# SOME FURTHER TAXONOMIC OBSERVATIONS ON DELPHACIDAE (HOM.), INCLUDING A SYNONYMIC CHANGE AND A NEW EUROPEAN SPECIES

By W. J. LE QUESNE'

Some new facts have come to light since I wrote my recent paper on this family (Le Quesne, 1960), which necessitate a further publication. These are largely based on correspondence with Dr. W. Wagner, Professor H. Ribaut, Dr. R. Linnavuori, Dr. S. von Keler and Dr. W. Forster, to all of whom I am much indebted.

### Megamelodes Le Quesne, 1960

(=Delphacodes Linnàvuori (1957), et Dlabola (1957), nec Fieber (1866))

Both Dr. W. Wagner and Professor H. Ribaut have suggested to me (in litt.) that the direction of the outer keels of the pronotum may not be a good generic character: Wagner has pointed out that two apparently very similar species, propingua Fieber and albicollis Motsch. (cf. Wagner, 1954) would have to be allocated to

separate genera on the basis of this character.

I certainly feel that the genera Megamelodes and Delphacodes Fieber (as used in Le Quesne, 1960) are quite closely allied, but on a basis of examination of the British species only, I still feel inclined to regard them as distinct. However, I should like to point out that should the view of Wagner and Ribaut be found to be the correct one, the whole combined genus will have to be called Delphacodes Fieber, 1866, rather than Calligypona Sahlberg, 1871, the name which these authors have used in publications hitherto. In these circumstances, the alteration in my check list (1960) would be only to transfer the four species there referred to Megamelodes back to Delphacodes.

## Megamelodes linnavuorii sp. nov.

(=Delphacodes mulsanti Linnavuori (1957), et Dlabola (1957), nec Fieber (1866))

As discussed in my earlier paper (Le Queșne, 1960), I cannot regard the species described by Linnavuori (1957) and Dlabola (1957) as being the same as Fieber's species, in view of the differences in the male génitalia. Dr. Linnavuori has agreed to my renaming this species, as Professor Ribaut has informed me (in litt.) that it is not identical with audrasi Ribaut, 1954. I therefore propose to call the species Megamelodes linnavuorii.

Dr. W. Wagner has kindly loaned me two specimens from Canet Plage, Pyrénées-Orientales, France. These were closely allied to *limavuorii*, but differed from it in the form of the aedeagus: I could not regard these as *Delphacodes mulsanti*, for

the same reasons as cited in the case of linnavuorii.

٠,

Delphacodes pellucida (Fabricius, 1794) = marginata (Fabricius, 1794)

Delphacodes striatella (Fallén, 1826) = marginata auett. nec (Fabricius, 1794)

Dr. W. Wagner informs me (in litt.) that he has examined the type of Fulgora marginata Fabricius at the Zoological Institute at Kiel: it was a male identical with pellucida Fabricius. The name marginata has in more recent years incorrectly been taken as synonymous with striatella Fallén, and appeared as such in my recent (1960) check-list.

#### Delphacodes boldi (Scott, 1870) = consanguinea (Scott, 1873)

Dr. W. Wagner also tells me (in litt.) that he has examined the type of consanguinea Scott, which he saw through the kindness of Dr. China, and found it identical with boldi. I have now reexamined the type of consanguinea in the British Museum (Nat. Hist.) and confirm his finding. In my check-list (1960), I incorrectly gave consanguinea as a synonym of distincta Flor.

#### Chloriona unicolor (Herrich-Schaeffer, 1835)

( – cdwardsi Le Quesne (1960), = prasinula Edwards (1898), nec Fieber (1872))

Linnavuori (1958) published a description of Chloriona unicolor Herrich-Schaeffer, which I saw recently. On my writing to Dr. Linnavuori, he kindly sent me a specimen which, as I had suspected, was identical with my cdwardsi. I thereupon wrote to Dr. W. Wagner, who replied that he also regarded cdwardsi (of which I sent him details) and unicolor as identical, drawing my attention to Fieber's (1878) description, which says:—"Tube anal enfoncé, court, carré vu de derrière; avec une petite pointe rousce subulée, fine, proéminente, à ses 2 angles inférieurs". Moreover Wagner says that he only knows five Chloriona species from Central Europe, i.e. dorsata Edwards, glaucescens l'ieber, smaragdula Stâl (—prasimula Fieber), unicolor Herrich-Schaeffer and vasconica Ribaut.

I have already (1960) shown the identity of edwards Le Quesne with the species regarded by Edwards (1898) as prasimula, in disagreement with Fieber's description of the latter species. I could not find an intact male labelled unicolor in the British Museum (Nat. Hist.). I learned through Dr. von Keler that the Zoologische Sammlung des Bayerischen Staates at Munich had contained part of the Herrich-Schaeffer collection of Hemiptera; the whereabouts of the other part seems unknown. I wrote to this Museum to learn that the whole of the collection in their possession had been destroyed during an air-raid in 1944.

In these circumstances, since the type of *unicolor* is missing and Herrich-Schaeffer's description is inadequate, Fieber must be regarded as the first reviser to describe the species clearly. I, therefore, now regard *edwardsi* Le Quesne as a synonym of *unicolor* Herrich-Schaeffer.

#### References