



EVALUATION OF ENTOMOPATHOGENIC FUNGI AGAINST *PEREGRINUS MAIDIS* (ASHMEAD)

D SAICHARAN* AND S S KARABHANTANAL

Department of Agricultural Entomology, University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad,
College of Agriculture, Vijayapur 586101, Karnataka, India
*Email: charan.dharavath@gmail.com (corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

The present study to evaluate entomopathogenic fungi for the management of sorghum shoot bug, *Peregrinus maidis* (Ashmead) revealed that, among the entomopathogens applied in various methods (foliar spray and whorl application along with FYM), Raichur strain (UASR BC VL 1) of *Lecanicillium lecani* (2×10^8 CFU) @ 2g/l directed to whorl region excelled in reducing shoot bug population (5.49/plant), % plant damage (58.62%) and superior plant growth parameters over all other EPF (Entomopathogenic fungi) treatments which was on par results with standard check, cypermethrin 25% EC @ 0.50ml/lit directed to whorl region (5.78/plant & 65.46%). Whereas, all the EPF treatments and untreated control showed superiority in conserving the natural enemy population (spiders and coccinellids) but standard check due to its chemical properties led to the reduction in the beneficial insects with lowest spider (0.54/plant) and coccinellid (0.87/plant) population.

Key words: Coccinellids, Delphacidae, entomopathogenic fungi, farm yard manure, foliar spray, Hemiptera, *Lecanicillium lecani*, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, shoot bug, sorghum, spiders

Sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] is one of the major sources of food for millions of people in tropics and semi-arid tropics of world (Dolly et al., 2019). In India, sorghum is cultivated in an area of 4.80 million ha with an annual production of 4.40 mt (Anon, 2020a) of grain with a productivity of 1005 kg/ha (Anon, 2020b). It has been reported that nearly 150 pests are known to attack the crop at various stages from the day of sowing till harvest (Reddy and Davies, 1979 and Jotwani et al., 1980). Shoot bug *Peregrinus maidis* (Ashmead) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) is a major sucking pest of sorghum in Northern dry zone of Karnataka. It has been reported that sorghum shoot bug could cause overall loss of, 31.85 % in grain and 33.53 % in fodder yield under unprotected condition during rabi season (Akshatha et al., 2020). In view of these, there is an urgent need to find alternative measures that is friendly to the environment. Among the various components of biocontrol, entomopathogens in general and mycoinsecticides (entomogenous fungi) are most versatile biological control agents. Moreover, there were minimal studies in relation to the management of shoot bug through entomopathogens unlike brown plant hopper. Therefore, efforts were made with the following objectives for the management of *P. maidis* by using entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) in rabi sorghum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The studies on the evaluation on entomopathogenic fungi in the management of *P. maidis* was conducted during rabi season using M 35-1 sorghum variety. A field experiment was laid out in Complete Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with three replications during rabi season, 2020-21 at Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Vijayapur, Karnataka, India with following eleven treatments after 30 days after emergence. All the cultural and other operations except plant protection measures were carried out in the experimental plot as per the recommended package of practices (Anon, 2018). SPAD stands for Soil Plant Analysis Development meter which is an equipment which was used to calculate the relative chlorophyll content from the sorghum leaves. SPAD-502 was used as suggested by Markwell et al. (1995). % reduction of shoot bug population over control was worked out using Henderson and Tilton (1955) formula:

$$\text{Corrected \%} = \frac{\text{n in Co before treatment} \times \text{n in T after treatment}}{\text{n in Co after treatment} \times \text{n in T before treatment}} \times 100$$

Where, n = Insect population, T = treated, and Co = control

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results indicated that the mean effect of treatments on *P. maidis* incidence and % reduction over control was significantly highest in foliar application of Raichur strain (UASR BC VL 1) of *Lecanicillium lecani* (2×10^8 CFU) @ 2g/ l directed to whorl region (4.44/ plant and 63.49%) and foliar application of commercial *L. lecani* (2×10^8 CFU) @ 2g/ l directed to whorl region (5.49/plant and 58.62%) and they excelled their effect over standard check insecticide, cypermethrin 25% EC @ 0.50ml/l directed to whorl region (5.78/ plant and 65.46 (Table 1). These findings were similar to those of Harichandra and Shekharappa (2009) that *M. anisopliae* and *Verticillium lecanii* recorded minimum leafhoppers/ three leaves and maximum yield of 38.80 and 38.50 q/ ha, respectively. Patil et al. (2012) on the efficacy of *V. lecanii* (1.150/0 WP) against sucking pest complex on transgenic *Bt* cotton indicated that Verticel @ 7.50 kg/ ha registered least number of thrips, aphids and leafhoppers and found to be on par with acetamiprid 20SP @ 100 g/ ha. Reddy et al. (2013) stated that *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *L. lecani* @ 5 g/l having 1×10^8 CFU along with standard check- acephate 75% SP @ 1.5 g/ l were effective against *Nilaparvata lugens* Stal in paddy. Against *N. lugens* Chinniah et al. (2016) and Bailal et al. (2020) observed similar results.

