# Mapping of a broad-spectrum brown planthopper resistance gene, *Bph3*, on rice chromosome 6

Jirapong Jairin · Kittiphong Phengrat · Sanguan Teangdeerith · Apichart Vanavichit · Theerayut Toojinda

Received: 8 May 2006 / Accepted: 11 August 2006 / Published online: 17 November 2006 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2006

Abstract The brown planthopper (BPH) is one of the most destructive insect pests of rice in Thailand. We performed a cluster analysis that revealed the existence of four groups corresponding to the variation of virulence against BPH resistance genes in 45 BPH populations collected in Thailand. Rice cultivars Rathu Heenati and PTB33, which carry Bph3, showed a broad-spectrum resistance against all BPH populations used in this study. The resistant gene Bph3 has been extensively studied and used in rice breeding programs against BPH; however, the chromosomal location of Bph3 in the rice genome has not yet been determined. In this study, a simple sequence repeat (SSR) analysis was performed to identify and localize the Bph3 gene derived from cvs. Rathu Heenati and PTB33. For mapping of the Bph3 locus, we developed two backcross populations, BC1F2 and  $BC_3F_2$ , from crosses of PTB33 × RD6 and Rathu Heenati × KDML105, respectively, and evaluated these for BPH resistance. Thirty-six

A. Vanavichit · T. Toojinda Center of Excellence for Rice Molecular Breeding and Product Development, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen, Nakhon Pathom 73140, Thailand polymorphic SSR markers on chromosomes 4, 6 and 10 were used to survey 15 resistant (R) and 15 susceptible (S) individuals from the backcross populations. One SSR marker, RM190, on chromosome 6 was associated with resistance and susceptibility in both backcross populations. Additional SSR markers surrounding the RM190 locus were also examined to define the location of *Bph3*. Based on the linkage analysis of 208  $BC_1F_2$ and 333  $BC_3F_2$  individuals, we were able to map the Bph3 locus between two flanking SSR markers, RM589 and RM588, on the short arm of chromosome 6 within 0.9 and 1.4 cM, respectively. This study confirms both the location of Bph3 and the allelic relationship between Bph3 and bph4 on chromosome 6 that have been previously reported. The tightly linked SSR markers will facilitate marker-assisted gene pyramiding and provide the basis for map-based cloning of the resistant gene.

**Keywords** Biotype  $\cdot$  *bph4*  $\cdot$  BPH resistance gene  $\cdot$  Broad-spectrum  $\cdot$  *Nilaparvata lugens*  $\cdot$  SSR marker

## Introduction

The brown planthopper (BPH), *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål, is one of the most serious insect pests of rice. The damage caused by BPH feeding has

J. Jairin (⊠) · K. Phengrat · S. Teangdeerith Ubon Ratchathani Rice Research Center, P.O. Box 65, Muang, Ubon Ratchathani 34000, Thailand e-mail: jirapong@dna.kps.ku.ac.th

the greatest effect on the growth and crop yield of the susceptible rice plant through the removal of assimilates and the reduction in photosynthetic rate of leaves. Plant death can occur if the amount of energy supplied is less than that required for tissue maintenance (Watanabe and Kitagawa 2000; Yuan et al. 2005). The BPH not only feeds on the rice plant directly but also transmits viruses that cause severe diseases of rice (Heinrichs 1979). The application of BPH resistance genes has been recognized as the most economic, effective measure for BPH management and the most environmentally friendly. To date, up to 20 resistance genes and several quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with BPH resistance have been reported and reviewed (Alam and Cohen 1998; Chen et al. 2006; Huang et al. 1997, 2001; Ishii et al. 1994; Jairin et al. 2005; Jena et al. 2003, 2006; Jeon et al. 1999; Kawaguchi et al. 2001; Liu et al. 2001; Mei et al. 1996; Murai et al. 2001; Murata et al. 1998, 2001; Renganayaki et al. 2002; Sharma et al. 2003a, b; Soundararajan et al. 2004; Su et al. 2002; Sun et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2001; Xu et al. 2002; Yan et al. 2002; Yang et al. 2002). Among these, Bph1, bph2, Bph3 and bph4 have been used extensively in Thai breeding programs. Improved rice cultivars carrying Bph1, bph2 and bph4, however, have lost their ability against BPH in most of the rice growing areas in Thailand, and rice cultivars carrying Bph3 have shown a higher degree and broader-spectrum of resistance against the BPH.

