

Biological control of the brown planthopper

Shui-chen Chiu

Outbreaks of the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål are often attributed not only to high plant density, heavy nitrogen fertilization, and continuous cropping, but also to the decreasing populations of natural enemies caused by increased use of insecticides. Because those natural enemies are always present in paddy fields, their actions help reduce the brown planthopper population if they are properly managed.

To date, 79 species of natural enemies of the brown planthopper have been recorded, including 42 species of parasites and pathogens (34 insects, 1 nematode, and 7 pathogens) and 37 species of predators (21 insects and 16 spiders). Some of them have shown great potential for controlling pest populations.

This paper presents a general review of the natural enemies of the brown planthopper, and the response of natural enemies to insecticides.

OUTBREAKS OF THE BROWN PLANTHOPPER (BPH) *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål have been reported recently from India, Indonesia, the Solomon Islands, and Sri Lanka. BPH control depends almost solely on the regular application of insecticides. Untreated paddy fields usually suffer heavy hopper infestation and the damage commonly known as hopperburn.

Many natural enemies are almost always present in the paddy fields. Their role in suppressing insect populations has long been considered important. During the last decade, studies of the biological control of the BPH have been conducted in several countries, but they have been generally restricted to the ecology and biology of natural enemies, or to surveys of natural enemies to identify species and estimate the amount of parasitism or predation. However, little practical effectiveness has been demonstrated in the field. Otake (1976b) indicated that the natural enemies of planthoppers on rice have been much less intensively studied than those of the rice stem borer.

Only recently, the effects of insecticides on the natural enemies of insect pests have attracted the attention of entomologists working on control programs.

The use of selective insecticides and low rates of application to achieve control without destroying natural enemies has been considered. However, no rice insect has ever been consistently controlled solely by natural enemies. Feasibility of using natural enemies as part of integrated control to regulate the BPH must be investigated.

NATURAL ENEMIES

Parasites and pathogens of the BPH have been reported from Taiwan, Japan, Thailand, India, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, Sarawak, Fiji, Philippines, and the Solomon Islands. At least 19 species of hymenopterous insects (Eulophidae, Mymaridae, and Trichogrammatidae) have been identified as egg parasites. Sixteen species belonging to Hymenoptera (Dryinidae), Strepsiptera (Elenchidae), and Diptera (Pipunculidae) were identified as parasites of BPH nymphs and adults. One species of nematode (Mermithidae) and seven species of fungi (Entomophthoraceae and Stilbaceae) were also found in nymphs and adults of BPH (Table 1).

Egg parasites

Mymaridae. Lin (1974) surveyed the BPH parasites obtained from field-collected samples of eggs in Taipei and Pingtung, Taiwan. He found that *Anagrus* sp. was most prevalent among the trichogrammatid and mymarid

Table 1. Egg parasites of *Nilaparvata lugens*.

Parasite	Country of occurrence	Reference
Hymenoptera		
Eulophidae		
<i>Ootetrastichus</i> nr <i>beatus</i>	Fiji	Hinckley (1963)
Mymaridae		
<i>Anagrus flaveolus</i>	Japan	Yasumatsu and Watanabe (1965)
<i>A. nr flaveolus</i>	Japan	Otake (1970a,b, 1976a,b)
<i>A. optailis</i>	Thailand	Yasumatsu et al (1975), Nishida et al (1976)
<i>Anagrus</i> sp.	Taiwan, Japan, Malaysia	Lin (1974), Fukuda (1934), Kuno (1973), Heong (pers. comm.)
<i>Anaphes</i> spp.	Solomon island	MacQuillan (1974)
<i>Gonatocerus</i> sp.	Thailand	Yasumatsu et al (1975)
<i>Lymaenon</i> sp.	Taiwan	Lin (1974)
<i>Mymar?</i> <i>indica</i>	Taiwan	Lin (1974), Chiu et al (unpubl.)
<i>M. taprobanicum</i>	Thailand	Yasumatsu et al (1975)
<i>Polynema</i> sp.	Thailand	Yasumatsu et al (1975)
Trichogrammatidae		
<i>Aphelinoidea</i> sp.	Taiwan	Fukuda (1934)
<i>Oligosita</i> sp.	Thailand	Yasumatsu et al (1975)
<i>Oligosita</i> sp. A.	Taiwan	Lin (1974)
<i>Oligosita</i> sp. B.	Taiwan	Lin (1974)
<i>Paracentrobia andoi</i>	Taiwan, Japan	Suenaga (1963), Lin (1974)
<i>P. garuda</i>	Thailand	Yasumatsu et al (1975)
<i>P. yasumatsui</i>	Thailand	Yasumatsu et al (1975)
<i>Trichogrammasp.</i>	Taiwan	Fukuda (1934)

parasites. It constituted 93% of the egg parasites of the BPH in Taipei paddy fields. Chiu et al (1975) also reported egg parasitism at four sites in northern Taiwan during 1974 and 1975. Most of mymarid parasites appeared in May and June, and from September through November. The parasitism ranged from 11.3 to 29.6% in the first crop and 3.3 to 38.1% in the second crop.

