# ROLE OF RICE VOLATILES IN THE FORAGING BE-HAVIOUR OF CYRTORHINUS LIVIDIPENNIS REUTER

#### LOU Yong-gen\* and CHENG Jia-an

Institute of Applied Entomology, Zhejiang Univesity, Hangzhou 310029, P.R.China (Received Nov.21, 2000; accepted Feb.22, 2001)

Abstract Experiments were conducted in laboratory to study the impacts of rice genotypes and nitrogen levels on the preference of the egg predator, *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis*, for eggs of brown planthopper(BPH), *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stal), and their relation to the rice volatiles. In two-choice tests, the female predators showed different preference for BPH eggs on different rice genotypes, but not for BPH eggs on rice plants treated with different levels of nitrogen. The olfactory response test revealed that more predator oriented to the odour from healthy rice plants compared with the plain air (control); the predator preferred BPH nymph-damaged plants to healthy plants, and BPH female adult-damaged plants to BPH nymph-damaged plants. The comparative studies between rice genotypes, while there was no significant difference between rice plants treated with different levels of nitrogen. The results showed that rice volatiles played an important role in mediating the foraging behaviour of *C. lividipennis*. Implications for augmenting the effectiveness of natural enemies by adjusting rice attributes and cultural practices are discussed.

Key words Cyrtorhinus lividipennis Reuter, Nilaparvata lugens (Stal), rice variety, nitrogen levels, tritrophic level interactions

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

The headspace volatiles from herbivore-infested plants are an important source of information for the herbivore's natural enemies during their search for hosts or preys(Dicke and Sabelis 1988, Dicke et al. 1990, Turlings et al. 1990, 1991, 1995, Dicke 1994, Lou and Cheng 1996, 1997, Turlings and Benrey 1998). These volatiles can vary substantially. Relevant factors are plant species, plant cultivar, plant parts, leaf age, growing conditions of plant, time of the day, species and age of herbivores(Dicke et al. 1990, Tumlinson et al. 1992, McCall et al. 1993, Dicke 1994, Takabayashi et al. 1994, Loughrin et al. 1995, Takabayashi and Dicke 1996, Dicke 1999, Vet 1999). Variation in headspace composition can have a great impact on behavioural responses of natural enemies (Takabayashi et al. 1991, Turlings et al. 1993, Vet et al. 1998), and it can also affect the effectiveness of natural enemies in the field (Geervliet et al. 1997). Studies by Dicke et al. (1990), Turlings et al. (1993), Takabayashi et al. (1991, 1994), Takabayashi and Dicke (1996), and Geervliet et al. (1997) showed that the plant was the most important factor in determining the headspace composition. Therefore, the effectiveness of natural enemies may be influenced by plant cultivars due to the variation of their headspace volatiles profiles.

The research was supported by International Foundation for Science(IFS)(No. C/2750 - 1), National Natural Science Foundation of China(No. 39630200), and IRRI IPM Network.

The role of rice volatiles in mediating natural enemy behavior has been reported. Lou and Cheng (1996) found Anagrus nilaparvatae Pang et Wang, egg parasitoid of the rice brown planthopper(BPH), Nilaparvata lugens (Stål), was significantly attracted by the volatile emitted from rice plants in response to BPH damage, and there was obvious difference in attractiveness of the volatiles to the parasitoid among rice varieties. Rapusas *et al.* (1996) showed that more female mirid predators *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* were attracted by the rice volatiles compared with plain air, and the predator could distinguish prey-infested plants with uninfected plants and preferred plants with eggs to plants with nymphs.

Cyrtorhinus lividipennis Reuter occurs on rice in Asia and the pacific islands (Döbel and Denno 1994), and mainly preys on eggs and young nymphs of the rice brown planthopper, rice white-backed planthopper, Sogatella furcifera (Horvath), and rice green leafhopper, Nephotettix virescens Distant. It has been reported that C. lividipennis females could be attracted by rice volatiles (Rapusas et al. 1996). However, there is no published report on the preference of the predator for BPH eggs on different rice varieties and rice plants treated with different levels of nitrogen, and its relation to rice volatiles. In this study, we first describe the preference of the predator for such preys. Subsequently, we present results of olfactory response experiments that were carried out to elucidate the role of rice volatiles in the foraging behavior of the predator.