The rice cultivars PTB33 and Rathu Heenati demonstrate resistance to all BPH biotypes identified at IRRI and in some field populations in Asia, including India, Philippines, Vietnam, China, Bangladesh, Laos and Thailand (Angeles et al. 1986; Jairin et al. 2005; Khush 1984; Li et al. 2002; Soundararajan et al. 2004; Velusamy et al. 1995). The dominant BPH resistance gene Bph3 was first identified in cvs. Rathu Heenati acc. no. 11730 and PTB33 acc. no. 19325 (Ikeda 1985; Lakshminarayana and Khush 1977). PTB33 was found to carry two BPH resistance genes, bph2 and Bph3, and the inheritance of the digenic control of the resistance to BPH in PTB33 has been confirmed (Angeles et al. 1986). The gene Bph3 was reported to be tightly linked to a recessive resistance gene, bph4, in cv. Babawee (Ikeda and Kaneda 1981; Sidhu and Khush 1979), and this allelic relationship has also been confirmed (Angeles et al. 1986). These two allelic resistance genes were first assigned to rice chromosome 10 based on trisomic analysis (Ikeda and Kaneda 1981); however, a recent fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) study found that *Bph3* was physically localized on rice chromosome 4 (Yan et al. 2002). Moreover, a major BPH resistance gene (*Bph*17) in cv. Rathu Heenati was also assigned to rice chromosome 4 (Sun et al. 2005). However, *bph4* from cv. Babawee has been assigned to the short arm of rice chromosome 6 (Kawaguchi et al. 2001).

The aim of this study was to clarify and confirm the map position of the *Bph3* locus on the rice linkage map using two backcross populations and simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers.

### Materials and methods

### Plant materials

A differential set of ten BPH-resistant cultivars with known resistant genes for BPH, including Mudgo [acc. no. 6663 (*Bph1*); Athwal et al. 1971], ASD7 [acc. no. 6303 (bph2); Athwal et al. 1971], Rathu Heenati [acc. no. 11730 (Bph3); Lakshminarayana and Khush 1977], PTB33 (acc. no. 19325 (bph2 and Bph3); Lakshminarayana and Khush 1977], Babawee (acc. no. 8978 (bph4); Lakshminarayana and Khush 1977], ARC10550 [acc. no. 12507 (bph5); Khush et al. 1985], Swarnalata [acc. no. 33964 (Bph6); Kabir and Khush 1988], T12 (acc. no. 56989 (bph7); Kabir and Khush 1988], Chin Saba [acc. no. 33016 (bph8); Nemoto et al. 1989] and Pokkali (Bph9; Nemoto et al. 1989), were used to identify the variation of virulence among the BPH populations collected from rice fields in Thailand.

To map the *Bph3* locus, we obtained 208 progenies of a  $BC_1F_2$  population obtained from a cross between the donor parent PTB33 (acc. no. 19325) and the recurrent parent RD6 and 333 progenies of a  $BC_3F_2$  population obtained from a cross between Rathu Heenati (acc. no. 11730), the donor parent, and the recurrent parent KDML105

and used these as mapping populations. The BC<sub>1</sub> generation resulted from the backcrossing of the  $F_1$  generation with the recurrent parents. Only a resistant BC<sub>1</sub> line was selected to generate BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> of the cross of PTB33 × RD6. For the cross of Rathu Heenati × KDML105, the second backcross (BC<sub>2</sub>) and the third backcross (BC<sub>3</sub>) generations were derived from the cross of selected resistant BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> plants, respectively, to the recurrent parent. RD6 and KDML105 are susceptible to BPH, whereas PTB33 and Rathu Heenati show a high degree of resistance to BPH. All BPH resistance cultivars used in this study were obtained from the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines.

# BPH populations and bioassay for BPH resistance

Forty-five BPH populations were collected from rice fields in 31 provinces of the northeastern, northern, central and southern regions of Thailand. The insect populations were reared on rice cultivar TN1 in a temperature-controlled room maintained at a light regime of 15/9-h light/dark and day/night temperatures of 26-28°C. The BPH colonies were employed for BPH bioassays after four to six generations of the insects. The resistant cultivars with specific resistance genes were screened to identify the variation of virulence among BPH populations using the standard seedbox screening (SSBS) technique according to Heinrichs et al. (1985). To determine the variation among BPH population, the resistance or susceptibility of the differential set of ten BPH resistance cultivars was converted into binary data. Similarity matrices were calculated with Dice's coefficient and the SIMQUAL program of NTSYS-PC. Cluster analysis was carried out within the SAHN program using the UPGMA method.

The modified mass tiller screening (MMTS) technique, which was modified from the modified seedbox screening technique (Velusamy et al. 1986) and the tiller seedbox screening technique (Wang et al. 2001), was used to evaluate the BPH resistance of the 208  $BC_1F_2$ and 333  $BC_3F_2$  progenies. First, the seeds of TN1, a susceptible cultivar, PTB33, RD6, Rathu Heenati, KDML105 and each BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub> progeny was separately sown in the seedling plots. The seedlings were transplanted into  $7 \times 24$ -m<sup>2</sup> plots when the seedlings had three to four tillers (approximately 20-25 days). Ten days after transplanting the seedlings were infested with third to fourth instar nymphs of the BPH at a density of ten insects per tiller. One of the most virulent BPH populations, collected from Ubon Ratchathani (UBN) province, was used for the infestation. We let the insects feed, mate, lay eggs and hatch freely. Until TN1 and the susceptible recurrent parents died, we evaluated the severity scores of each BC1F2 and BC3F2 individuals according to the standard evaluation system (International Rice Research Institute 1996) with minor modifications. All tests were conducted under greenhouse condition.