Yasumatsu et al (1975) reported that four mymarid parasites—*Anugrus optabilis*, *Mymar taprobanicum*, *Polynema* sp., and *Gonatocerus* sp.—have contributed much to the reduction of the populations of planthoppers and leafhoppers in Thailand. Nishida et al (1976) also indicated that *A. optabilis* was more abundant than *Paracentrobia yasumatsui* (Trichogrammatidae) or a few other unidentified parasites. Parasitism rates ranged from less than 10% to 100%.

Anagrus species had been reported to be a common parasite of delphacid eggs in paddy fields. It was as abundant as *Laodelphax striatellus* and it often attacked eggs of BPH (Otake 1967). Parasitism could be easily detected through the transparent chorion of the host egg when the parasite larva was at least half grown (Otake 1970b). The parasitism of *Anagrus* reported by Kuno and Hokyo (1970) in Fukuoka ranged from 10 to 15% during the various seasons. Otake (1976b) reported that the parasitization of the BPH by *A. nr flaveolus* in Zentuz and Kagawa, Japan, was 44.5% and 66.9% respectively. The parasite was said to influence BPH populations during the early growth stages of the pest (Otake 1976b). The seasonal trends in parasitic and dispersal activities of *A. nr flaveolus* parasitizing in *L. striatellus* were studied by Otake (1970a).

Trichogrammatidae. Four genera, including at least eight species of Trichogrammatid parasites, have been reported attacking the BPH, but only 3 species of *Paracentrobia* have been identified (Table 1).

Yasumatsu et al (1975) reported that in addition to the mymarid parasites, *Paracentrobia yasumatsui*, *P. garuda*, and *Oligosita* sp. were abundant and effectively suppressed the population of leafhoppers or planthoppers in Thailand.

Two species of trichogrammatids were found to attack BPH eggs in Sri Lanka. The parasitism rate was about 80%. However, that rate was not consistent, and the parasites did not appear to have much influence on the host population (Fujimura and Somasunderam, unpubl.).

Paracentrobia andoi and *Oligosita* spp. were reared from eggs of the BPH in Taiwan; the parasitism rate was found to be extremely low (Lin 1974). Chiu et al, in a field-sampling and rearing study during 1974 and 1975, found that the trichogrammatid parasitism rates in the first rice crop ranged from 0.6 to 11.7% in Taipei and 7.5 to 19.5% in Hsinchu. They believed that the actual parasitism rate may be higher if sampling and counting methods are improved.

Eulophidae. A eulophid, *Ootetrastichus* sp. nr *beatus* Perk. was observed parasitizing delphacid eggs in Fiji. However, the parasitism appeared to be rare (Hinckley 1963).

Nymph and adult parasites

Dryinidae. Six species of dryinid parasites of the BPH were reported (Table 2). A few unidentified dryinids were recorded in Thailand, Taiwan, India, Sarawak, and Sri Lanka.

A parasitized nymph or adult carries a sac on its abdomen; a mature larva of the parasite emerges from the sac and spins a cocoon and pupates on the surface of a rice leaf or any surface.

Otake (1976b) indicated that the adult dryinids were often caught in paddy fields in Japan, but did not usually parasitize planthoppers to a great extent.

Dryinid larvae sacs carried by rice planthopper nymphs and adults at Ilu, Solomon Islands, have been seen from time to time. The encyrtid parasites *Echthrogonatopus exitiosus* Perk. and *Chrysopophagus australiae* Perk. were reared from the larval sacs on BPH (MacQuillan 1974).

Otake and Hokyo (1976) also reported the low parasitism of BPH by dryinids in Java. The parasites gave little control of pest populations when the BPH was epidemic.

During 1973 and 1974, the parasitism by dryinids of adult BPH and *Sogatella furcifera* in Maha, Sri Lanka, was found to be 5.5% by rearing and 2.3% by dissection (Santa et al. unpubl.).

Elenchidae. Four species of *Elenchus* attacking the nymphs and adults of BPH were recorded (Table 2). Female planthoppers parasitized by elenchids often had degenerate ovipositors (Otake 1976b) and both sexes of the host were inevitably sterile (Kuno 1973). The parasitized hoppers were generally recognized by the extrusion of male parasite puparia or by the opening made by a female adult parasite (Otake 1976b).

Yasumatsu et al (1975) reported that in northern Thailand, the BPH was frequently parasitized by *Elenchus yasumatsui* Kif. & Hir. They believed that the role of the parasite in controlling BPH was significant. The parasitism by *E. yasumatsui* averaged 30% and reached a maximum of 90% in the first rice crop. Parasitized hoppers were also found in the second rice crop in Thailand (FAO 1975).

