herbivores in European forests—general aspects and the pine processionary moth as specific example. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259: 831–838.
- Block W, Baust JG, Franks F, Johnston IA, Bale JS (1990) Cold tolerance of insects and other arthropods (and discussion). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences* 326: 613–633.
- Bale JS, Block W, Worland MR (2000) Thermal tolerance and acclimation response of larvae of the sub-Antarctic beetle & *Hydromedion sparsutum* (Coleoptera: Perimylopidae). *Polar Biology* 23: 77–84.
- Elnitsky MA, Benoit JB, Denlinger DL, Lee RE (2008) Desiccation tolerance and drought acclimation in the Antarctic collembolan *Cryptopygus antarcticus*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 54: 1432–1439.
- Sinclair BJ, Roberts SP (2005) Acclimation, shock and hardening in the cold. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 30: 557–562.

20. Macmillan HA, Sinclair BJ (2011) Mechanisms underlying insect chill-coma. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 57: 12–20.
21. Lapointe SL, Borchert DM, Hall DG (2007) Effect of low temperatures on mortality and oviposition in conjunction with climate mapping to predict spread of the root weevil diapauses abbreviatus and introduced natural enemies. *Environmental Entomology* 36: 73–82.
22. Powell SJ, Bale JS (2005) Low temperature acclimated populations of the grain aphid *Sitobion avenae* retain ability to rapidly cold harden with enhanced fitness. *J Exp Biol* 208: 2615–2620.
23. Shreve SM, Kely JD, Lee RE (2004) Preservation of reproductive behaviors during modest cooling: rapid cold-hardening fine-tunes organismal response. *J Exp Biol* 207: 1797–1802.
24. Fischer K, Dierks A, Franke K, Geister TL, Liszka M, et al. (2010) Environmental effects on temperature stress resistance in the tropical butterfly *Bicyclus Anymana*. *PLoS ONE* 5: e15284.
25. Hazell SP, Neve BP, Groutides C, Douglas AE, Blackburn TM, et al. (2010) Hyperthermic aphids: insights into behaviour and mortality. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 56: 123–131.
26. Chidawanyika F, Terblanche JS (2011) Rapid thermal responses and thermal tolerance in adult codling moth *Cydia pomonella* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology* 57: 108–117.
27. Zerebecki RA, Sorte CJB (2011) Temperature tolerance and stress proteins as mechanisms of invasive species success. *PLoS ONE* 6: e14806.
28. Piyaphongkul J, Pritchard J, Bale JS (2012) Can tropical insects stand the heat? A case study with the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål). *PLoS ONE* 7: e29409.
29. Harrington R, Cheng XN (1984) Winter mortality, development and reproduction in a field population of *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera, Aphididae) in England. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 74: 633–640.
30. Iranipour S, Nozadbonab Z, Michaud JP (2010) Thermal requirements of *Trissolcus grandis* (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae), an egg parasitoid of sunn pest. *European Journal of Entomology* 107: 47–53.
31. Hazell S, Bale JS (2011) Low temperature thresholds: Are chill coma and CT_{min} synonymous? *Journal of Insect Physiology* 57: 1085–1089.
32. Woodrow RJ, Grace JK (1998) Thermal tolerances of four termite species (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae, Kalotermitidae). *Sociobiology* 32: 17–25.
33. Hallman GJ, Wang SJ, Tang JM (2005) Reaction orders for thermal mortality of third instars of Mexican fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 98: 1905–1910.
34. O'Neill KM, Rolston MG (2007) Short-term dynamics of behavioral thermoregulation by adults of the grasshopper *Melanoplus sanguinipes*. *Journal of Insect Science* 7: 1–14.
35. Terblanche JS, Clusella-Trullas S, Deere JA, Chown SL (2008) Thermal tolerance in a south-east African population of the tsetse fly *Glossina pallidipes* (Diptera, Glossinidae): implications for forecasting climate change impacts. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 54: 114–127.
36. Lalouette L, Williams C, Cottin M, Sinclair B, Renault D (2011) Thermal biology of the alien ground beetle & *Merizodus soledadinus*; introduced to the Kerguelen Islands. *Polar Biology* 1–9.