# 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Insects.

Cyrtorhinus lividipennis Reuter and Nilaparvata lugens (Stal) are reared together on TN1 rice plants in a greenhouse. Old instar nymphs of C. lividipennis were captured from the greenhouse and caged TN1 rice plants with BPH gravid females. The newly emerged adults (males and females) of C. lividipennis in the cages were transferred to a new potted TN1 rice plant each day thereby separating the adults by age. All potted rice plants were maintained in a climate room at  $(26 \pm 2)$ °C, 12 h photophase, and 70% - 80% RH.

# 2.2 Plants.

Rice genotypes evaluted included 9 varieties with different-level resistance to BPH: IR26, IR64(resistant), Bing 96-42, Bing 97-34, Bing 97-59, Nabeshi(moderately resistant), and Xiushui 63, Zhe 852, TN1(susceptible). Nitrogen levels were set at 0 kg, 75 kg, 150 kg, 300 kg and 450 kg urea per hectare (0,0.075, 0.15, 0.30, 0.45 g per pot (16 cm diameter × 14 cm tall, 10 plants)) using TN1 rice plants.

Pre-germinated seeds were shown in a greenhouse. Thirty days later, the plants were transplanted in clay pots (16 cm diameter  $\times$  14 cm tall, 10 plants). The plants were watered daily, and urea was applied two times, 15 and 25 dyas after transplanting respectively. For varieties, the total of urea was 0.30 g per pot. During 30 – 40 days after transplanting, plants were used for experiments. Plantings were staggered over several weeks to assure enough plants of desired age at assay time.

# 2.3 Prey preference.

Impacts of rice varieties and rice plants treatred with nitrogen on the preference of C. lividipennis for eggs of brown planthopper were evaluated through comparison in pairs. Each variety except TN1 was compared with TN1(TN1 vs. Ping 96-42, TN1 vs. Ping 97-34, etc.), and each nitrogen level except 0 kg was compared with 0 kg nitrogen-level(0 kg vs. 75 kg, 0 kg vs. 150, etc.). The potted plants for each variety or nitrogen level were washed with running water, and trimmed to leave two plants for each pot. Then, Six BPH gravid females were transferred into each pot. After 24 hr, BPH females were removed and the two potted plants(with BPH eggs) in comparison were transplanted into a new pot, about 5 cm apart between them. The new potted rice plants were kept in an 11 cm diameter × 40 cm tall plastic cage with two ventilation holes(6 cm diameter) of nylon mesh at its middle. In the plastic cage, two 4 day old female predators that had been starved for 12 hr were released. One day later, the plants were cut at soil level and dissected under a microscope to count the BPH eggs deposited and predated, and the predator eggs deposited on each plant. The experiment was conducted in a climate room at  $(26 \pm 2)$  °C, 12 hr photophase and 70% – 80% RH. Each combination was relicated 8 times.

The preference index  $(b_i)$  of C. *lividipennis* for each type of BPH eggs (the eggs on various varieties or rice plants with different levels of nitrogen) was calculated using the formula of Manly *et al*. (1972):

$$b_i = \ln(R_i/A_i) / \sum_{i=1}^{k} \ln(R_i/A_i),$$

where  $A_i$  is the total number of type *i* BPH egg,  $R_i$  is the number of unpredated type *i* BPH egg, *k* is the number of BPH egg types that were provided to the predator at the same time, and  $b_i$  is the preference index of *C*. *lividipennis* for type *i* BPH egg.

To evaluate the preference of C. *lividipennis* for BPH eggs on different rice varieties and rice plants treated with different levels of nitrogen t test was conducted.

# 2.4 Olfactory response

Responses of C. lividipennis females to volatiles emitted from differernt odour sources were measured in a two-choice Hshaped olfactometer (Fig.1), which is similar to the method of Khan and Saxena (1986). Odour sources were caged in the two arms of H-shaped olfactometer, two glass tubes (12 cm diameter  $\times$  30 cm tall) each with nylon mesh at its top end and a



Fig.1 Setup of the H-shaped olfactometer. A: Arm of the olfactometer; B: Potted rice plants or a pot of soil (odour source); C: Small glass tube, connecting the two arms of the olfactometer; D: Release hole.

hole (6 cm diameter) at its center. Through the holes at their centers, two glass tubes were connected by another small glass tube (6 cm diameter  $\times$  15 cm long) with nylon mesh at its two ends and a small hole (1 cm diameter) at its center for release of the predator.