Hinckley (1963) reported that the BPH was occasionally parasitized by *Elenchus (Liburnelanchus) koebelei* (Pier.) in Fiji. Another species, *Elenchus japonicus*, also attacks nymphs and adults of planthoppers in Fukuoka, Japan (Kuno 1973). In Sarawak, where a high degree of natural control of the hopper population occurs, rice leafhoppers and planthoppers with protruding Strepsiptera female adults and pupae frequently occur (Munroe 1975). According to his survey in 1974, adult hoppers of the most common species (including the BPH) attacked by Pipunculidae and Strepsiptera had a parasitism rate of 20 to 30% and occasionally one as high as 65%.

The parasitism of BPH caused by Strepsiptera and dryinid Hymenoptera in some locations in Sri Lanka was generally low (Fernando 1975). The combined parasitism by elenchids and dryinids of *S. furcifera* and the BPH occasionally reached 40% (Otake 1976b).

Table 2. Nymph and adult parasites of *Nilaparvata lugens*

Parasite	Country of occurrence	Reference
Hymenoptera		
Dryinidae		
<i>Echthrodelpfax bicolor</i>	Japan	Esaki and Hashimoto (1936)
<i>E. fairchildi</i>	India	Rai (pers. comm).
<i>Haplogonatopus japonicus</i>	Japan	Esaki and Hashimoto (1931), Sakai (1932), Esaki and Mochizuki (1941)
<i>Haplogonatopus</i> sp.	India	Rai (pers comm)
<i>Pseudogonatopus flavifemur</i>	Sri Lanka	Santa et al (unpubl.)
	Japan	Esaki and Hashimoto (1933, 1936), Esaki (1932), Sakai (1932)
<i>P. hospes</i>	Thailand	Napompeth (unpubl.)
Encyrtidae (Hyperparasites of Dryinidae)		
<i>Chrysopophagus australiae</i>	Solomon Island	MacQuillan (1974)
<i>Echthrogonatopus exitiosus</i>	Solomon Island	MacQuillan (1974)
Strepsiptera		
Elenchidae		
<i>Elenchus japonicus</i>	Japan	Esaki and Hashimoto (1932), Esaki (1932), Sakai (1932), Mochida and Okada (1973), Kuno (1973)
<i>E. koebelei</i>	Fiji	Hinckley (1963)
<i>E. yasumatsui</i>	Thailand	Kifune and Hirashima (1975), Yasumatsu et al (1975), Otake (1976b)
<i>Elenchus</i> sp.	Sri Lanka	Santa et al (unpubl.)
Diptera		
Pipunculidae		
<i>Dorylas</i> sp.	Sri Lanka	Santa et al (unpubl.)
<i>Pipunculus javanensis</i>	Taiwan	Chiui et al (unpubl.)
<i>Tomosvaryella oryzaetora</i>	Taiwan	Chiui et al (unpubl.)
<i>T. epichalca</i>	Taiwan	Chiui et al (unpubl.)
<i>T. subvirescens</i>	Taiwan, Thailand	Chiui et al (unpubl.), Yasumatsu et al (1975)
Nematoda		
Mermithidae		
<i>Agameremis unka</i>	Japan	Esaki and Hashimoto (1933), Esaki (1932), Imamura (1932), Kaburaki and Imamura (1932), Kuno (1973)
Pathogen		
Entomophthoraceae		
<i>Entomophthora</i> nr <i>coronata</i>	Japan	Okada (1971)
<i>E. nr apiculata</i> var. <i>major</i>	Fiji	Hinckley (1963)
<i>E. coronata</i>	Philippines	IRRI (1973), Gabriel (1968)
<i>E. delphacis</i>	Japan	Esaki and Hashimoto (1936, 1937), Sakai (1932), Shimazu (1976), Aoki (1957)
Stilbaceae		
<i>Isaria farmosa</i>	Japan	Aoki (1957)
<i>Hirsutella</i> sp.	Philippines	IRRI (1973), Gabriel (1968, 1970)
<i>H. citrifomis</i>	Solomon Island	MacQuillan (1974)

Pipunculidae. Five species of pipunculid parasites were recorded in BPH nymphs and adults in Sri Lanka, Thailand, Taiwan (Table 2), and Sarawak (unidentified). The degree of parasitism was not high. Yasumatsu et al (1975) reported that *Tomosvaryella subvirescens* Loew was the most important

parasite of leafhoppers or planthoppers in Thailand. However, its population was not as high as in temperate countries.

Chiu et al (1974) found four species of pipunculids (Table 2) were also attacking BPH nymphs and adults in Taiwan but with very low parasitism rates.

Nematodes

Nematode parasites of the BPH have been reported from Japan, Sri Lanka, and the Solomon Islands, but only one species, *Agameremis unka* Cobb. (Mermithidae), was identified. Sakai (1932) reported that *Agameremis* usually occurred from June to December, but was most abundant from August through October in Japan. *Agameremis* left its hosts for the soil, where it matured during winter. Mating took place in mid-May. Eggs were laid from late June to early autumn. Larvae appeared in the rice field, swimming until they reached rice plants where the insect hosts were located. After a 2- or 3-week parasitic period, *Agameremis* left its host again. In general, one or two *Agameremis* were found in one host. If parasitism by fungi, bacteria, sporozoa, etc. can be prevented, the nematode is able to breed in the soil under optimum temperature and moisture conditions. Parasitized hoppers had swollen abdomens and were inactive; they could also be distinguished by their dark-brown color (Kaburaki and Imamura 1932). Nematode parasitism of BPH collected from rice fields occasionally reached 38.1% to 41.3% (Sakai 1932; Esaki and Hashimoto 1930). If an artificial rearing technique can be developed *Agameremis* might be able to play an important role in BPH control.