Determining the map location of BPH resistance gene

A rapid CTAB DNA isolation technique (Chen and Ronald 1999) was used with minor modifications for extracting total DNA from young rice leaves. Based on the results of the BPH bioassays from the MMTS, we generated two groups of 15 resistant (R) and 15 susceptible (S) progenies from each of the backcross populations, BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, derived from crosses of  $PTB33 \times RD6$  and Rathu Heenati  $\times KDML105$ , respectively. Thirty-six polymorphic SSR markers, including 13 markers covering a genetic distance of 5.4-151.1 cM on chromosome 4, 14 covering a genetic distance markers of 2.3–105.1 cM on chromosome 6 and nine markers covering а genetic distance 17.6-113.0 cM on chromosome 10 (McCouch et al. 1997, 2002), were selected to identify the individual progenies in the R and S groups. previously Seven reported SSR markers (RM8213, RM261, RM6487, RM401, RM190, RM469, RM204) tightly linked to Bph3 and bph4 (Ikeda and Kaneda 1981; Kawaguchi et al. 2001; Sun et al. 2005; Yan et al. 2002) were the target loci of the BPH resistance genes. A STS marker, KAM4, which completely co-segregated with *bph2* (Murai et al. 2001), was used to determine the *bph2* locus in the PTB33 and the R/S individuals from the  $BC_1F_2$  progenies of the PTB33 × RD6 cross.

A linkage analysis was performed using the 208  $BC_1F_2$  and 333  $BC_3F_2$  individuals. Recombination values were calculated by JOINMAP ver. 3.0 (Van Ooijen and Voorrips 2001) with LOD scores greater than 3.0. Map distances were calculated using the Kosambi function (Kosambi 1944). The genetic contribution to the phenotypic resistance by the chromosome region was analyzed using MAPQTL ver. 5 at LOD threshold 3.0 (Van Ooijen 2004).

### Results

In order to investigate genetic variation in the BPH populations in Thailand, we identified 45 BPH populations based on the differential set of ten resistant cultivars using SSBS. We found that variations in virulence occurred among BPH populations against resistant cultivars carrying specific resistance genes (Table 1), indicating that variations of virulence do exist among BPH populations from different geographic locations. We subsequently classified the 45 BPH populations into four major groups based on a similarity relationship of

 Table 1 Reaction of a differential set of resistant cultivars

 with specific resistance genes to some BPH populations

 collected in Thailand using the standard seedbox screening

more than 0.88 (Fig. 1). Only two resistance cultivars, Rathu Heenati and PTB33, both carrying *Bph3*, showed a broad-spectrum of resistance against all BPH populations used in this study (Table 1). Therefore, we attempted to determine the Bph3 locus on the rice linkage map. For this, we obtained two backcross populations, BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and  $BC_3F_2$ , from crosses of PTB33 × RD6 and Rathu Heenati × KDML105, respectively. Both PTB33 and Rathu Heenati expressed a strong resistance to BPH biotypes in Thailand, while RD6 and KDML105 were completely susceptible to the BPH. The  $F_1$  plants of PTB33 × RD6 and Rathu Heenati × KDML105 showed resistance to the BPH, indicating that BPH resistance in PTB33 and Rathu Heenati might be controlled by a dominant gene (Table 2). The resistance score of the 208 BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and 333 BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub> lines infested with the BPH population showed a continuous distribution (Fig. 2). We then studied the segregation of BPH resistance in both backcross populations by directly assaying the phenotypes of the  $BC_1F_2$  and BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub> individuals and found that resistant and susceptible BC1F2 and BC3F2 plants segregated in a 3:1 segregation ratio ( $\chi^2$ =1.17, P>0.28;  $\chi^2$ =0.03, P>0.86, respectively), which indicated the presence of a major dominant gene conferring resistance to BPH.

technique. The BPH populations were randomly selected from a total of 45 populations

