

LOCATION IRRI
CALL # SB208.1994.T5 C42.
AUTHOR Chaiyawat, Patchanee.
TITLE Effects of silica in resistance and susceptible rice varieties on
Nilaparvata lugens (Stal) and its natural enemy Cyrtorhinus
lividipennis Reuter.
IMPRINT [Los Baños, Laguna], 1994.
DESCRIPT 139 leaves : ill.
NOTE Bibliography: p. 55-65.
NOTE Thesis (Ph. D.) -- University of the Philippines at Los Baños,
1994.
KEYWORDS (NAL) Silica; Pest resistance; Nilaparvata lugens; Cyrtorhinus
lividipennis

EFFECTS OF SILICA IN RESISTANT AND SUSCEPTIBLE
RICE VARIETIES ON *NILAPARVATA LUGENS* (STÅL)
AND ITS NATURAL ENEMY *CYRTORHINUS*
LIVIDIPENNIS REUTER

PATCHANEE CHAIYAWAT

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES AT LOS BAÑOS
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
(Entomology)

March, 1994

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
Brown planthopper <i>Nilaparvata lugens</i> (Stål)	4
Biology	4
Mating and Oviposition	4
Feeding and Excretion	6
Biology and Predatory Characteristics of <i>Cyrtorhinus lividipennis</i> Reuter	9
Silica Relations in the Rice Plant	11
Effects of Silica on Insect Pests	14
Trophic Level Interaction	17
MATERIAL AND METHODS	19
Experimental Procedure and Data Analysis	19
Rice Varieties Compared	19
Testing for Resistance in Rice Varieties Used	19
Brown Planthopper Used in Experiment	20
Growing and Maintenance of the Rice Plants	20
Preparation of Chemical Materials	22
Experimental Design	25
Silica Uptake by Different Rice Varieties	25
Effects of Silica on BPH	27
Survival of BPH on 14 Day-Old Rice Seedlings	27
Survival, Development and Fecundity of BPH on 45 Day-Old Plants	28
Effect of Silica on BPH Feeding	30
Feeding of BPH on Plants Grown Hydroponically with Varying Levels of Silica	31

	<u>Page</u>
Feeding of BPH, with Prior Exposure to Silica, on Plants Grown in Hydroponic Solution Added with Silica	32
Effect of Silica on Survival of the Predator, <i>Cyrtorhinus lividipennis</i> Reuter	32
Without Prey	32
With Prey	33
Effect of Silica on Tri-trophic Level Interaction	34
With Prey	34
With and Without Predation	34
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	36
Resistance in Rice Varieties Used Silica Uptake by Different Rice Varieties	36
Effect of silica on BPH	38
Survival of BPH on 14-Day Old Rice Seedlings	38
Survival, Development, and Fecundity of BPH on 45-Day Old Plants	39
Feeding and Growth of BPH	42
Effect of Silica on survival of the Mirid Predator <i>Cyrtorhinus</i> <i>lividipennis</i> Reuter	44
Without Prey	44
With Prey	44
Effects of Silica on Tri-trophic Level Interaction	46
With Prey	46
With and Without Predation	48
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND IMPLICATIONS	50
LITERATURE CITED	55

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) of 45-day old plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	70
2	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) of 65-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	71
3	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) in leaves and leafsheaths of 45-day old rice plants of different varieties grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	72
4	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) in leaves and leafsheaths of 65-day old rice plants of different varieties grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	74
5	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) in leaves and leafsheaths of rice plants at 45 and 65 days grown in paddy field at IRRI.	76
6	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content in leaves and leafsheaths of rice plants at 45 and 65 days grown in potted paddy soil.	77
7	Number of BPH survivors on rice seedlings grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica and infested with 10 BPH nymphs/plant when 14 days old.	78
8	Number of brown planthopper survivors on rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica and infested with 10 BPH nymphs/plant when 45 days old.	80

TablePage

9	Number of BPH adults developing from 1-day old nymphs (10 nymphs/plant) reared on 14-day old rice seedlings grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	82
10	Population growth index of BPH (10 nymphs/plant) reared on rice seedlings (14 days) grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	83
11	Number of BPH adults developing from 1-day old nymphs (10 nymphs/plant) reared on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	84
12	Population growth index of BPH (10 nymphs/plant) reared on rice plants (45 days old) grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	85
13	Nymphal period (days) of BPH when 1-day old nymphs (10 nymphs/plant) were reared on 14-day old rice seedlings grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	86
14	Nymphal period (days) of BPH when 1-day old (10 nymphs/plant) nymphs were reared on rice plants (45-day old) grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	87
15	Mean stage of development attained when 1-day old BPH nymphs (1 nymph/plant) were reared on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	88
16	Fecundity (eggs/female) of BPH adults (1 female/plant) reared on rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica and infested when 45 days old.	89