37. Okasha AYK (1968) Effects of sub-lethal high temperature on an insect, *Rhodnius prolixus* (Stal.): I. induction of delayed moulting and defects. *J Exp Biol* 48: 455–463.
38. Okasha AYK (1970) Effects of sub-lethal high temperature on an insect, *Rhodnius prolixus* (Stal.): V. a possible mechanism of the inhibition of reproduction. *J Exp Biol* 53: 37–45.
39. McDonald JR, Bale JS, Walters KFA (1997) Effects of sub-lethal cold stress on the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*. *Annals of Applied Biology* 131: 189–195.
40. Morgan D (2000) Population dynamics of the bird cherry-oat aphid, *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.), during the autumn and winter: a modelling approach. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 2: 297–304.
41. Hance T, Van BJ, Vernon P, Boivin G (2007) Impact of extreme temperatures on parasitoids in a climate change perspective. *Annual Review of Entomology* 52: 107–126.
42. Heath JE, Hanegan JL, Wilkin PJ, Heath MS (1971) Adaptation of the thermal responses of insects. *American Zoologist* 11: 147–158.
43. Miles DB (1994) Population differentiation in locomotor performance and the potential response of a terrestrial organism to global environmental change. *Amer. Zool.* 34: 422–436.
44. Warren MS, Hill JK, Thomas JA, Asher J, Fox R, et al. (2001) Rapid responses of British butterflies to opposing forces of climate and habitat change. *Nature* 414: 65–69.
45. Dunham AE, Grant BW, Overall KL (1989) Interfaces between biophysical and physiological ecology and the population ecology of terrestrial vertebrate ectotherms. *Physiological Zoology* 62: 335–355.
46. Angilletta MJ, Niewiarowski PH, Navas CA (2002) The evolution of thermal physiology in ectotherms. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 27: 249–268.
47. Kingsolver JG, Massie KR, Shlichta JG, Smith MH, Ragland GJ, et al. (2007) Relating environmental variation to selection on reaction norms: an experimental test. *The American Naturalist* 169: 163–174.
48. Lailvaux SP, Irschick DJ (2007) Effects of temperature and sex on jump performance and biomechanics in the lizard *Anolis carolinensis*. *Functional Ecology* 21: 534–543.
49. Overgaard J, Tomcala A, Sorensen JG, Holmstrup M, Krogh PH, et al. (2008) Effects of acclimation temperature on thermal tolerance and membrane phospholipid composition in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 54: 619–629.
50. Knapp R, Casey TM (1986) Thermal ecology, behavior, and growth of gypsy moth and eastern tent caterpillars. *Ecology* 67: 598–608.
51. Blanckenhorn WU (1997) Effects of temperature on growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly against all the rules? *Oecologia* 111: 318–324.
52. Mehrparvar M, Hatami B (2007) Effect of temperature on some biological parameters of an Iranian population of the rose aphid, *Macrosiphum rosae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Eur. J. Entomol.* 104: 631–634.
53. Bowler K, Terblanche JS (2008) Insect thermal tolerance: what is the role of ontogeny, ageing and senescence? *Biological Reviews* 83: 339–355.
54. Sanuy D, Oromi N, Galofre A (2008) Effects of temperature on embryonic and larval development and growth in the natterjack toad (*Bufo calamita*) in a semi-arid zone. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation* 31: 41–46.
55. Angilletta MJ, Huey RB, Frazier MR (2010) Thermodynamic effects on organismal performance: Is hotter better? *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 83: 197–206.
56. Huey RB, Bennett AF (1990) Physiological adjustments to fluctuating thermal environments: an ecological and evolutionary perspective. In: Morimoto RI, Tissieres A, Georgopoulos C, editors. *Stress Proteins in Biology and Medicine*. New York: Clod Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 37–59.
57. Kingsolver JG, Woods HA (1997) Thermal sensitivity of growth and feeding in *Manduca sexta* caterpillars. *Physiological Zoology* 70: 631–638.
58. Huey RB, Berrigan D (2001) Temperature, demography, and ectotherm fitness. *The American Naturalist* 158: 204–210.
59. Folk DG, Hoekstra LA, Gilchrist GW (2007) Critical thermal maxima in knockdown-selected *Drosophila*: are thermal endpoints correlated? *J Exp Biol* 210: 2649–2656.