Cultivar	R gene <sup>a</sup>	Read	Reaction of rice cultivar to brown planthopper populations <sup>b</sup>															
		YST	NST	KLS	UBN	CYP	NAN	CMI	ANJ	SKW	NKM	ATG	BRR	SPR	CCS	SRN	SRNI	CNT
TN1	none	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.7	9.0	8.6	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.6	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Mudgo	Bph1	7.6	4.4	9.0	8.6	7.2	6.6	8.4	7.0	4.5	5.5	6.2	6.6	6.1	6.3	9.0	7.5	8.3
ASD7	bph2	7.8	9.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	3.8	7.9	6.0	8.4	1.4	6.2	7.1	6.0	8.1	7.2	6.1	9.0
Rathu Heenati	Bph3	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.1	3.0	2.6	4.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	4.3	4.1	1.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.3
PTB33	bph2, Bph3	3.0	1.0	2.1	2.6	2.5	2.5	3.6	3.0	1.1	3.3	4.4	4.3	1.2	3.4	2.8	3.0	2.4
Babawee	bph4	3.9	6.4	7.8	6.7	6.4	7.3	7.6	3.8	6.9	6.3	7.7	3.6	2.0	6.1	8.4	4.1	6.5
ARC10550	bph5	8.7	9.0	9.0	9.0	6.5	9.0	7.0	9.0	7.2	9.0	8.3	4.0	7.0	6.3	9.0	8.1	9.0
Swarnalata	Bph6	3.8	4.0	2.6	8.6	3.7	1.3	8.0	1.2	1.1	1.5	1.7	1.0	1.8	1.0	5.6	9.0	4.4
T12	bph7	8.3	7.9	8.2	9.0	4.0	4.3	8.3	7.6	8.0	3.0	6.1	7.0	3.7	4.3	7.4	6.4	6.8
Chin Saba	bph8	7.4	9.0	8.7	9.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	6.7	9.0	9.0	8.5	8.3	6.9	6.4	9.0	8.0	9.0
Pokkali	Bph9	9.0	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	7.5	9.0	8.8	8.2	8.7	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0

<sup>a</sup> R gene, BPH resistance gene

<sup>b</sup> Average damage score: scale 1–9 (1 = most resistance, 9 = most susceptible)

**Fig. 1** Cluster analysis of 45 BPH populations collected from the rice fields in Thailand, based on the damage scores of the differential set of ten BPH resistance cultivars. The dendrogram was constructed using UPGMA based on Dice similarity coefficients. Scale of the dendrogram is the Dice coefficient of similarity



**Table 2** Average damage score (scale: 1–9) of the parents and  $F_1$  population to the BPH population collected from Ubon Ratchathani (UBN) province, Thailand

Cultivar	SSBS <sup>a</sup>	MMTS <sup>b</sup>
TN1	9.0	9.0
KDML105	9.0	9.0
RD6	9.0	9.0
PTB33	2.6	1.0
Rathu Heenati (Acc No.11730)	2.1	1.0
$F_1$ (PTB33 × RD6)	3.1	_
$F_1$ (Rathu Heenati × KDML105)	3.0	-

<sup>a</sup> SSBS, Standard seedbox screening technique

<sup>b</sup> MMTS, Modified mass tiller screening technique

To determine the map location of the BPH resistance gene, we assayed  $BC_1F_2$  individuals in

the R and S groups with 36 polymorphic SSR markers on chromosome 4, 6, and 10 in order to determine which of the SSR markers were associated with resistance/susceptibility. This analysis showed that SSR marker RM190 on chromosome 6 was strongly associated with the resistance/susceptibility (Fig. 3) and that none of the SSR markers tested were significantly associated with BPH resistance on chromosomes 4 and 10. These results indicated that the BPH resistance gene from PTB33 was linked to RM190 on chromosome 6. Furthermore, RM190 was used to identify R and S groups of the BC<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub> population from the cross of Rathu Heenati × KDML105. This analysis revealed that RM190 was also strongly associated was also strongly associated to the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of te



**Fig. 2** Frequency distribution of BPH damage rating of two backcross populations using the modified mass tiller screening technique. The damage severity scores of the donor parents, PTB33 and Rathu Heenati, were 1 while that of the recurrent parents, RD6 and KDML105, was 9

ciated with the R and S groups of the  $BC_3F_2$  (data not shown). The BPH resistance gene in PTB33 and Rathu Heenati was therefore also located in the same region on chromosome 6.

To further confirm the chromosome location of the resistant gene, we employed additional SSR markers surrounding the RM190 locus. Of 20 SSR markers tested, only six showed polymorphism between the parents. RM190 and six additional SSR markers on chromosome 6 were used to assay 208 BC1F2 and 333 BC3F2 progenies. A linkage map was constructed with LOD scores greater than 3.0 based on the segregation data. In the linkage map constructed for chromosome 6, the order of all SSR markers agreed with that of the standard SSR map (McCouch et al. 2002). However, the estimated distances of some markers were larger than those of the standard map. The BPH resistance locus detected from the  $BC_1F_2$  and  $BC_3F_2$  populations was mapped between two flanking markers RM589 and RM588 on the short arm of chromosome 6 within 0.9 and 1.4 cM of these markers, respectively (Fig. 4). The tightly linked marker RM589 and RM586 explained 59.8 and 57.4% of the phenotypic variance of BPH resistance with high LOD scores of 41.1 and 61.6 in the BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and  $BC_3F_2$  populations, respectively (Table 3).