Whorl application of Raichur strain (UASR BC Ma 2) of *M. anisopliae* (2×10^8 CFU) @ 1000g mixed with FYM @ 500 kg/ ha (1.64 spiders/plant) and foliar application of commercial *L. lecani* @ 2×10^8 CFU (2g/ l-1.41 coccinellids/ plant) is effective and safe to natural enemies. Cypermethrin 25EC @ 0.50 ml/ l was highly toxic to spider and coccinellids and significantly reduced these. These findings are in conformity with reports of Chi et al. (2005) who indicated that predatory spiders and water bugs were higher in fungal treatments. Venkatreddy et al. (2013) reported that *L. lecani* treated plot recorded 9.5 spiders/ hill whereas, chemical treated (acephate 75 SP) plot showed less spider counts of 4.3/ hill and relatively less toxic to predators. Patil et al. (2012) mentioned that, the lower numbers of coccinellids were recorded in standard check (acetamiprid). Results pertaining to plant growth and yield parameters had shown that Raichur strain (UASR BC VL 1) of *L. lecani* (2×10^8 CFU) @ 2g/ l directed to whorl region had shown superiority in higher no. of leaves (9.33/ plant), single leaf area (351.71 cm²), plant height (205.97 cm), relative chlorophyll content (56 SPAD), panicle emergence (92.03%), panicle length (26.10cm), panicle weight (77.32 g), grain yield (2265

kg/ ha) and fodder yield (46.03 q/ ha) which was on par to standard check cypermethrin 25EC @ 0.50 ml/ l. The studies clearly concluded that Raichur strain (UASR BC VL 1) of *L. lecani* (2×10^8 CFU) @ 2g/ l directed to whorl region had shown superiority over all other EPF treatments and on par to the standard check cypermethrin 25EC @ 0.50 ml/ l, which lead to the reduction in shoot bug population, but in contrast to standard check, EPF applied treatments encouraged natural enemies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all the technical and non-technical staff of All India Coordinated Sorghum Improvement Project (AICSP), Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), College of Agriculture, Vijayapur for support and providing facilities.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The financial support by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) through Junior Research Fellow (JRF) is acknowledged.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Dharavath designed, conducted experiments and wrote manuscript. Karabhantanal analysed data. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors clearly state that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Akshatha S, Karabhantanal S S, Jagginavar S B. 2020. Shoot bug, *Peregrinus maidis* (Ashmead): A potential threat to rabi sorghum. Journal of Entomology and Zoological Studies 8(6): 1387-1391.
- Anonymous. 2018. Package of Practices for Agriculture Crops. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India. pp. 48-52.
- Anonymous. 2020a. <https://www.usda.gov/>.
- Anonymous. 2020b. Agricultural statistics 2020.
- Bailal Atta, Muhammad Rizwan, Arshed Makhdoom Sabir, Muhammad Dildar Gogi, Muhammad Asif Farooq, Yacoub Ahmad Batta. 2020. Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi against brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (Homoptera: Delphacidae) under controlled conditions. Gesunde Pflanzen 72: 101-112.
- Chi Vo Thi Bich, Pham Quang Hung, Nguyen Thi Nhan, Nguyen Duc Thanh, Tran Thi Be ong, Nguyen Thi Loc. 2005. Economic performance by using bioinsecticides and chemical insecticides to control rice insect pests. Omonrice 13: 63-68.
- Chinniah A, Ravikumar M, Kalyanasundaram, Parthiban P. 2016. Field evaluation of *Metarhizium anisopliae* liquid formulation (BioMagic®) against brown plant hopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stal on rice. Journal of Biopesticides 9(2): 211-219.