60. Rezink SY, Voinovich ND, Vaghina NP (2009) Effect of temperature on the reproduction and development of *Trichogramma buesi* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). *Eur. J. Entomol.* 106: 535–544.
61. Irwin JT, Lee RE (2000) Mild winter temperatures reduce survival and potential fecundity of the goldenrod gall fly, *Eurosta solidaginis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology* 46: 655–661.
62. Zani PA, Cohnstaedt LW, Corbin D, Bradshaw WE, Holzapfel CM (2005) Reproductive value in a complex life cycle: heat tolerance of the pitcher-plant mosquito, *Wyeomyia smithii*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 18: 101–105.
63. Amarasekare P, Savage V (2012) A framework for elucidating the temperature dependence of fitness. *American Naturalist* 179: 178–191.
64. Hou RF, Lee YH (1984) Effect of high-temperature treatment on the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*, with reference to physiological roles of its yeast-like symbiote. *China J. Entomol.* 4: 107–116.
65. Chu YI, Yang PS (1985) Ecology of the brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) during the winter season in Taiwan. *Chinese Journal of Entomology* 4: 23–34.
66. Lee YH, Hou RF (1987) Physiological roles of a yeast-like symbiote in reproduction and embryonic development of the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 33: 851–860.
67. Noda H, Nakashima N, Koizumi M (1995) Phylogenetic position of yeast-like symbiotes of rice planthoppers based on partial 18S rDNA sequences. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 25: 639–646.
68. Cohen MB, Alam SN, Medina EB, Bernal CC (1997) Brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*, resistance in rice cultivar IR64: mechanism and role in successful *N. lugens* management in Central Luzon, Philippines. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 85: 221–229.
69. Krishnaiyah NV, Prasad ASR, Rao CR, Pasalu IC, Lakshmi VJ, et al. (2005) Effect of constant and variable temperatures on biological parameters of rice brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål). *Indian Journal of Plant Protection* 33: 181–187.
70. Chen YH, Bernal CC, Tan J, Horgan FG, Fitzgerald MA (2011) Planthopper “adaptation” to resistant rice varieties: Changes in amino acid composition over time. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 57: 1375–1384.
71. Zhang XJ, Xiao-Ping YO, Chen JM (2008) High temperature effects on yeast-like endosymbiotes and pesticide resistance of the small brown planthopper, *Laodelphax striatellus*. *Rice Science* 15: 326–330.
72. Liu XD, Zhang AM (2012) High temperature determines the ups and downs of small brown planthopper *Laodelphax striatellus* population. *Insect Science* 00: 1–8.
73. Berger D, Walters R, Gotthard K (2008) What limits insect fecundity? Body size and temperature-dependent egg maturation and oviposition in a butterfly. *Functional Ecology* 22: 523–529.
74. Huang LH, Chen B, Kang L (2007) Impact of mild temperature hardening on thermo tolerance, fecundity, and Hsp gene expression in *Liriomyza huidobrensis*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 53: 1199–1205.
75. Sogawa K (1982) The rice brown planthopper: feeding physiology and host plant interactions. *Annual Review of Entomology* 27: 49–73.
76. Saxena RC, Barrion AA (1983) Biotypes of the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål). *Korean J. Plant Prot* 22: 52–66.
77. Visarto P, Zalucki MP, Jahn GC (2006) Brown planthopper outbreaks and management *Cambodian Journal of Agriculture* 7: 17–25.
78. Chen YH (2009) Variation in planthopper-rice interactions: possible interactions among three species? In: Heong KL, Hardy B, editors. *Planthoppers: new*

- threats to the sustainability of intensive rice production systems in Asia. Los Baños (Philippines) International Rice Research Institute. 315–326.
79. Dupo ALB, Barrion AT (2009) Taxonomy and General Biology of Delphacid Planthoppers in Rice Agroecosystems In: Heong KL, Hardy B, editors. Planthoppers: new threats to the sustainability of intensive rice production systems in Asia. Los Baños (Philippines) International Rice Research Institute. 3–156.
 80. Liu ZY, Shi JJ, Zhang LW, Huang JF (2010) Discrimination of rice panicles by hyperspectral reflectance data based on principal component analysis and support vector classification. *Journal of Zhejiang University - Science B* 11: 71–78.