Using the olfactometer, six varieties, TN1, Ping 97-34, Ping 97-59, IR64, IR26, and

Zhe852, in which three varieties were preferred by the predator to TN1 and two were not (Table 1), and three nitrogen level plants, 0, 300, 450 kg per hectare, were chosen to test. The following odour sources were tested: 1) blank (BK), a pot of soil (no plant) (16 cm diameter  $\times$  14 cm tall); 2) healthy plants (HP), one potted undamaged plants (10 rice plants); 3) plants plus nymphs (PN), one potted plants (10 plants) infested by 100 fifth instar BPH nymphs for 3 hr before the assay; 4) plants plus gravid females (PF), one potted plants (10 plants) infested by 100 gravid BPH females for 3 hr before the assay. The combinations tested were as follows: 1) for each variety, blank vs. healthy plants, healthy plants vs. plants plus gravid femates; 2) the healthy plants, and plants plus gravid females of four rice varieties Ping 97 – 34, Ping 97 – 59, IR64, and IR26, vs. the corresponding plants of TN1 respectively; 3) the healthy plants, and plants plus gravid females of two nitrogen levels, 300 and 450 kg per hectare, vs. the corresponding plants of 0 kg per hectare respectively.

In all bioassays, 15 4-day-old female predators that had been starved for 12 hours were introduced into the small glass tube through its middle hole, and then filled the middle small hole with cotton. Three hours later, the number of the predator in each half of small glass tube were recorded. The experiment was conducted between 09:00 and 17:00 in a dark climate room at  $(26 \pm 2)$  °C and 70% - 80% RH. Each combination was replicated eight times. The choice of the female for two odours was analyzed using t test.

#### **3 RESULTS**

#### 3.1 Preference of C. lividipennis for BPH eggs on different rice varieties

In all combinations, there was no significant difference in the number of BPH eggs except the combination of Nabeshi and TN1, in which the number of BPH eggs on Nabeshi was significantly higher than that on TN1(Table 1). The preference indexes of the predator for BPH eggs on Ping 96 – 42, Ping 97 – 34, IR64 and IR26 were significantly higher than those on TN1. The remaining varieties showed no more attraction to the predator than TN1(Table 1). No difference was found in the number of C. *lividipennis* eggs on rice plants in all combinations except the case of Zhe852 and TN1, in which more eggs of the predator were laid on Zhe852 than on TN1 (Table 1). These results indicated that the host plants affected the preference, but there was no correlation between the prey preference and oviposition preference of the predator, and the preference of the predator for BPH eggs on various varieties was not related to the egg density on these varieties.

#### 3.2 Preference of C. lividipennis for BPH eggs on different nitrogen-level rice plants

No significant difference was found in the number of BPH eggs in all combinations (Table 2). C. *lividipennis* showed neither foraging preference for BPH eggs on rice plants treated with different levels of nitrogen nor oviposition preference for rice plants with different levels of nitrogen (Table 2).

# 3.3 Response of C. lividipennis to volatiles emitted from differently treated rice plants

In all tested rice varieties, C. lividipennis preferred the odour of healthy plants to the clean air without plants, preferred plants with BPH nymphs to healthy plants, and preferred

plants	with	BPH	gravi	d females	s to t	the plants	with	BPH	nymph	s in	almost	t all	the	teste	d varie	ties.
Howev	er, t	here	was n	o signific	ant	difference	e betv	ween	plants	with	BPH	nym	phs	and	plants	with
BPH e	ravid	fema	ales fo	r IR26 a	nd I	Ping 97 –	59 (	Fig.2	2).							