TablePage

17	Number of BPH survivors on TN1 and IR72 rice varieties grown in hydroponic solutions without silica, with 400 ppm silica, or in potted soil, and infested with 20 BPH nymphs/two plants when 45 days old.	90
18	Number of adults that developed, nymphal period, and population growth index when 1-day old BPH nymphs (20 nymphs/two plants) were reared on TN1 and IR72 rice varieties grown in hydroponic solutions without silica, with 400 ppm silica, or in potted soil.	91
19	Honeydew (mg) excreted for 24 h by 5 adult BPH females confined on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	92
20	Amount of honeydew (mg) excreted for 24 h by 5 adult BPH females reared on 45-day old rice plants grown in soil, in hydroponic solutions without silica, or hydroponic solutions with 400 ppm silica.	93
21	Weight of female and male BPH adults that developed from 1-day old nymphs (20 nymphs/two plants) reared on TN1 and IR72 rice varieties (45-day old) grown in hydroponic solutions without silica, hydroponic solutions with 400 ppm silica, or in potted soil.	94
22	Number of survivors of <i>C. lividipennis</i> nymphs caged on rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica and infested with 10 nymphs/plant when 45 days old (no prey provided).	95
23	Number of survivors of <i>C. lividipennis</i> nymphs caged on rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica and infested with 10 nymphs/plant when 45 days old (BPH adults were caged to provide eggs).	97

TablePage

24	Number of <i>C. lividipennis</i> adults developing from 2-day old nymphs (10 nymphs/plant) caged with 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica (BPH adults were caged to provide eggs).	99
25	Progeny of one pair of BPH adults (prey) caged together with one pair of mirid adults (predator) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	100
26	Progeny of one pair of mirid adults <i>C. lividipennis</i> (predator) caged together with one pair of BPH adults (prey) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	102
27	F2 progeny of one pair of BPH adults (prey) and one pair of mirid adults (predator) caged together with 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	103
28	Progeny of one pair of BPH (prey) and one pair of adult predator caged together with 45-day old rice plants grown in potted soil.	104
29	Progeny of one pair of BPH adults (prey) caged with or without one pair of mirid bug adults (predator) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	105
30	Progeny of one pair of mirid adult <i>C. lividipennis</i> (predator) caged together with one pair of BPH adults (prey) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	107

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) of 45-day old plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	66
2	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) of 65-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	67
3	(A) Hydroponic culture of different varieties of rice plants receiving different levels of silica. (B) Rice plants grown hydroponically at 0 ppm and 400 ppm levels of silica.	68
4	Percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) in leaves and leafsheaths of rice plants at 45 and 65 days grown in paddy field at IRRI.	69

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

<u>Appendix Table</u>	<u>Page</u>	
1	Analysis of variance for percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) in leaves and leafsheaths of rice plants (45- and 65-day old) grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	109
2	Pooled analysis of variance for percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) in leaves and leafsheaths of 45- and 65-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	110
3	Pooled analysis of variance for percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) in leaves and leafsheaths of rice plants grown in paddy field at IRRI.	111
4	Analysis of variance for percentage crude silica (SiO ₂) content (dry weight basis) in leaves and leafsheaths of rice plants 45- and 65-day old grown in potted paddy soil.	112
5	Pooled analysis of variance for number of BPH survivors on rice seedlings (14 days old) grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica and infested with 10 BPH nymphs/plant when 14-day old.	113
6	Pooled analysis of variance for number of BPH survivors on rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica and infested with 10 BPH nymphs/plant when 45-day old.	114

Appendix Table

Page

7	Analysis of variance for number of adults developing from 1-day old nymphs (10 nymphs/plant) reared on 14-day old rice seedlings grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	115
8	Analysis of variance for BPH population growth index on rice seedlings (14 days) grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	116
9	Analysis of variance for number of BPH adults developing from 1-day old nymphs (10 nymphs/plant) reared on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	117
10	Analysis of variance for population growth index of BPH (10 nymphs/plant) reared on rice plants (45-day old) grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	118
11	Analysis of variance for nymphal period (days) of BPH when 1-day old nymphs (10 nymphs/plant) were reared on 14-day old rice seedlings grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	119
12	Analysis of variance for mean stage of development attained when 1-day old BPH nymphs (1 nymph/plant) were reared on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	120

Appendix TablePage

13	Analysis of variance for fecundity (eggs/female) of BPH adults (1 female/plant) reared on rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica and infested when 45 days old.	121
14	Analysis of variance for number of BPH survivors on TN1 and IR72 rice varieties grown in hydroponic solution without silica, with 400 ppm silica, or in potted soil and infested with 20 nymphs/two plants when 45 days old.	122
15	Analysis of variance for number of BPH adults that developed, nymphal period and population growth index when 1-day old nymphs (20 nymphs/two plants) were reared on TN1 and IR72 rice varieties grown in hydroponic solutions without silica, with 400 ppm silica, or in potted soil.	123
16	Analysis of variance for honeydew (mg) excreted for 24 h by 5 adult BPH females confined on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	124
17	Analysis of variance for amount of honeydew (mg) excreted for 24 h by 5 adult BPH females reared on 45-day old rice plants grown in soil, in hydroponic solutions without silica, or hydroponic solution with 400 ppm silica.	125