 81. Du B, Zhang W, Liu B, Hu J, Wei Z, et al. (2009) Identification and characterization of Bph14, a gene conferring resistance to brown planthopper in rice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106: 22163–22168.
 82. Khush GS, Ling KC (1974) Inheritance of resistance to grassy stunt virus and its vector in rice. *Journal of Heredity* 65: 135–136.
 83. Dyck VA, Thomas B (1979) The brown planthopper problem. Brown planthopper: threat to rice production in Asia. Manila: International Rice Research Institute. 3–17.
 84. Li J, Chen Q, Wang L, Liu J, Shang K, et al. (2011) Biological effects of rice harbouring *Bph14* and *Bph15* on brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Pest Management Science* 67: 528–534.
 85. Win SS, Muhamad R, Ahmad ZAM, Adam NA (2011) Population fluctuations of brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* Stal. and white backed planthopper *Sogatella furcifera* Horvath on rice. *Trends Applied Sci Res* 8: 183–190.
 86. Gurr GM, Liu J, Read DMY, Catindig JLA, Cheng JA, et al. (2011) Parasitoids of Asian rice planthopper (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) pests and prospects for enhancing biological control by ecological engineering. *Annals of Applied Biology* 158: 149–176.
 87. Ghalambor CK, Huey RB, Martin PR, Tewksbury JJ, Wang G (2006) Are mountain passes higher in the tropics? Janzen's hypothesis revisited. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 46: 5–17.
 88. Deutsch CA, Tewksbury JJ, Huey RB, Sheldon KS, Ghalambor K, et al. (2008) Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105: 6668–6672.
 89. Bonebrake TC, Deutsch CA (2012) Climate heterogeneity modulates impact of warming on tropical insects. *Ecology* 93: 449–455.
 90. Mochida O, Okada T (1979) Taxonomy and biology of *Nilaparvata lugens*. Brown planthopper: threat to rice production in Asia. Manila: International Rice Research Institute. 21–43.
 91. Cheng CH (1985) Interactions between biotypes of the brown planthopper and rice varieties. *Jour Agric Res China* 34: 299–314.
 92. Chen Y, Zhao J (1999) Influence of extreme high temperature on the biology of vegetable leafminer *Liriomyza satyzae* (Diptera: Agramomyzidae). *Insect Science* 6: 164–170.
 93. Giese A (2011) Global temperature peaks in 2010. People and the planet. Earth Policy Institute. Available: <http://www.peopleandplanet.net>. Accessed 2012 July 22.
 94. Leary N, Kulkarni J (2007) Climate change assessments in Asia. Climate change vulnerability and adaptation in developing country regions Nairobi. Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme. 88–108.
 95. Casey TM (1992) Biophysical ecology and heat exchange in insects. *American Zoologist* 32: 225–237.
 96. Fox JW, Morin PJ (2001) Effects of intra- and interspecific interactions on species responses to environmental change. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 70: 80–90.
 97. Frazier MR, Huey RB, Berrigan D (2006) Thermodynamics constrains the evolution of insect population growth rates: “warmer is better”. *The American Naturalist* 168: 512–520.
 98. Bale JS (1996) Insect cold hardiness: A matter of life and death. *European Journal of Entomology* 93: 369–382.
 99. Milkman R (1963) On mechanism of some temperature effects on *Drosophila*. *Journal of General Physiology* 46: 1151–1170.
 100. Lailvaux SP, Alexander GJ, Whiting MJ (2003) Sex-based differences and similarities in locomotor performance, thermal preferences, and escape behaviour in the lizard *Platysaurus intermedius wilhelmi*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 76: 511–521.
 101. Lailvaux SP, Herrel A, Vanhooydonck B, Meyers JJ, Irschick DJ (2004) Performance capacity, fighting tactics and the evolution of life-stage male morphs in the green anole lizard (*Anolis carolinensis*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* 271: 2501–2508.
 102. Howe RW (1967) Temperature effects on embryonic development in insects. *Annual Review of Entomology* 12: 15–42.
 103. Muller E, Obermaier E (2012) Herbivore larval development at low springtime temperatures: the importance of short periods of heating in the field. *Psyche* 345932: 1–7.