Table 1. Evaluation of entomopathogenic fungi against *P. maidis* in rabi sorghum

Treatments	No. of shoot bugs/plant*	% reduction of shoot bugs/plant over control**	No. of spiders/plant	No. of natural enemies	% damage due to shoot bug at 90 DAS*	No of leaves per plant	Single leaf area (cm)	Plant height (cm) at harvest	Relative chlorophyll index (SPAD)	Panicle emergence (%) *	Panicle length (Cm)	Panicle Weight (gms)	Grain yield (kg/ha)	Fodder yield (q/ha)
T ₁ : Whorl application of Raichur strain (UASR BC Ma 2), <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (2×10 ⁸ CFU) mixed with FYM (1000g + 500 kg/ha)	13.24 (3.71) ^{bc}	30.25 (33.37) ^{bc}	1.64 (1.46) ^b	1.23 (1.32) ^a	16.87 (24.25) ^b	8.67 ^{bcd}	338.17 ^{cd}	186.33 ^d	54.20 ^{bc}	85.93 (67.97) ^{bc}	22.40 ^{bc}	71.25 ^c	1875 ^d	39.17 ^{cde}
T ₂ : Foliar application of Raichur strain (UASR BC Ma 2), <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> @ 2×10 ⁸ CFU (2g/l) directed to whorl region	11.18 (3.42) ^{bc}	29.39 (32.83) ^c	1.49 (1.41) ^b	1.34 (1.35) ^a	14.63 (22.49) ^b	8.93 ^{bcd}	341.28 ^{bcd}	195.4 ^{bcd}	54.50 ^{ab}	87.62 (69.40)	23.60 ^{abc}	72.31 ^{bc}	1956 ^d	41.45 ^{bcd}
T ₃ : Foliar application of Raichur strain (UASR BC VL 1), <i>Lecanicillium lecani</i> @ 2×10 ⁸ CFU (2g/l) directed to whorl region	4.44 (2.22) ^a	63.49 (52.83) ^a	1.49 (1.41) ^b	1.23 (1.32) ^a	10.06 (18.49) ^a	9.33 ^{ab}	351.71 ^{abc}	205.97 ^{ab}	56.00 ^{ab}	92.08 (73.65) ^{ab}	26.10 ^a	77.32 ^{ab}	2265 ^{ab}	46.03 ^{ab}
T ₄ : Whorl application of Raichur strain (UASR BC VL 1), <i>Lecanicillium lecani</i> (2×10 ⁸ CFU) mixed with FYM (1000g + 500 kg/ha)	9.56 (3.17) ^{bc}	36.24 (37.01) ^b	1.54 (1.43) ^b	1.37 (1.37) ^a	13.22 (21.32) ^b	9.03 ^{abc}	347.03 ^{abc}	199.84 ^{abc}	55.70 ^{ab}	90.57 (72.12) ^{ab}	24.40 ^{abc}	74.87 ^{bc}	2190 ^{bc}	43.25 ^{abc}
T ₅ : Whorl application of commercial <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (2×10 ⁸ CFU) mixed with FYM (1000g+500 kg/ha)	14.37 (3.86) ^c	25.01 (30.01) ^c	1.58 (1.44) ^b	1.31 (1.35) ^a	16.65 (24.08) ^b	8.57 ^{cd}	337.00 ^{cd}	181.77 ^{de}	53.80 ^{abc}	88.65 (70.31) ^b	22.20 ^{bc}	70.24 ^c	1790 ^c	37.70 ^{de}
T ₆ : Foliar application of commercial <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> @ 2×10 ⁸ CFU (2g/lit) directed to whorl region	11.98 (3.53) ^{bc}	37.81 (37.95) ^b	1.48 (1.41) ^b	1.35 (1.36) ^a	17.78 (24.94) ^b	8.67 ^{bcd}	346.19 ^{abcd}	190.2 ^{cd}	54.80 ^{abc}	85.67 (67.76) ^{bc}	22.90 ^{bc}	71.13 ^c	1860 ^{de}	39.65 ^{cde}

(contd.)