### Discussion

We report the localization of the major resistance gene *Bph3* to the short arm of rice chromosome 6 based on analyses utilizing SSR markers previously located near the *bph4* locus. The tightly linked SSR markers identified in this study should clarify role of the *Bph3* locus carried by the resistant donors PTB33 and Rathu Heenati and should be useful in maker-assisted breeding programs aimed at developing improved BPH resistance cultivars.



**Fig. 3** The simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker RM190 and the sequence-tagged site (STS) marker KAM4 linked to BPH resistance gene *Bph3* and *bph2*, respectively. The

markers were identified in resistance and susceptible individual lines of the  $BC_1F_2$  population, which were derived from a cross between PTB33 and RD6



Fig. 4 Linkage maps of the BPH resistance gene Bph3 on short arm of chromosome 6. Marker names are listed on the *right* of the chromosome. The distance between markers is in centiMorgans. The *solid bars* indicate the location of the BPH resistance gene Bph3

The resistant gene *Bph3* has been used extensively in rice breeding programs in Asia since 1980 (Khush 1984). This is also the case in Thai breeding programs, and in Thailand *Bph3* is still effective against BPH populations. Based on cluster analysis, we found that the field BPH populations in Thailand demonstrated varying levels of virulence and, consequently, were able to classify four different groups of BPH populations based on a similarity relationship of more than 0.88 (Fig. 1). This indicated that at least four different biotypes of BPH are present in Thailand. PTB33 and Rathu Heenati had been reported earlier to carry Bph3 and confer a high level of broad-spectrum resistance against BPH (Angeles et al. 1986; Kabir and Khush 1988; Khush 1984; Khush et al. 1985; Li et al. 2002; Nemoto et al. 1989; Sidhu and Kush 1979). Our study also found that PTB33 and Rathu Heenati showed resistance against all of the BPH populations tested, indicating the broad-spectrum of resistance carried by these cultivars against the BPH biotypes found in Thailand. As well as the importance of resistant genes in a breeding program showing a broad spectrum of resistance, the durability of resistant genes is also important as a longer durability will slow down the appearance of virulent biotypes (Heinrichs 1986). Based on our unpublished data from the selection experiments, PTB33 retains a resistance to the BPH collected from UBN of at least 30 generations.

Because SSR markers are co-dominant, multiallelic and available at a high density in the rice genome, which is approximately one SSR every 157 kb (McCouch et al. 2002), these markers can be used to scan and identify the target regions associated with interested traits. To find molecular markers tightly linked to the *Bph3* locus, we used SSR markers surrounding the target regions that had been identified in previous studies. Applying this approach, we were able to detect markers associated with the major resistance gene. Based on the SSR analysis and linkage analysis, we assigned the major resistance gene Bph3 to the short arm of rice chromosome 6. It should be noted that Bph3 from PTB33 and Rathu Heenati was a major resistance gene against BPH populations, which was used in this study, since the tightly linked marker RM589 and RM586 could explain 59.8 and 57.4% of the phenotypic variance, respectively (Table 3). However, according to the frequency distribution of the damage rating of the two backcross populations, BPH resistance in PTB33 and Rathu Heenati is likely to be controlled by a major and other minor resistance genes.

Although *Bph3* has been reported to be present on chromosomes 4 and 10 (Ikeda and Kaneda 1981; Sun et al. 2005; Yan et al. 2002), our study did not detect any selected SSR markers on those chromosomes that were associated with the R and S groups. The resistant gene *Bph3* on rice chromosome 4 assigned by Yan et al. (2002) was

Table 3 The SSR markers associated to the BPH resistance gene on rice chromosome 6 in two backcross mapping populations

Backcross population	Chromosome	Source	Marker	LOD	PVE (%)	Additive	Р
$BC_1F_2$ (PTB33 × RD6)	Chr6	PTB33	RM589	41.1	59.8	- 2.259	>0.0001
	Chr6	PTB33	RM588	35.3	54.3	- 2.283	>0.0001
$BC_3F_2$ (Rathu × KDML)	Chr6	RATHU	RM589	57.5	54.9	- 2.641	>0.0001
	Chr6	RATHU	RM586	61.6	57.4	- 2.665	>0.0001
	Chr6	RATHU	RM588	61.5	57.3	- 2.673	>0.0001

derived from Oryza officinalis; however, this resistant gene was later designated as a new resistance gene, Bph15 (Yang et al. 2004). The resistant gene Bph12 from O. latifolia was also reported in the same region on the short arm of chromosome 4 (Yang et al. 2002). Recently, a new major resistance gene, tentatively designated as Bph17, derived from Rathu Heenati has been reported in the same region of Bph15 and Bph12 (Sun et al. 2005). Our study did not detect any significant *Bph17*-tightly linked markers (RM8213, RM6487, RM401) on chromosome 4 as reported earlier by Sun et al. (2005). The result obtained in our study showed that the major resistance gene carried by Rathu Heenati was tightly linked to bph4 on chromosome 6, which has been reported earlier by Kawaguchi et al. (2001).