Rice variety	No. of <i>N</i> . <i>lugens</i> eggs (No./pot)	Index of preference B	No. of C. lividipennis eggs (No./pot) $3.00 \pm 1.79$ $2.83 \pm 1.14$		
Bing 96 – 42	144.00 ± 23.13	0.63 ± 0.09			
TN1	95.83 ± 18.12	$0.37 \pm 0.09$			
	n.s.ª	*	n.s.		
Nabeshi	203.17 ± 23.77	0.55 ± 0.16	$4.00 \pm 2.53$		
TN1	$120.00 \pm 15.62$	$0.45 \pm 0.16$	$2.17 \pm 1.17$		
	*	n.s.	n.s.		
Bing 97 – 34	67.00 ± 9.17	$0.75 \pm 0.08$	4.50 ± 1.82		
TN1	73.33 ± 9.79	$0.25 \pm 0.08$	$3.17 \pm 1.70$		
	n.s.	* *	n.s.		
Shiushui 63	$68.22 \pm 8.00$	$0.57 \pm 0.10$	$2.33 \pm 1.85$		
TN1	$56.78 \pm 9.12$	$0.43 \pm 0.10$	$3.22 \pm 1.36$		
	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.		
Bing 97 – 59	61.50 ± 14.66	$0.53 \pm 0.13$	$2.63 \pm 1.05$		
TN1	$66.25 \pm 12.58$	$0.47 \pm 0.13$	$3.50 \pm 1.51$		
	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.		
Zhe852	99.40 ± 26.01	$0.51 \pm 0.11$	9.80 ± 3.48		
TN1	97.00 ± 5.00	$0.49 \pm 0.11$	$2.40 \pm 0.75$		
	n.s.	n.s.	*		
IR64	121.33 ± 28.78	0.73 ± 0.09	8.33 ± 2.44		
TN1	103.67 ± 24.01	$0.27 \pm 0.09$	$6.78 \pm 1.69$		
	n.s.	* *	n.s.		
IR26	145.08 ± 30.03	$0.77 \pm 0.07$	9.14 ± 5.69		
TN1	119.08 ± 28.94	$0.23 \pm 0.07$	$2.31 \pm 1.04$		
	n.s.	* *	n.s.		

Table 1 Preference of C. lividipennis for eggs of brown planthopper on different rice varieties.

a. \* significant at P = 0.05, \* \* significant at P = 0.01, n.s. not significant at P = 0.05(t test).

# 3.4 Response of C. lividipennis to volatiles emitted from different rice varieties

The results demonstrated that the predator preferred volatiles emitted from IR64 healthy plants to that from TN1 healthy plants, and TN1 healthy plants to Ping 97 – 59 healthy plants, while in other two combinations, healthy plants of Ping 97 – 34 and IR26 vs. the corresponding plants of TN1, no difference was found (Fig.3). When rice plants were damaged by BPH gravid femeales, some changes were found in attractiveness of volatiles emitted from rice plants to the predator (Fig. 3). Odours from plants with BPH gravid females of Ping 97 – 34, IR26 and IR64 showed stronger attractiveness to the predator than those from corresponding plants of TN1, while the predator preferred odours emitted from BPH gravid female damaged plants of TN1 to that from BPH gravid female damaged plants of Ping 97 – 59.





BK, HP, PN and PF denote blank, healthy plants, nymph-damaged plants, and gravid female-damaged plants respectively. \*, \* \*, and n.s. show the difference between two treatments is significance (P < 0.05), highly significance (P < 0.01), and not significance (P > 0.05) (t test), respectively.

Table 2	Preference of C	lividipennis	for eggs of l	brown planth	opper on differ	ent nitrogen lev	vel rice p	lants .
---------	-----------------	--------------	---------------	--------------	-----------------	------------------	------------	---------

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Nitrogen level	No. of N. lugens eggs	Index of preference	No. of C. lividipennis eggs
(Kg/ha.)	(No./pot)	b	(No./pot)
450	50.50 ± 16.86	$0.52 \pm 0.18$	3.17 ± 0.92
0	$52.33 \pm 7.22$	$0.48 \pm 0.18$	$5.67 \pm 3.37$
	n.s.*	n.s.	n.s.
300	$49.55 \pm 7.41$	$0.44 \pm 0.10$	$2.89 \pm 1.22$
0	$65.82 \pm 12.41$	$0.56 \pm 0.10$	$2.67 \pm 0.80$
	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
150	59.50 ± 12.97	$0.60 \pm 0.23$	$2.17 \pm 0.75$
0	$73.50 \pm 23.05$	$0.40 \pm 0.23$	$3.00 \pm 1.75$
	n.s	n.s.	n.s.
75	57.83 ± 5.71	$0.51 \pm 0.16$	$2.67 \pm 0.92$
0	$63.33 \pm 6.10$	$0.49 \pm 0.16$	$2.33 \pm 0.95$
	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

a. n.s. not significant at P = 0.05 (t test).

# 3.5 Response of C. *lividipennis* to volatiles emitted from rice plants with different levels of nitrogen

C. lividipennis did not differentiate volatiles from rice plants treated with different levels of nitrogen(Fig.4). Predator responses did not deviate significantly (P > 0.05) from the expected response in any of the six combinations.



