Museum, London, UK for identification of the insect.

## References

Mohammad A. 1981. The groundnut leafminer, Aproaerema modicella Deventer (=Stomopteryx subsecivella Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae): A review of world literature. Occasional Paper 3. Patancheru, India: Groundnut Improvement Program, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. (Limited distribution.)

Page WW, Epieru G, Kimmins FM, Busolo-Bulafu C, and Nalyongo PW. 2000. Groundnut leaf miner Aproaerema modicella: A new pest in eastern districts of Uganda. International Arachis Newsletter 20:64-66.

Shanower TG, Wightman JA, and Gutierrez AP. 1993. Biology and control of the groundnut leafminer, modicella (Deventer) (Lepidoptera: Aproaerema Gelechiidae). Crop Protection 12:3-10.

Subrahmanyam P, Chiyembekeza AJ, and Ranga Rao GV. 2000. Occurrence of groundnut leaf miner in northern Malawi. International Arachis Newsletter 20:66--67.

## Screening Wild Arachis for Resistance to Groundnut Plant Hopper Hilda patruelis in Malawi

EM Minja<sup>1</sup>, PJA van der Merwe<sup>2</sup>, ME Ferguson<sup>3</sup>, and PJ Mviha4 (1. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), PO Box 39063, Nairobi, Kenya; Present address: CIAT-Arusha, PO Box 2704, Arusha, Tanzania; 2. ICRISAT, PO Box 1096, Lilongwe, Malawi; 3. ICRISAT, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India; Present address: ICRISAT, PO Box 39063, Nairobi, Kenya; 4. Chitedze Research Station, PO Box 158, Lilongwe, Malawi)

The groundnut plant hopper (Hilda patruelis Stål) is a destructive but sporadic pest of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea) and other crops in Southern Africa (NRI 1996). A number of non-crop host plants have recently been documented as

alternative hosts of groundnut hopper in Malawi and Zimbabwe (Minja et al. 1999). Hilda infests 2-5% groundnut plants in most countries of southern Africa during the normal growing seasons, but extensive damage (up to 80%) has been observed in some groundnut fields during prolonged dry spells or in off-season crops (Weaving 1980, Minja et al. 1999). Research on the groundnut plant hopper has been undertaken in Zimbabwe (PPRI 1982) and South Africa (Van Eeden 1993). The research efforts in South Africa resulted in the identification of some wild Arachis and cultivated groundnut genotypes with resistance to H. patruelis (PS Van Wyk, Agriculture Research Council, Portchefstroom, South Africa, personal communication). Some of those lines were sent to Malawi for field screening (Table 1).

The genotypes were first planted in single row plots of 6 m, during the long rainy season (December-March) in 1999 to increase the seed and preliminary assessment for Hilda, termites, and groundnut rosette incidence. Seed germination was poor, particularly in A. arasterio, where only one seed per row germinated and survived to harvest (Table 1). All the lines were compared to IL 24 as a local check, which matured almost at the same time as the test genotypes. In the results, only the means are shown because the variations were too large due to mortality and poor germination. These preliminary observations indicated that Hilda infested all the test genotypes (Table 1). Termites and rosette incidence were also observed in all the test genotypes except on A. arasterio. Due to heavy rainfall, most of the plants remained green till harvest, but due to poor plant stand, no comparisons were made.

In the winter crop (off-season) planted in June 2000, seed germination was >95% and there was no termite or rosette incidence. But Hilda infestation was observed on all plants at the late podding stage (Table 2). JL 24, ICG 8740, ICGV 90082, and ICGV 93437 were included in the trial as local checks. There were two rows per plot of 6 m. All A. arasterio plants were green and healthy at the time of assessment, whereas ICG 8740 had the highest number of dead and wilted plants. The 6 wild species as well as PC 205 DB and

Constant	Ω				£	İ
Genotype	Registration no.	Origin	Collection (year)	) Hilda	Termites	s Kosette
Wild Arachis						
A. villosulicarpa	RG 296	USA	1971	20	56	22
A. erecta	RG 294	Tanganyika	1971	28	9	61
A. arasterio <sup>1</sup>	RG 293	Zimbabwe	1971	100	0	0
A. monticola	RG 373	USA	1971	44	<b>∞</b>	40
A. sp 1	RG 591	USA	1987	30	6	6
A. sp 2	RG 593	NSA	1987	18	15	6
Cultivated checks						
Sellie	(= Natal Common × Namark)	South Africa	1999	33	13	47
PC 205 DB	$(= Harts \times (Sellie \times (Guat \times Atete)))$	South Africa	1999	56	6	49
PC 186 K2	$(= Swallow (Sellie \times (Guat \times Atete)))$	South Africa	1999	54	4	26
JL 24		India		36	18	48
ICGV 93437	$(= ICGV 86063 \times ICGV 86065)$	ICRISAT				
ICGV 90082	$(= NCAc 343 \times (OG 69 \times NCAc 17090))$	ICRISAT				
Mean (with A. arasterio) ± SE		· 1	•	$41.9 \pm 17.4$	$17.8 \pm 13.6$	$31.1 \pm 21.7$
Mean (without A. arasterio) $\pm$ SE				$35.4 \pm 11.6$	$19.8 \pm 14.0$	$34.6 \pm 22.3$

Table 2. Reaction of wild Arachis and cultivated groundnut genotypes to natural Hilda patruelis infestation in winter-sown (off-season) field trial at late podding stage at Chitedze, Malawi during mid-October 2000.