Several studies have detected major BPH resistance genes using different BPH biotypes. BPH biotypes 1 and 2 were used previously to identify Bph3 and determine the allelic relationship between Bph3 and bph4 (Angeles et al. 1986; Heinrichs et al. 1985; Ikeda and Kaneda 1981; Lakshminarayana and Khush 1977; Sidhu and Khush 1978). Sun et al. (2005) also used a mixture of biotypes 1 and 2 to identify the major resistance gene in Rathu Heenati on chromosome 4; however, the resistant gene bph4 was assigned to chromosome 6 (Kawaguchi et al. 2001). We suggest that the resistant gene detected by Sun et al. (2005) must be a new BPH resistance gene. The present study and that of Sun et al. (2005) detected two different major resistance genes in Rathu Heenati, perhaps because different germplasm sources of Rathu Heenati was used. These observations may provide insights into some of the issues concerning germplasm sources of BPH resistance in mapping studies. Bph3 was first identified in Rathu Heenati accession no. 11730 against BPH biotypes 1, 2, 3, 4 and some biotypes in Thailand (Angeles et al. 1986; Heinrichs et al. 1985; Jairin et al. 2005; Lakshminarayana and Khush 1977). The same accession number of Rathu Heenati provided by IRRI was also used to determine the Bph3 locus in the present study.

PTB33 has been reported to carry two major resistance genes, *bph2* and *Bph3*. Since the BPH

population used in this study was completely adapted to the bph2 gene, we could only detect the Bph3 locus associated with the R and S individuals. Another resistant cultivar, ASD7, carrying bph2 was also susceptible to the BPH population collected from UBN (Table 1). To investigate this, we employed the PCR-based STS marker, KAM4, which showed complete co-segregation with *bph2* on rice chromosome 12 (Murai et al. 2001), to survey ASD7 and R and S groups of  $BC_1F_2$  derived from the PTB33 × RD6 cross. Since the KAM4 is a dominant STS marker, we found that the amplified fragment appeared only in PTB33 and ASD7 but did not appear in RD6. The amplified fragments were detected in progenies from both R and S individuals (Fig. 3). This result probably indicates that the bph2 gene in PTB33 is not effective against the BPH population used in this study. However, the interaction between two major resistance genes in PTB33 would require further investigation.

Kawaguchi et al. (2001) reported that the resistance gene bph4 from Babawee was located near restriction fragment length polymorphism marker C76A on the short arm of chromosome 6. According to the standard linkage map of SSR constructed by McCouch et al. (2002), the tightly linked marker C76A is located in the same position as the RM190 locus. Our study indicated that the distance between RM190 and RM589, the tightly linked marker to Bph3 locus, is about 2.4 cM. Therefore, this study clarifies the location of the broad-spectrum resistance gene Bph3 and confirms the genetic analysis by classical genetic approach of Ikeda and Kaneda (1981) and Sidhu and Khush (1979) that Bph3 in Rathu Heenati and PTB33 is tightly linked to the bph4 in Babawee. These results also confirm those of Kawaguchi et al. (2001) using two backcross mapping populations that Bph3 and bph4 are localized on the short arm of rice chromosome 6. The resistance gene Bph3 detected in the present study should be further validated through high-resolution mapping and candidate gene analysis. The tightly linked markers to Bph3 gene will facilitate marker-assisted breeding to improve BPH resistance of rice cultivars as well.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to The International Rice Research Institute, Philippines, for providing all seeds of BPH resistance cultivars. This research was conducted at Ubon Ratchathani Rice Research Center and Center of Excellence for Rice Molecular Breeding and Product Development, Thailand, and was partially supported by grant from the Rockefeller Foundation.