Fig.3 Responses of C. lividipennis to volatiles emitted from various rice varieties.
\* , \* \* , and n.s. show the difference between two treatments is significance (P < 0.05), highly significance (P < 0.01), and not significance (P > 0.05) (t test), respectively.



Fig.4 Responses of C. lividipennis to volatiles emitted from rice plants with different-level nitrogen. n.s. show the difference between two treatments is not significance (P > 0.05) (t test).

#### 4 DISCUSSION

Our results clearly showed that C. *livdipennis* had a different preference for brown planthopper eggs on different rice varieties, and the preference of the predator for BPH eggs on various rice varieties was not related to BPH egg density on these rice varieties (Table 1). This suggests that rice varieties influenced the foraging behaviour of C. *lividipennis*. Olfactory response test indicated that C. *lividipennis* had an obvious behavioural response to rice volatiles, and preferred BPH nymph-damaged plants to healthy plants, BPH female adult-damaged plants to BPH nymph-damaged plants (Fig.2). Moreover, there were significant differences in attractiveness to C. *lividipennis* between rice varieties (Fig.3). These demonstrated that the volatiles of rice plants played an important role in mediating the prey-searching behaviour of C. *lividipennis* and they can be affected by rice variety, and BPH feeding and / or oviposition. The difference in attractiveness between BPH nymph damaged plants and female adult damaged plants may result from BPH eggs or plant-BPH oviposition interactions. The reasons need to be elucidated.

The results of prey preference of the predator for BPH eggs on various rice varieties were almost consistent with those of olfactory response of the predator to the volatiles of rice plants except the case of TN1 and Ping 97 - 59. In the case of TN1 and Ping 97 - 59, the predator showed no preference for BPH eggs on both varieties, but it was more attracted by the volatiles from TN1(Table 1, Fig.3). This suggests that the volatile of rice plants was an important factor, but not the only factor that influences the foraging behaviour of the predator. It is likely that the predator relied on several cues, such as chemical (volatile and contact chemicals) and physical cues (plant texture, plant shape etc.), through employing several sensory modalities which are usually used in combination (Wäcker and Lewis 1994), to decide where to search for suitable preys. In fact, the role of physical and contact chemical cues in the foraging behaviour of

natural enemies has been well documented (Vinson and Iwantsch 1980, Meyhöfer and Dorn 1994, Wäcker and Lewis 1994). However, the physical and contact chemical cues that influence the foraging behaviour of C. *lividipennis* remained unknown.

Unlike BPH and other rice insect pests that respond differently to rice plants treated with different levels of nitrogen (Cheng and He 1996), *C*. *livdipennis* apparently does not discriminate between them (Table 2, Fig.4). It seems that nitrogen levels do not influence the production of predator-attracting volatiles by rice. The chemical composition of the volatiles emitted from the evaluated genotypes and nitrogen levels is not known.

The result that there was no correlation between the prey preference and oviposition preference of C. lividipennis suggests that the mechanisms of prey searching behaviour and oviposition behaviour of C. lividipennis may be different. It is likely that C. lividipennis use different cues from plants to decide where to feed and where to oviposit in order to satisfy different demands for themselves and their offspring. However, nothing is known about this aspect. The difference between feeding behaviour and oviposition behaviour also has been found in some herbivores. For example, the female Trichoplusia ni moths prefer to feed the cotton plants damaged by conspecifics, but prefer to oviposit on undamaged plants (Landolt 1993). This maybe demonstrates that insects might adopt different survival strategies during their different life stages in order for them to get the biggest reproductive success. The results also show that the physiological state of C. lividipennis coluld influence its behavioural response to rice plants, like many other natural enemies (Lewis and Martin 1990, Lewis et al. 1997, Tumlinson et al. 1992, Vet and Dicke 1992, Dicke et al. 1998).

A potential strategy for improving the control of insect pests is combining the beneficial effects of host plant resistance and natural enemies by breeding plants that resist specific petsts and simultaneously encourage specific natural enemies (Rapusas et al. 1996, Lewis et al. 1997, Bottrell et al. 1998). Our data show this approach may be useful in controlling the brown planthopper. For example, IR26, IR64 and Ping 97 - 34 are resistant to the brown planthopper and they also have a strong attractiveness to C. lividipennis. However, field experiments are needed to measure the real role of rice volatiles in augmenting the effectiveness of C. lividipennis. Moreover, it is necessary to understand the effects of rice varieties on performance of C. lividipennis. A variety may be not useful or even harmful if it has a negative effect on the development of natural enemies, although it has strong natural enemy-attracting volatiles. It maybe causes two negative effects: decrease of the volatiles' attractiveness to natural enemies and decrease of the number of natural enemies. So we need consider the effects of these two aspects of plants on natural enemies when we hope to breed varieties for enhancing the effectiveness of natural enemies. Another strategy for improving pest control is adjusting the total ecosystem to enhance the number and effectiveness of natural enemies and decrease the harmful effects of pests (Lewis et al. 1997). In fact, these two strategies are complementary. So the integration of these two strategies is necessary for the best long-term results.