Genotype	Green and healthy plants (%)	Wilted plants (%)	Dead plants (%)
Wild Arachis			
A. villosulicarpa	93	7	0
A. erecta	87	13	. 0
A. arasterio	100	0	0
A. monticola	95	5	0
A. sp 1	89	4	7
A. sp 2	86	10	4
Cultivated checks			
Sellie	41	29	30
PC 205 DB	92	8	0
PC 186 K2	57	23	20
JL 24	44	33	23
ICG 8740	12	20	68
ICGV 93437	90	10	0
ICGV 90082	51	15	34
Mean	72.1	13.6	14.3
SE ±	12.7	10.2	13.8

ICGV 93437 were quite healthy at the late podding stage despite *Hilda* infestation. The number of dead plants in these entries ranged from 0 to 7%, compared to a mean of 14.3% dead plants at podding. ICGV 93437 has been released in Zimbabwe under the name Nyanda (van der Merwe et al. 2001). This variety is tolerant to drought and resistant to aphids; perhaps its resistance to *Hilda* has contributed to its release in Zimbabwe, where this pest is sporadic but one of the most serious sucking pests on the crop (Weaving 1980, PPRI 1982). These genotypes could be considered for further screening and use in groundnut improvement in southern Africa.

## References

Only 1 plant survived in a 6-m row

Minja E, Zitsanza E, Mviha P, and Sohati P. 1999. A note on host plants for the groundnut hopper, *Hilda patruelis*, in southern Africa. International *Arachis* Newsletter 19:35–36.

NRI (Natural Resources Institute). 1996. Groundnuts. Pest Control Series, PANS2 (2nd edn.). Chatham, UK: Natural Resources Institute. 348 pp.

PPRI (Plant Protection Research Institute). 1982. Annual Report 1980/81. Causeway, Harare, Zimbabwe: Department of Research and Specialist Services (DRSS). pp. 12-14.

Van Eeden CF. 1993. The ecology and injuriousness of the insect pest complex of groundnuts (Arachis hypogaea) in the South African highveld region. PhD Thesis, University of Orange Free Sate, South Africa. 144 pp.

van der Merwe PJA, Subrahmanyam P, Kimmins FM, and Willekens J. 2001. Progress Report of the DFID funded Project on Groundnut Rosette Disease Management, June 2000 to June 2001. ICRISAT in collaboration with NRI and SAARI. Lilongwe, Malawi: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Weaving AJS. 1980. Observations on Hilda patruelis Stål (Homoptera: Tettigometridae) and its infestation of the groundnut crop in Rhodesia. Journal of the Entomological Society of South Africa 43:151–167.

## Correlation Studies on the Attraction of Groundnut Leaf Miner Aproaerema modicella Moths and Weather Factors

C Muthiah and A Abdul Kareem (Coconut Research Station, Veppankulam 614 906, Thanjavur District, Tamil Nadu, India)

The groundnut leaf miner Aproaerema modicella is one of the most important and widely distributed foliage feeders of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea) crop in Asia (Wightman et al. 1990). It affects the growth and yield of the plants, especially in rainfed groundnut. Logiswaran and Mohanasundaram (1985) reported pod yield losses of >50% due to leaf miner. Groundnut leaf miner populations fluctuate widely between seasons. Abiotic factors, principally rainfall, humidity, and temperature are frequently suggested as causes of population fluctuations. An early detection of the pest is often the key to its effective management. The trapping technique may be a more effective method of detection of the pest than the visual method to determine the intensity of incidence. Adult populations of A. modicella have been monitored so far through light trap. The identification of female sex pheromone of A. modicella has made it possible to use traps baited with synthetic pheromone for monitoring this pest. We worked out the relationship between moths caught in the light trap as well as pheromone trap and weather factors to assess the influence of these weather factors on the field incidence and moth catches.

The study was conducted at the Oilseeds Research Station, Tindivanam, Tamil Nadu, India during 1996 rainy season (kharif) at 30 days after sowing. The pheromone traps (Delta trap) were placed in the groundnut field and the number of moths caught was recorded daily for 50 days. The moths caught in the light trap were also recorded daily for the same period. Multiple regression equations were fitted with weather factors to define their relationship with the number of moths caught in the light trap and pheromone trap.

The results of the multiple regression analyses are presented in Tables 1 and 2. While considering pheromone trap catches for a period of 50 days, relative humidity alone exerted a significant positive influence whereas the maximum and minimum temperature and rainfall exerted a negative influence on adult emergence and was not significant (Table 1). The multiple regression equation fitted with the weather factors (X) for pheromone trap catches (Y) of leaf miner moths is:

$$Y = -11.55 - 0.39^{NS} X_1 - 0.65^{NS} X_2 + 1.63^* X_3 - 0.28^{NS} X_4$$

where  $X_1$  is maximum temperature,  $X_2$  is minimum temperature, X<sub>3</sub> is relative humidity, and  $X_4$  is rainfall constant.

While considering light trap catches of leaf miner moths for the same period of 50 days, the relative humidity alone exerted a significant positive influence whereas the maximum and minimum temperature and rainfall exerted a negative influence on adult emergence which