Otake et al (1976) observed in BPH an unidentified nematode parasite whose parasitism rate sometimes reached 20%.

Pathogens

Seven species of entomophagous fungi were found to infect BPH (Table 2), but only a few caused high mortality. *Entomophthora* fungi are considered the most important pathogens for control.

Fungal infestation of *E. delphacis* Hori. was low, but high from August through November in Japan (Sakai 1932). Okada (1971) isolated *E. nr coronata* Srin. & Thir. from adult BPH and reported that the infestation of the fungus produced by spraying a conidia suspension was also low. *E. nr apiculata* var. *major* was a more important agent for reducing BPH populations in Fiji, especially in densely planted rice. Its infestation rates of adult and nymphal hoppers occasionally exceeded 10% under humid conditions, but never reached an epidemic level (Hinckley 1963). Occasionally *Hirsutella citriformis* Spea. was responsible for some mortality of *S. furcifera* and BPH at Ilu, Solomon Islands (MacQuillan 1974).

The fungi *E. coronata* and *Hirsutella* sp., which usually occurred near the end of a rice crop, periodically killed BPH in paddy fields at the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). Padua and Gabriel (1975) isolated *E. coronata*

and successfully grew it in artificial media. They tested a medium of coconut milk and water for mass production. *Hirsutella* sp. was prevalent from October to November in IRRI experimental fields (Gabriel 1970), but it has not been successfully cultured.

Isaria farinosa also seldom infested BPH in paddy fields (Aoki 1957).

Miridae

Cyrtorhinus lividipennis Reuter is widely distributed in Southeast Asia, Australia, and the Pacific Islands (Table 3). It preys on the eggs, nymphs, and adults of rice leafhoppers and planthoppers and has been considered an effective predator of the BPH and the green leafhopper *Nephotettix virescens* (Hinckley 1963; IRRI 1973; Lim 1974; Stapley 1976; pers. comm. with C.S. Li).

Table 3. Predators of *Nilaparvata lugens*.

Predator	Country of occurrence	Reference
Hemiptera		
Nabidae		
<i>Nabis</i> sp.	Japan	Kuno (1973)
Miridae		
<i>Cyrtorhinus lividipennis</i>	Japan, Sarawak, Solomon Island, Philippines, India, Indonesia, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Malaysia, Taiwan, Australia	Suenaga and Takeuchi (1952), Suenaga (1963), Wan (1972), Bae and Pathak (1966, 1968), MacQuillan (1968), Stapley (1975, 1976), Pawar (1975), Yasumatsu et al (1975), Lim (1974), Chiu and Lung (1975), Li (pers. comm.), Otake et al (1976), Fernando (pers comm.)
<i>C. lividipennis vitiensis</i>	Fiji	Hinckley (1963)
<i>Tytthus chinensis</i>	Fiji, Japan, Solomon Island	Kobayashi (1961), Hinckley (1963), MacQuillan (1968, 1974), Stapley (1976)
<i>T. mundulus</i>	Taiwan, Fiji	Chiu and Lung (1975), Hinckley (1963)
<i>T. parviceps</i>	India	Pathak and Saha (1976)
Hymenoptera		
Formicidae		
<i>Tetramorium guineense</i>	Taiwan	Fukuda (1934)
Coleoptera		
Carabidae		
<i>Acupalpus inornatus</i>	Taiwan	Chiu et al (unpubl.)
<i>Bembidion semilunium</i>	Taiwan	Chiu et al (unpubl.)
<i>Casnoidea cyanocephala</i>	Malaysia	Lim (1974)
<i>C. infersititrialis</i>	Sri Lanka, Malaysia	Lim (1974), Fernando (1975), Otake et al (1976)
<i>Ophionea indica</i>	_____	Otake (1976b)