Acknowledgements We thank YUNA Xiao-hua, DU Meng-hao, LIU Dong and GUO Huawei for their unflagging assistance with laboratory work.

#### References

- Bottrell, D. G., P. Barbosa and F. Gould 1998 Manipulating natural enemies by plant variety selection and modification: A realistic strategy? Annural Review of Entomology 43: 347-367.
- Cheng, J. and J. He 1996 Rice Insect Pests. Beijing: China Agricultural Press.
- Dicke, M. 1994 Local and systemic production of volatile herbivore-induced terpenoids: their role in plant-carnivore mutualism. Journal of Plant Physiology 143: 465-472.
- Dicke, M. 1999 Specificity of herbivore-induced plant defences. In: Insect-plant interactions and induced plant defence, Chichester: Wiley. (Novartis Foundation Symposium 223), pp. 43-59.
- Dicke, M. and M. W. Sabelis 1988 How plants obtain predatory mites as bodyguards. Netherlands Journal of Zoology 38:148-165.
- Dicke, M., M. W. Sabelis, J. Takabayashi et al. 1990 Plant strategies of manipulating predator-prey interactions through allelochemicals: Prospects for application in pest control. Journal of Chemical Ecology 16:3091-3118.
- Dicke, M., J. Takabayashi, M. A. Posthumus et al. 1998 Plantphytoseiid interactions mediated by herbivoreinduced plant volatiles; variation in production of cues and in responses of predatory mites. Experimental & Applied Acarology 22: 311-333.
- Döbel, H. G. and R. F. Denno 1994 Predator-planthopper interactions. In: Planthoppers, Their Ecology and Management, R. F. Denno and T. J. Perfect (Eds.), New York: Chapman & Hall, pp.325-399.
- Geervliet, J. B. F., M. A. Posthumus, L. E. M. Vet et al. 1997 Comparative analysis of headspace volatiles from different caterpillar-infested or uninfested food plants of Pieris species. Journal of Chemical Ecology 23 (12):2935-2954.
- Khan, Z. R. and R. C. Saxena 1986 Effect of stream distillate extracts of resistant and susceptible rice cultivars on behaviour of Sogatella furcifera (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 79: 928-935.
- Landolt, P.J. 1993 Effects of host plant leaf damage on cabbage looper moth attraction and oviposition. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 67: 79-86.
- Lewis, W. J. and W. R. Jr. Martin 1990 Semiochemicals for use with parasitoids: status and future. Journal of Chemical Ecology 16:3067-3089.
- Lewis, W. J., J. C. van Leteren, S. C. Phatak et al. 1997 A total system approach to sustainable pest management. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sicence, USA 94:12243-12248.
- Lou, Y. and J. Cheng 1996 Behavioural responses of Anagrus nilaparvatae Pang et Wang to the volatiles of rice varieties. Entomological Journal of East China 5:60-64
- Lou, Y. and J. Cheng 1997 Interactions among host plants, phytophagous insects and natural enemies and their research methods. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* 8:325-331.
- Loughrin, J. H., D. A. Potter and T. R. Hamilton-Kemp 1995 Volatiles emitted by different cotton varieties damaged by feeding beet armyworm larvae. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 21:1217-1227.
- Manly, B. F. J., P. Miller and L. M. Cook 1972 Analysis of a selective predation experiment. American naturalist 106: 719-736.
- McCall, P. J., T. C. J. Turlings, W. J. Lewis et al. 1993 Role of plant volatile in host location by the specialist parasitoid Microplitis croceipes Cresson (Braconidae: Hymenoptera). Journal of Insect Behaviour 6: 625-639.
- Meyhöfer, R. J. C. and S. Dorn 1994 Host location by a parasitoid using leafminer vibrations: characterizing the vibrational signal produced by the leafmining host. *Physiological Entomology* 19:349-359.
- Rapusas, H. R., D. G. Bottrell and M. Coll 1996 Intraspecific variation in chemical attraction of rice to insect predators. Biological Control 6: 394-440.
- Takabayashi, J. and M. Dicke 1996 Plant-carnivore mutualism through herbivore-induced carnivore attractants. Trends Plant Science 1: 109-113.
- Takabayashi, J., M. Dicke and M. A. Posthumus 1991 Variation in composition of predator-attracting allelochemicals emitted by herbivore-infested plants; relative influence of plant and herbivore. *Chemoecology* 2:1-6.
- Takabayashi, J., M. Dicke and M. A. Posthumus 1994 Volatile herbivore-induced terpenoids in plant-mite interactions; variation caused by biotic and abiotic factors. Journal of Chemical Ecology 20: 1329-1354.
- Tumlinson, J. H., T. C. J. Turlings and W. J. Lewis 1992 The semiochemical complexes that mediate insect parasitoid foraging. Agricultural Zoology Review 5: 221-252.