Appendix Table

Page

18	Analysis of variance for weight of female and male BPH adults that developed from 1-day old nymphs (20 nymphs/ two plants) reared on TN1 and IR72 rice varieties (45-day old) growing hydroponic solutions without silica, hydroponic solutions with 400 ppm silica, or in potted soil.	126
19	Analysis of variance for number of survivors of <i>C. lividipennis</i> nymphs caged on rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica, and infested with 10 nymphs/ plant when 45 days old (no prey provided).	127
20	Pooled analysis of variance for number of survivors of <i>C. lividipennis</i> nymphs caged on rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica, and infested with 10 nymphs/plant when 45 days old (BPH adults were caged to provide eggs).	128
21	Analysis of variance for number of <i>C. lividipennis</i> adults developing from 2-day old nymphs (10 nymphs/plant) caged with 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica (BPH adults were caged to provide eggs).	129
22	Pooled analysis of variance for progeny of one pair of BPH adults (prey) caged together with one pair of mirid adults (predator) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	130

Appendix TablePage

23	Pooled analysis of variance for progeny of one pair of adult <i>C. lividipennis</i> (predator) caged together with one pair of BPH adults (prey) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	131
24	Analysis of variance for the F2 progeny of one pair of BPH adults (prey) caged together with one pair of mirid adults (predator) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with levels of silica.	132
25	Analysis of variance for the F2 progeny of one pair of mirid adults (predator) caged together with one pair of BPH adults (prey) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	133
26	Analysis of variance for the progeny of one pair of BPH adults (prey) caged together with one pair of mirid adults (predator) on 45-day old rice plants grown in potted soil.	134
27	Analysis of variance for the progeny of one pair of adult <i>C. lividipennis</i> (predator) caged together with one pair of BPH adults (prey) on 45-day old rice plants grown in potted soil.	135
28	F2 progeny of one pair of BPH adults (prey) and one pair of mirid bugs (predator) caged together with 45-day old rice plants grown in potted soil.	136

Appendix Table

Page

29	Analysis of variance for the F2 progeny of one pair of BPH (prey) and one pair of mirid adults (predator) caged together with 45-day old rice plants grown in potted soil.	137
30	Analysis of variance for the progeny of one pair of BPH adults (prey) caged with or without one pair of mirid bug adults (predator) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different levels of silica.	138
31	Analysis of variance for the progeny produced by one pair of mirid adults (predator) caged together with one pair of BPH adults (prey) on 45-day old rice plants grown in hydroponic solutions with different level of silica.	139

ABSTRACT

CHAIYAWAT, PATCHANEE, University of the Philippines at Los Baños, March 1994. Effects of Silica in Resistant and Susceptible Rice Varieties on *Nilaparvata lugens* (STÅL) and Its Natural Enemy *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* Reuter.

Major Professors: Drs. D. G. Bottrell and E. N. Bernardo

The study determined the effect of silica in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) plants on the brown planthopper (BPH), *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål), and its predator *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* Reuter. Rice varieties with different levels of genetic resistance to BPH (highly susceptible TN1, susceptible IR22, moderately resistant IR46, and resistant IR72 and Mudgo) were grown hydroponically with different levels of silica (0, 100, 200, 300, and 400 ppm in the nutrient solution) then inoculated with BPH and the predator.

Significantly more silica was found in all varieties grown in culture solution with silica. Silica uptake by plants was greatest at levels between the 100 ppm and 200 ppm. In general, silica content was higher in leaves than leafsheaths in 45-day old and 65-day old rice.

The silica level in the hydroponic solution did not adversely affect survival of BPH nymphs in all varieties at the seedling stage (14 days old). However, on the older plants (45 days old) the adverse effect of silica was

noticeable on TN1 and IR22 on the 16th day after confinement of BPH. The effects were detected as early as 4 days on the resistant 45-day old IR72 and Mudgo plants.

On 14-day old plants, silica did not significantly affect BPH survival and development except on the resistant IR72 variety. However, silica prolonged the nymphal period of BPH on all varieties. On 45-day old plants, adverse effects of silica were detected for the following parameters: survival, number of adults that developed, population growth index, nymphal period, and fecundity.

Silica level in the culture solution did not affect the amount of honeydew excreted by BPH adults. This suggests that silica did not affect food intake of BPH and therefore was not a mechanical impediment to feeding.

The role of silica appeared to be indirect or in association with other resistance factor(s) as suggested by the more pronounced adverse effects on the resistant IR72. The adverse physiological effects caused by silica apparently synergized with other resistance factors in the rice plants. Modes of action are not known.

Silica in the culture medium did not directly affect (through the plants) predator's survival. Some predators lived up to 8 days on rice plants when prey was not available indicating that there was some feeding on the plants. Similarly, silica did not affect the predator's survival indirectly through the prey, although some evidence

of indirect effect was observed on the resistant IR72. However, these were inconsistent. The rather negligible mirid adult emergence on IR72 was attributed to varietal resistance, which reduced BPH density and therefore denied the predator of food.

Implications are that silica may bolster the rice plant's defense against BPH and the synergized effect on BPH-resistant varieties can enhance the level of resistance. Therefore, through its dampening effect on BPH, silica may contribute in mediating BPH-predator interactions.