 104. Davidson J (1944) On the relationship between temperature and rate of development of insects at constant temperatures. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 13: 26–38.
 105. Nespolo RF, Lardies MA, Bozinovic F (2003) Intrapopulation variation in the standard metabolic rate of insects: repeatability, thermal dependence and sensitivity (Q_{10}) of oxygen consumption in a cricket. *J Exp Biol* 206: 4309–4315.
 106. Contreras HL, Bradley TJ (2011) The effect of ambient humidity and metabolic rate on the gas-exchange pattern of the semi-aquatic insect *Aquarius remigis*. *The Journal of experimental biology* 214: 1086–1091.
 107. Frazier MR, Woods HA, Harrison JF (2001) Interactive effects of rearing temperature and oxygen on the development of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 74: 641–650.
 108. Woods HA, Hill RI (2004) Temperature-dependent oxygen limitation in insect eggs. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 207: 2267–2276.
 109. Harrison JF, Kaiser A, Vandenbrooks JM (2010) Atmospheric oxygen level and the evolution of insect body size. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 277: 1937–1946.
 110. Lekovic S, Lazarevic J, Nenadovic V, Ivanovic J (2001) The effect of heat stress on the activity of A1 and A2 neurosecretory neurons of *Morimus funereus* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) larvae. *European Journal of Entomology* 98: 13–18.
 111. Chen CC, Cheng LL, Hou RF (1981) Studies on the intracellular yeast-like symbiote in the Brown Planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål. *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Entomologie* 92: 440–449.
 112. Lee YH, Hou RF (1987) Physiological roles of a yeast-like symbiote in reproduction and embryonic development of the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 33: 851–860.
 113. Speight MR, Hunter MD, Watt AD (1999) Insects and climate. *Ecology of Insects: Concepts and Applications*. Oxford: Blackwell Science Ltd. 26–43.
 114. Reynoldson TB, Young JO, Taylor MC (1965) The effect of temperature on the life-cycle of four species of lake-dwelling triclads. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 34: 23–43.
 115. Harcourt DG (1969) The development and use of life tables in the study of natural insect populations. *Annual Review of Entomology* 14: 175–196.
 116. Potter K, Davidowitz G, Woods HA (2009) Insect eggs protected from high temperatures by limited homeothermy of plant leaves. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 212: 3448–3454.
 117. Gullan PJ, Cranston PS (2010) *The Insects: an outline of entomology*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell. 565 p.
 118. Parmesan C, Root TL, Willig MR (2000) Impacts of extreme weather and climate on terrestrial biota. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 81: 443–450.
 119. Thibault KM, Brown JH (2008) Impact of an extreme climatic event on community assembly. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105: 3410–3415.
 120. UNFCCC (2007) Regional impacts of and vulnerabilities to climate change. Climate change: impacts, vulnerabilities and adaptation in developing countries. Bonn: UNFCCC. 68 pp.
 121. Lal M, Harasawa H, Murdiyoso D (2001) Asia. In: McCarthy JJ, Canziani OF, Leary NA, Dokken DJ, White KS, editors. *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report*. IPCC. 535–590.
 122. Giorgi F, Francisco R (2000) Evaluating uncertainties in the prediction of regional climate change. *Geophysical Research Letters* 27: 1295–1298.
 123. Jaramillo J, Muchugu E, Vega FE, Davis A, Borgemeister C, et al. (2011) Some like it hot: the influence and implications of climate change on coffee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei*) and coffee production in East Africa. *PLoS ONE* 6: e24528.
 124. McNabb A, Wake GC (1991) Heat conduction and finite measures for transition times between steady states. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics* 47: 193–206.
 125. Digby PSB (1955) Factors affecting the temperature excess of insects in sunshine. *J Exp Biol* 32: 279–298.
 126. Tanaka K (2005) Thermal aspects of melanistic and striped morphs of the snake *Elaphe quadrivirgata*. *Zoological Science* 22: 1173–1179.
 127. Forsman A (2000) Some like it hot: intra-population variation in behavioral thermoregulation in color-polymorphic pygmy grasshoppers. *Evolutionary Ecology* 14: 25–38.
 128. Davidowitz G, D'Amico LJ, Nijhout HF (2003) Critical weight in the development of insect body size. *Evolution & Development* 5: 188–197.