- Turlings, T. C. J. and B. Benrey 1998 Effects of plant metabolites on the behaviour and development of parasitic wasps. Ecoscience 5: 321-333.
- Turlings, T. C. J., J. H. Turlinson and W. J. Lewis 1990 Exploitation of herbivore-induced plant odours by host-seeking wasps. Science 250: 1251-1253.
- Turlings, T. C. J., J. H. Tumlinson, F. J. Eller et al. 1991 Larval-damaged plants: source of volatile synomones that guide the parasitoid Cotesia marginiventris to the microhabitat of its hosts. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 58: 75-82.
- Turlings, T. C. J., F. L. Wackers, L. E. M. Vet et al. 1993 Leaning of host-finding cues by hymenopterous parasitoids. In: Insect Leaning: Ecological and Evolutionary Perspectives. (eds D. R. Papaj, A. C. Lewis), New York: Chapman & Hall, pp. 51-78.
- Turlings, T.C. J., J. H. Loughrin, P. J. McCall et al. 1995 How caterpillar-damaged plants Protect themselves by attracting parasitic wasps. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sicence, USA 92: 4169-4174.
- Vet, L. E. M., A. G. D. Jong, E. Franchi et al. 1998 The effect of complete versus incomplete information on odour discrimination in a parasitic wasp. Animal Behaviour 55: 1271-1279.
- Vet, L. E. M. 1999 Evolutionary aspects of plant-carnivore interactions. In: Insect-plant Interactions and Induced Plant Defence, Chichester Wiley, (Novartis Foundation Symposium 223), pp.3-13.
- Vet, L. E. M. and M. Dicke 1992 Ecology of infochemical use by natural enemies in a tritrophic context. Annual Review of Entomology 37: 141-172.
- Vinson, S. B. and G. F. Iwantsch 1980 Host suitability for insect parasitoids. Annual Review of Entomology 25: 397-419.
- Wäcker, F. and W. J. Lewis 1994 Olfactory and visual learning and their combined influence on host site location by the parasitoid *Microplitis croceipes* (Cresson). *Biological Control* 4: 105-112.

#### 水稻挥发物在黑肩绿盲蝽捕食行为中的作用

娄永根 程家安

浙江大学应用昆虫学研究所,杭州 310029

在室内研究了水稻品种和氮肥水平对黑肩绿盲蝽对褐飞虱卵嗜好性的影响,及其与水稻挥发物的 关系。双向选择试验表明,黑肩绿盲蝽对不同水稻品种上的褐飞虱卵有着不同的嗜好性,但对不同氮肥 水平稻株上褐飞虱卵的嗜好性无明显差异。嗅觉试验表明,黑肩绿盲蝽对水稻健康苗挥发物的趋性明 显地强于空白对照,对褐飞虱若虫为害苗挥发物的趋性强于健康苗,对褐飞虱雌成虫为害苗的趋性又强 于若虫为害苗。不同品种的水稻挥发物对黑肩绿盲蝽的引诱作用存在明显差异,但不同氮肥水平的水 稻挥发物间差异不显著。上述结果表明,水稻挥发物在黑肩绿盲蝽的捕食行为中起着重要作用。文中 就如何改进水稻特性和栽培措施以增强天敌作用进行了讨论。

关键词 黑肩绿盲蝽 褐飞虱 水稻品种 氮肥水平 三营养层相互作用