#### References

- Alam SN, Cohen MB (1998) Detection and analysis of QTLs for resistance to the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*, in a doubled-haploid rice population. Theor Appl Genet 97:1370–1379
- Angeles ER, Khush GS, Heinrichs EA (1986) Inheritance of resistance to planthoppers and leafhopper in rice. In: IRRI (ed) Rice genetics. IRRI, Los Baños, Philippines, pp 537–549
- Athwal DS, Pathak MD, Bacalangco EH, Pura CD (1971) Genetics of resistance to brown planthopper and green leafhopper in *Oryza sativa* L. Crop Sci 11:747–750
- Chen DH, Ronald PC (1999) A rapid DNA minipreparation method suitable for AFLP and others PCR applications. Plant Mol Biol Rep 17:53–57
- Chen JW, Wang L, Pang XF, Pan QH (2006) Genetic analysis and fine mapping of a rice brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) resistance gene *bph19*(t). Mol Genet Genomics 275:321–329
- Heinrichs EA (1979) Control of leafhopper and planthopper vectors of rice viruses. In: Moramorosch K, Arris KF (eds) Leafhopper vectors and planthopper disease agents. Academic Press, New York, pp 529– 558
- Heinrichs EA (1986) Perspectives and directions for the continued development of insect resistant rice varieties. Agric Ecosyst Environ 18:9–36
- Heinrichs EA, Medrano FG, Rapusas HR (1985) Genetic evaluation for insect resistance in rice. IRRI, Los Baños, Philippines
- Huang N, Parco A, Mew T, Magpantay G, McCouch S, Guiderdoni E, Xu J, Subudhi P, Angeles ER, Khush GS (1997) RFLP mapping of isozymes, RAPD and QTLs for grain shape, brown planthopper resistance in a doubled haploid rice population. Mol Breed 3:105–113
- Huang Z, Shu L, Li X, Zhang Q (2001) Identification and mapping of two brown planthopper resistance genes in rice. Theor Appl Genet 102:929–934
- Ikeda R (1985) Studies on the inheritance of resistance to the rice brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) and the breeding of resistance rice cultivars. Bull Natl Agric Res Cent 3:1–54
- Ikeda R, Kaneda C (1981) Genetic analysis of resistance to brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål, in rice. Jpn J Breed 31:279–285
- International Rice Research Institute (1996) Standard evaluation system for rice. Los Baños, Philippines

- Ishii T, Brar DS, Multani DS, Khush GS (1994) Molecular tagging of genes for brown planthopper resistance and earliness introgressed from *Oryza australiensis* into cultivated rice, *O. sativa*. Genome 37:217–221
- Jairin J, Toojinda T, Tragoonrung S, Tayapat S, Vanavichit A (2005) Multiple genes determining brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) resistance in backcross introgressed lines of Thai jasmine rice 'KDML105'. Sci Asia 31:129–135
- Jena KK, Pasalu IC, Rao YK, Varalaxmi Y, Krishnaiah K, Khush GS and Kochert G (2003) Molecular tagging of a gene for resistance to brown planthopper in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Euphytica 129:81–88
- Jena KK, Jeung JU, Lee JH, Choi HC, Brar DS (2006) High-resolution mapping of a new brown planthopper (BPH) resistance gene, *Bph18*(t), and marker-assisted selection for BPH resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Theor Appl Genet 112:288–297
- Jeon YH, Ahn SN, Choi HC, Hahn TR, Moon HP (1999) Identification of a RAPD marker linked to a brown planthopper resistance gene in rice. Euphytica 107:23–28
- Kabir MA, Khush GS (1988) Genetic analysis of resistance to brown planthopper in rice (O. sativa L). Plant Breed 100:54–58
- Kawaguchi M, Mulata K, Ishii T, Takumi S, Mori N, Nakamura C (2001) Assignment of a brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) resistance gene *bph4* to the rice chromosome 6. Breed Sci 51:13–18
- Khush GS (1984) Breeding rice for resistance to insects. Protect Ecol 7:147–165
- Khush GS, Rezaul ANM, Karim E, Angeles R (1985) Genetics of resistance of rice cultivar ARC10550 to Bangladesh brown planthopper in rice (*O sativa* L). Plant Breed 100:54–58
- Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distance from recombination values. Ann Eugen 12:172–175
- Lakshminarayana A, Khush GS (1977) New genes for resistance to the brown planthopper in rice. Crop Sci 17:96–100
- Li RB, Qin XY, Wei SM, Huang FK, Li Q, Luo SY (2002) Identification and genetics of resistance against brown planthopper in a derivative of wild rice, *Oryza rufipogon* Griff. J Genet Breed 56:29–36
- Liu GQ, Yan HH, Fu Q, Qian Q, Zhang ZT, Zhai WX, Zhu LH (2001) Mapping of a new gene for brown planthopper resistance in cultivated rice introgressed from *Oryza eichingeri*. Chin Sci Bull 46:1459–1462
- McCouch SR, Chen X, Panaid O, Temnykh S, Xu Y, Cho YG, Huang N, Ishii T, Blair M (1997) Microsatellite marker development, mapping and application in rice genetics and breeding. Plant Mol Biol 35:89–99
- McCouch SR, Teytelman L, Xu Y, Lobos KB, Clare K, Walton M, Fu B, Maghirang R, Li Z, Xing Y, Zhang Q, Kono I, Yano M, Fjellstrom R, DeClerck G, Schneider D, Cartinhour S, Ware D, Stein L (2002) Development and mapping of 2240 new SSR markers for rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). DNA Res 9:199–207