continued on next page

Table 3 continued

Predator	Country of occurrence	Reference
Staphylinidae		
<i>Paederus fuscipes</i>	Japan, Malaysia Taiwan, Thailand	Lim (1974), Otake (1976b), Chiu et al (unpubl.), Yasumatsu et al (1975)
<i>Stenus cicindelloides</i>	Taiwan	Chiu et al (unpubl.)
Coccinellidae		
<i>Coccinella arcuata</i>	Australia India, Fiji, New Guinea, Papua	Israel and Prakasa Rao (1968), Abraham et al (1973), Otake (1976b), Hinckley (1963), Li (pers. comm.)
<i>C. repanda transversalis</i>	Fiji	Hinckley (1963)
<i>Harmonia</i> sp.	Philippines	Dyck and Orlido (unpubl.)
<i>Hippodamia tredacimpunctata</i>	Mainland China	Lei and Wang (1958)
<i>Micrapis discolor</i>	Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia	Otake (1976b)
<i>M. vincta</i>	Thailand	Otake (1976b)
<i>Verania</i> sp.	Philippines	Dyck and Orlido (unpubl.)
Araneae		
Argiopidae		
<i>Neoscona doenitzi</i>	Japan	Kayashima (1960)
<i>Araneus inustus</i>	Taiwan	Chiu et al (unpubl.)
Lycosidae		
<i>Lycosa pseudoannulata</i>	Japan, Taiwan, Philippines	Kiritani et al (1971, 1972), Chu and Wang (1972, 1973), Sasaba et al (1970, 1973), IRRI (1974), Otake (1976b)
<i>Pardosa T-insignita</i>	Korea	Choi and Lee (unpubl.)
<i>Pirata subpiraticus</i>	Korea	Choi and Lee (unpubl.)
Micryphantidae		
<i>Oedothorax insecticeps</i>	Japan, Taiwan, Korea	Sasaba et al (1970), Kiritani et al (1972), Chiu et al (1974), Otake (1976b), Kobayashi (1961), Paik et al (1974)
Salticidae		
<i>Plexippus paykullii</i>	Japan	Sakai (1932)
<i>Icius magister</i>	Japan	Sakai (1932)
Theridiidae		
<i>Enoploynatha japonica</i>	Japan	Kiritani et al (1972), Kuno (1973), Otake (1976b)
<i>Theridion</i> spp.	Philippines	IRRI (1972)
<i>T. octomaculatum</i>	Taiwan	Chiu et al (unpubl.)
Tetragnathidae		
<i>Tetragnatha</i> spp.	Japan	Kiritani et al (1973), Kuno (1973), Otake (1976b)
<i>T. Japonica</i>	Taiwan	Chiu et al (unpubl.)
<i>T. mandibulata</i>	Taiwan	Chiu et al (unpubl.)
<i>T. nitens</i>	Taiwan	Chiu et al (unpubl.)
Linyphiidae		
<i>Notioscopus pallidulus</i>	Japan	Sakai (1932)

Many workers have observed the predatory activity of *C. lividipennis* against rice hoppers. Bae and Pathak (1966) considered it an active predator against BPH in the greenhouse. IRRI entomologists determined its predation rate at the equivalent of a kill of 0.6 or more planthoppers per day by each predator. It usually killed more nymphs than adults, especially the young nymphs. In

the field, the most damaging period probably was when young nymphs were abundant. The predation of *Cyrtorhinus* on BPH was 79% in a 1 : 1 ratio per day while 23% died in a 1 : 20 ratio. Bae and Pathak also showed that the mirid appeared to be an effective predator against both, but more destructive to the green leafhopper than to BPH (IRRI 1973, 1974).

In the Solomon Islands, the maintenance of *C. lividipennis* populations on grass appeared to be important in the control of rice planthoppers. Whether the predators entered a rice field depended on the adjacent grass, especially *Digitaria*. A 6-week fallow period for a rice field at the beginning of the year may also help reduce the hopper population. Weeds in the fallow field allow the mirid to multiply. Stapley (1976) suggested that the ratio of prey to predator should be 20: 1.

Hinckly (1963) indicated that mirid bugs preying on eggs were a very important cause of rice hopper mortality in Fiji. *Cyrtorhinus* was the most common, but its populations did not increase rapidly enough to prevent a heavy infestation of BPH in transplanted rice. The mirids were less effective in seedbeds except in grassy areas. It also appeared that *Cyrtorhinus* prevented the increase of pest populations in drilled rice fields, but was less effective in transplanted rice.

Lim (1974) witnessed large numbers of *C. lividipennis* in Malaysian fields and an associated reduction of leafhopper populations. Such observations clearly indicate the significance and potential of the predator.

In Taiwan, two species of mirids, *C. lividipennis* and *Tytthus mundulus*, attacked BPH and green leafhoppers in paddy fields. *T. mundulus* (Bred.) was formerly recognized as an egg predator of the sugarcane leafhopper *Perkinsiella saccharicida*. Its presence was also reported in Australia, Java, Fiji, Philippines, and Hawaii (Chan and Ju 1951). Chiu and Lung in 1975 first observed that it also fed on BPH nymphs. Its appearance, life history, and habitat are similar to those of *C. lividipennis*. It differed in color pattern and a few morphological characteristics. *T. mundulus* was prevalent in May or June while *C. lividipennis* occurred in October and November. There were about 10 generations in a year. Nymph or adult mirids can kill the BPH at every developmental stage. One adult mirid usually preyed on 1 to 20 eggs at one feeding. Nymphal mirids consumed fewer eggs. Mortality rate of the BPH was about 73% when equal numbers of third instar mirids and second instar BPH were caged for 24 hours. It would appear that the mirid plays an important role in BPH control (Chiu and Lung, unpubl.).