T ₇ : Whorl application of commercial <i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> (2×10 ⁸ CFU) mixed with FYM (1000g + 500 kg/ ha)	10.91 (3.38) ^{bc}	32.66 (34.85) ^b	1.54 (1.43) ^b	1.36 (1.36) ^a	14.63 (22.49) ^b	8.67 ^{bcd}	348.05 ^{abc}	195.3 ^{bcd}	55.40 ^{ab}	88.93 (70.57) ^b	23.80 ^{abc}	70.14 ^c	2150 ^c	42.80 ^{bcd}
T ₈ : Foliar application of commercial <i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> @ 2×10 ⁸ CFU(2g/l) directed to whorl region	5.49 (2.45) ^a	58.62 (49.96) ^a	1.46 (1.40) ^b	1.41 (1.38) ^a	11.87 (20.15) ^{ab}	9.00 ^a	348.73 ^{ab}	200.37 ^{abc}	54.90 ^{abc}	90.36 (71.91) ^{ab}	25.40 ^{ab}	75.01 ^{abc}	2250 ^{ab}	45.35 ^{ab}
T ₉ : Neem based insecticide 1500 PPM @ 4 ml/l	11.54 (3.47) ^{bc}	38.96 (38.62) ^b	1.28 (1.34) ^b	1.14 (1.28) ^a	15.07 (22.84) ^b	8.67 ^{bcd}	340.74 ^{bcd}	196.8 ^{bcd}	54.40 ^{abc}	87.89 (69.64) ^{bc}	23.60 ^{abc}	73.62 ^{bc}	1895 ^d	39.81 ^{bcd}
T ₁₀ : Cypermethrin 25% EC @ 0.50ml/lit directed to whorl region	5.78 (2.51) ^a	65.46 (54.00) ^a	0.54 (1.02) ^a	0.87 (1.17) ^b	8.25 (16.69) ^a	9.67 ^a	355.91 ^a	208.3 ^a	56.70 ^a	95.61 (77.91) ^a	26.60 ^a	80.14 ^a	2330 ^a	48.30 ^a
T ₁₁ : Untreated control (water spray)	35.32 (5.98) ^d	0.00 (0.00) ^d	1.52 (1.42) ^b	1.37 (1.37) ^a	27.67 (31.74) ^c	8.33 ^d	333.53 ^e	176.45 ^e	52.90 ^{bc}	80.35 (63.69) ^c	22.20 ^c	65.12 ^d	1670 ^f	34.55 ^e
SE.m.±	0.17	1.62	0.02	0.03	1.14	0.21	4.12	3.22	0.74	2.03	0.97	1.68	29.09	1.71
CD (p=0.05)	0.52	4.87	0.07	0.10	3.43	0.63	12.36	9.67	2.23	6.08	2.92	5.05	87.27	5.14
CV (%)	14.41	15.66	12.45	14.33	17.03	11.67	16.02	14.27	14.20	16.33	14.30	13.56	14.90	16.32

Bioagents procured from UAS, Raichur. Commercial bioagents procured from Greenlife Biotech Laboratory. DAA- Days After Application *Figures in parentheses square root transformed/ angular transformed values

- Dolly S S, Dubey S S, Choudhary K, Seema S, Neetu, Ray S S. 2019. An analysis of national-state-district level acreage and production estimates of rabi sorghum under FASAL project. The Int Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences XLII- 3/W6
- Harichandra Naik P R, Shekharappa. 2009. Field evaluation of different entomopathogenic fungal formulations against sucking pests of okra. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 22 (3): 575-578.
- Henderson C F, Tilton E W. 1955. Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. Journal of Economic Entomology 48(2): 157-161.
- Jotwani M G, Yong W R, Teets G L. 1980. Elements of integrated control of sorghum pests. FAO plant production and protection, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy 39: 159.
- Markwell J, Osterman J C, Mitchell J L. 1995. Calibration of the Minolta SPADD-502 leaf chlorophyll meter. Photosynthesis Research 46: 467-472.
- Patil S B, Udikeri S S, Vandal N B. 2012. Bioefficacy of *Verticillium lecanii* (1.150/0 WP) against sucking pest complex on transgenic Bt cotton. Journal of Cotton Research 26 (2): 222-226.
- Reddy K V S, Davies J C. 1979. Pests of sorghum and pearl millet and their parasites and predators recorded at ICRISAT centre up to August. Cereal Entomology Progress Report-2, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India.
- Venkatreddy A, Sunitha Devi R, Dhurua S, Reddy D V V. 2013. Study on the efficacy of some entomogenous fungi against brown plant hopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stal in irrigated rice. Journal of Biopesticides 6(2): 139-143.

(Manuscript Received: December, 2021;
Revised: April, 2022; Accepted: April, 2022;
Online Published: May, 2022)
Online First in www.entosocindia.org and
indianentomology.org Ref. No. e21263