- Mei M, Zhuang C, Wan R, Wu J, Kochert G (1996) Genetic analysis and tagging of gene for brown planthopper resistant in indica rice. In: IRRI (ed) Rice Genetics III: Proc 3rd Int Rice Genet Symp. IRRI, Los Baños, Philippines, pp 590–595
- Murai H, Hashimoto Z, Sharma PN, Shimizu T, Murata K, Takumi S, Mori N, Kawasaki S, Nakamura C (2001) Construction of a high-resolution linkage map of rice brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) resistance gene *bph2*. Theor Appl Genet 103:526–532
- Murata K, Fujiwara M, Kaneda C, Takumi S, Mori N, Nakamura C (1998) RFLP mapping of a brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) resistance gene *bph2* of indica rice introgressed into a japonica breeding line 'Norin-PL4'. Genes Genet Syst 73:359–364
- Murata K, Fujiwara M, Murai H, Takumi S, Mori N, Nakamura C (2001) Mapping of a brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) resistance gene *Bph9* on the long arm of rice chromosome 12. Cereal Res Commun 29:245–250
- Nemoto H, Ikeda R, Kaneda C (1989) New genes for resistance to brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål, in rice. Jpn J Breed 39:23–28
- Renganayaki K, Fritz AK, Sadasivam S, Pammi S, Harrington SE, McCouch SR, Kumar SM, Reddy AS (2002) Mapping and progress toward map-based cloning of brown planthopper biotype-4 resistance gene introgressed from *Oryza officinalis* into cultivated rice, *O. sativa*. Crop Sci 42:2112–2117
- Sharma PN, Murata K, Torii A, Takumi S, Mori N, Nakamura C (2003a) Towards molecular cloning of resistance genes against brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) in rice: a case study of natural insect resistance genes. Trends Entomol 3:87–96
- Sharma PN, Ketipearachchi Y, Murata K, Torii A, Takumi S, Mori N, Nakamura C (2003b) RFLP/AFLP mapping of a brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) resistance gene *Bph1* in rice. Euphytica 129:109–117
- Sidhu GS, Khush GS (1979) Linkage relationships of some genes for disease and insect resistance and semidwarf stature in rice. Euphytica 28:233–237
- Soundararajan RP, Kadirvel P, Gunathilagaraj K, Maheswaran M (2004) Mapping of quantitative trait loci associated with resistance to brown planthopper in rice by means of a doubled haploid population. Crop Sci 44:2214–2220
- Su CC, Zhai HQ, Cheng XN, Wan JM (2002) Detection and analysis of QTLs for resistance to brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål), in rice (*Oryza*

*sativa* L), using backcross in bred lines. Acta Genet Sin 29:332–338

- Sun L, Su C, Wang C, Zhai H, Wan J (2005) Mapping of a major resistance gene to the brown planthopper in the rice cultivar Rathu Heenati. Breed Sci 55:391–396
- Van Ooijen JW (2004) MAPQTL 5, Software for the mapping of quantitative trait loci in experimental populations. Kyazma BV, Wageningen, The Netherlands
- Van Ooijen JW, Voorrips RE (2001) JOINMAP 30, Software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps. Plant Research International, Wageningen, The Netherlands
- Velusamy R, Heinrichs EA, Medrano FG (1986) Greenhouse techniques to identify field resistance to the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (Homoptera: Delphacidea), in rice cultivars. Crop Prot 5:328–333
- Velusamy R, Ganesh Kumar M, Johnson Thangaraj Edward YS (1995) Mechanisms of resistance to brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* in wild rice (*Oryza* spp) cultivars. Entomol Exp Appl 74:245–251
- Watanabe T, Kitagawa H (2000) Photosynthesis and translocation of assimilates in rice plants following phloem feeding by the planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Homoptera: Delphacidae). J Econ Entomol 93:1192–1198
- Wang B, Huang Z, Shu L, Ren X, Li X, He G (2001) Mapping of two new brown planthopper resistance genes from wild rice. Chin Sci Bull 46:1092–1095
- Xu XF, Mei HW, Luo LJ, Cheng XN, Li ZK (2002) RFLPfacilitated investigation of the quantitative resistance of rice to brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*). Theor Appl Genet 104:248–253
- Yan HM, Qin R, Jin WW, He GC, Song YC (2002) Comparative physical mapping of *Bph3* with BAC-FISH in *Oryza officinalis* and *O. sativa*. Acta Bot Sin 44:583–587
- Yang H, You A, Yang Z, Zhang F, He R, Zhu L, He G (2004) High-resolution genetic mapping at the Bph15 locus for brown planthopper resistance in rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). Theor Appl Genet 110:182–191
- Yang H, Ren X, Weng Q, Zhu L, He G (2002) Molecular mapping and genetic analysis of a rice brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) resistance gene. Hereditas 136:39–43
- Yuan H, Chen X, Zhu L, He G (2005) Identification of genes responsive to brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål (Homoptera: Delphacidae) feeding in rice. Planta 221:105–112