Swezey (1936) stated that because it feeds on both parasitized and non-parasitized eggs of leafhoppers, *Cyrtorhinus* reduces the effectiveness of egg parasites.

Other mirid predators preying on the eggs of planthoppers were *T. parviceps* (Lin.) and *T. chinensis* (Stål) (Pathak and Saha 1976; Stapley 1976; Kobayashi 1961).

Coccinellidae

Coccinellid beetles are important members of arthropod communities in paddy fields where they play a valuable role in the biological control of insect pests of rice. Sasaji (1968) studied the fauna of oriental coccinellids in paddy fields, and 33 species were recorded, seven of which were recognized as predators of the BPH (Table 3). Yasumatsu et al (1975) reported six species of coccinellids common in the rice fields of Thailand. Among them, *Micrapis discolor* Fabr. and *M. vincta* Gorb. were abundant. *M. discolor* was also dominant in Malaysia (Otake and Hokyo 1976). It is believed that planthoppers are a part of their diet.

Coccinella arcuata has been known as the most common and important coccinellid predators of BPH and *S. furcifera* in India, Fiji, Australia, and Papua, New Guinea (Table 3). In Cuttack, India, the increase of planthopper populations (including the BPH) in rice fields was closely followed by a rapid multiplication of *C. arcuata* from mid-August until late September. Then the hopper population gradually decreased until late October. Because of its feeding habit *C. arcuata* may prove to be an effective predator of rice hoppers (Israel and Prakasa Rao 1968).

IRRI entomologists caged coccinellid predators with BPH preys in a ratio of 1:4 on potted rice plants in a greenhouse. The mortality of BPH caused by *Harmonia* adults was 77% for nymphs and 91% for adults by *Verania* adults was 52% for nymphs and 93% for adults (V. A. Dyck and G. Orlido, unpubl.).

Other common carnivorous beetles found in paddy fields include carabids and staphylinids (Table 3). Staphylinid beetles, particularly *Paederus fuscipes* Curt., are probably significant in the control of insect pests in rice. They usually migrate to the young rice plants shortly after transplanting. In Taiwan, Chiu et al (1974) also found that *P. fuscipes* and *Stenus cicindlloides* were the most common staphylinid species in paddy fields.

Fukuda (1934) reported that an ant, *Tetranorium guineense* Fabr., preyed on eggs and attacked nymphs and adults of planthoppers during molting or emergence. V. A. Dyck and M. Orlido (unpubl.) also observed that some species of ants attacked the BPH.

Other predators that may be found preying on rice planthoppers in rice fields include Odonata, nabids, anthocorids, reduvids, hebrids, hydrometrids, ochterids, pleids, salids, and veliids; empidids and asilids, sphecids, nyssonids, stizids, and pemphedonids; birds; frogs; and a giant toad (*Bufo marinus*) (Habu 1958; Kobayashi 1961; Hinckley 1963; Asahina et al 1972; FAO 1975; Yasumatsu et al 1975).

Spiders

The spider fauna in paddy fields in Korea, Japan, Taiwan, and Thailand has been investigated (Table 4). About 16 species of spiders are recorded as preying on BPH (Table 3). *Lycosa pseudoannulata*, *Pirata subpiraticus*, *Pardosa T-insignita*, *Oedothorax insecticeps*, *Tetragnatha niten*, *T. japonica*, *Enoplognatha*

Table 4. Spider-families in paddy fields in Korea, Japan, Taiwan, and Thailand.^a

Family	Spider species and genera (no.)							
	Korea		Japan		Taiwan		Thailand	
	Genera	Species	Genera	Species	Genera	Species	Genera	Species
Agelenidae	1	1	1	1				
Argiopidae ^b	5	8	8	12	6	12	9	16
Clubionidae	3	5	2	5	2	3	2	3
Ctenidae	2	2	1	1				
Dictynidae					1	1		
Hahniidae	1	1			1	2		
Heteropodidae			2	2	1	1		
Linyphiidae	1	1	2	2	3	3	1	1
Lycosidae	5	8	6	11	3	5	5	5
Micryphantidae	4	8	5	5	4	4	3	3
Oonopidae					1	1		
Oxyopidae	1	1	1	1	1	4	1	2
Pisaundae	1	3	1	3		^c	2	3
Salticidae	5	6	9	10		(ca.5)		(ca.10)
Tetragnathidae	3	8	4	11	4	11	4	13
Theridiidae	3	3	5	8	3	5	5	8
Thomisidae	5	9	8	11	7	8	4	4
Uloboridae			2	2			1	1
Total	37	64	57	85	37	62	37	59

^a Data obtained from Okuma (1968), Okuma and Wongsiri (1973), Chu and Okuma (1970), and pers. comm. with J. S. Park, Head, Ent. Dep., Inst. Agric. Sci., Korea. ^b Spiders confirmed to be predators of the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. ^c Including a few very young specimens not determined.

japonica, and *Theridion octomaculatus* were the major species. In India about 20 species of spiders were observed preying on BPH. It was believed these spiders could play an important role in keeping down BPH populations (Samal and Misra 1975).

Lycosa pseudeannulata Boes. et Str. *Lycosa pseudeannulata* a wolf spider, is one of the predominant spiders in paddy fields (Kobayashi 1961 ; Kawahara et al 1969; Sasaba et al 1970; Chu and Okuma 1970; Mochida and Dyck 1976). It has been considered an effective predator of rice hoppers in Taiwan, Japan, and the Philippines. Of the species preyed upon by the spider, 80% were the green leafhopper and BPH (Sasaba et al 1973; Kiritani et al 1972). The mean indices of food preference of adult female spiders assessed in the laboratory were 0.49 and 0.60 for BPH and *Nephotettix cincticeps*, respectively (Sasaba et al 1973). Kiritani et al (1972) also indicated that the ratio of prey taken by the spider was 5 green leafhoppers : 2 BPH in Japan between 1968 and 1970.

Lycosa inhabits the lower part of rice plants in the daytime but moves toward the middle and upper sections at night. The fact that leafhoppers tend to inhabit the middle and upper parts of rice plants while planthoppers inhabit the lower part might explain why *Lycosa* preys on more leafhoppers at night and on more BPH during the day (Sasaba et al 1973).

In the Philippines, *L. pseudeannulata* was common in IRRI rice fields. In spite of its relatively low field density, *Lycosa*, because of its high predation

rate, was regarded by IRRI entomologists as the most important predator of BPH. IRRI entomologists caged *Lycosa* with different numbers of BPH adults and nymphs to determine its daily feeding capacity in relation to prey density during 3 consecutive days. Greater prey density occasionally increased the feeding rate of the spider. The spider generally showed no preference for nymphs over adults or vice versa. When 50 or 100 hoppers were available a spider killed an average of at least 14 BPH nymphs or 8 or more adults daily (IRRI 1975).

At IRRI (1976) it was also found that in a 14-day period each spider killed an average of 17 BPH nymphs/day. A similar experiment gave an average of 24 nymphs/day in 9 days. It was found in India that *Lycosa* could kill about 15 to 20 adult BPH/day (Samal and Misra 1975). In another experiment, when green leafhoppers and BPH were caged together with *Lycosa* for over 12 days, the spiders killed three times as many BPH as green leafhoppers. *Lycosa*'s apparent preference for BPH over leafhoppers supports the contention that *Lycosa* is a greater predator of BPH than is *C. lividipennis*.

In Taiwan, *L. pseudoannulata* is the dominant spider in rice fields, particularly in the second rice crop. Predation rates observed in the laboratory were 3.08 and 4.28 adult BPH for the second and the fourth instar spider nymphs and 13.32 and 11.48 adult BPH for adult female and adult male spiders, respectively (Chiu et al, unpubl.).

Chu and Wang (1973) studied the feeding habit of the spider and found that leafhoppers and planthoppers were its preferred prey. They found that the daily predation rate of female spiders was apparently greater than that of males. The predation rate for females during their maternal care of their young was low and increased as the young spiders began to disperse. Cannibalism often occurred among the spiders when the food supply was inadequate.

Oedothorax insecticeps Boes. et Str. *Oedothorax insecticeps*, a micryphantid or dwarf spider, was also found to be an effective predator in Taiwan, Japan, and Korea. *Oedothorax*, a small species, prefers to prey on nymphs and adults of hoppers. In the laboratory Chiu et al (1974) observed that the daily predation rates averaged 1.84 and 3.00 adult BPH for second and fourth instar spider nymphs and 3.20 and 2.03 BPH for adult females and adult male spiders, respectively. The spider took prey more often under warmer conditions. The average number of BPH preyed on by each adult female was about 1.5 times that preyed on by a male. Cannibalism occurred when the prey population was low.

Oedothorax had 4 or 5 generations in northern Taiwan. Adults usually situated themselves near the paddy level above the roots, but frequently migrated by skating on the water surface or hanging on a silk that was transported by wind. They could tolerate about 20 days of starvation. The spider spun very thin, irregular webs which were difficult to see and efficient in catching prey. Ensnared victims usually died within 2 days, even if not fed upon.

Other spiders often occurred in paddy fields; *Tertragnathus* was a dominant species in Thailand, Malaysia, and Indonesia (Otake 1976b); *T. nitens* was common in Taiwan. *Gnathonarium dentatum* (Wider) and *Pirata subpiraticus* (Boes. et Str.) were major species in Korea (pers. comm. with J. S. Park,) and *Enoplognatha japonica* (Boes. et Str.) was a major species in Japan (Kiritani et al 1972). *Theridion octomaculatum* (Boes. et Str.) often occurred in northern Taiwan from May through August; its webs were built on the lower part of rice plants under the rice canopy, situated well for predation on BPH.

RESPONSE OF NATURAL ENEMIES TO INSECTICIDES

Because the mortality of natural enemies was high when insecticides were applied, the response of natural enemies of arthropods to insecticides in rice fields was generally observed qualitatively rather than quantitatively. A number of entomologists have recently suggested that one reason for the presence of many rice hoppers could be the destruction of their natural enemies by intensive application of broad spectrum insecticides (Kobayashi 1961; Miyashita 1963; Kiritani 1972, 1975; Kiritani et al 1971). The disturbance by insecticides of the balance between pests and natural enemies has contributed to the development and application of the concepts of integrated control and pest management, and has prompted studies of insecticide selectivity in relation to natural enemies (Croft and Brown 1975).

Lycosa and *Oedothorax*, two dominant spider species in Taiwan paddy fields, have been considered effective against rice hoppers. Unfortunately, they are very susceptible to the insecticides commonly used for rice-pest control.

Direct toxicity of insecticides to natural enemies

The reduction in numbers of natural enemies or the degree of parasitism or predation rate after insecticide application has been well documented.

Chu et al (1976b, c) used a dipping method to evaluate the susceptibility of *N. cincticeps*, *N. lugens*, and *L. pseudoannulata* to various insecticides. They found that the degree of susceptibility of the *Lycosa* spider to different chemical compounds varied greatly. Leptophos, dicrotophos, monocrofos were less toxic to the spiders than were CPMC, bufencad, fenthion, and PM. Fenthion was much more toxic to *Lycosa* than were fenitrothion and methyl parathion, which were commonly used for rice stem borer control.

In a laboratory study of contact toxicity of insecticides currently recommended for rice insect control, Chiu and Cheng (1976) found that *Lycosa* was generally more susceptible to the insecticides than was *Oedothorax* (Table 5). The carbamates tested were as toxic or more toxic than organophosphates. Of all insecticides tested, Hokbal EC., bufencarb, and carbofuran showed highest contact toxicity to the spiders. Compounds with lower toxicity were acephate, monocrotophos, MTMC EC., and sevin WP. All insecticides tested,

Table 5. The contact toxicity of insecticides on predators of the brown planthopper^a (Chiu and Cheng 1976).

Insecticides	Concn (%)	Corrected mortality (%)		
		<i>Lycosa Pseudoannulata</i>	<i>Oedothorax insecticeps</i>	<i>Cyrtorhinus lividipennis</i>
<i>Organophosphates</i>				
50% Fenthion EC	0.05	30	37	100
47% Parathion EC	0.047	30	25	100
40% Vamidothion S	0.05	35	21	85
50% Phosmet WP	0.05	30	20	100
50% Fenthion EC	0.05	15	26	100
75% Acephate SP	0.05	10	15	80
60% Monocrotophos	0.03	5	21	95
5% Disulfoton G	36 kg/ha.	25	8	—
5% Disulfoton G	18 kg/ha.	15	3	—
<i>Carbamates</i>				
40% Bufencorb EC	0.05	90	21	100
25% Metalvariate 2 EC	0.027	80	25	100
30% MTMC EC	0.038	10	0	100
40% BPMP WP	0.05	35	65	100
50% MPMC WP	0.025	45	15	90
50% MIPC WP	0.025	35	15	100
50% Promecarb WP	0.05	30	5	85
50% Propoxus	0.05	25	20	100
50% CPMC WP	0.025	20	20	85
85% Sevin WP	0.05	15	10	100
50% MTMC WP	0.025	20	0	90
90% Methomy 1 WP	0.05	5	26	80
3% Carbofuran G	60 kg/ha.	95	35	—

^aTwenty spiders or 40 mirids for each treatment with 2 replications. The spiders were forced to crawl over a chemical-solution film in a large petri dish for 10 minutes then were transferred individually to glass tube and fed with second or third instar nymphs of the brown planthopper. Mortality corrected by Abbott's formula.

except acephate and methomyl, were highly toxic to the mirid hopper predator, *C. lividipennis*.

Cheng et al (1973–75 unpubl.) estimated the rice yield loss caused by insect pests at 12 sites in Taiwan and the effect of frequency of insecticide application on natural enemies. Their results showed more predacious spiders and more egg parasitism in unprotected plots than in plots where insecticides were applied as needed, and fewer spiders and less parasitism in plots where insecticides were applied at 15-day intervals. Frequent insecticide applications apparently reduced the population density of natural enemies of rice crop pests. Similar results were obtained by Chiu et al (Table 6).

Indirect effects of insecticides on predators

Early advocates of integrated control of crop pests stressed the usefulness of compounds that act as systemic insecticides toxic to plant-feeding pests but not appreciably affecting their natural enemies; many experiments have demonstrated the selectivity of systemic toxicants. However, significant mortality of predators, not only from direct toxicity but also from the ingestion of prey

Table 6. Effect of insecticide thru treatments^a on population densities of brown planthopper and two predacious spiders in paddy fields (1974–1975) (Chiu et al, unpubl.).

Insect	Insects ^b (no /hill)											
	First crop						Second crop					
	1974			1975			1974			1975		
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C
	<i>Taipei</i>											
BPH	1.0	1.4	2.6	0.3	0.4	1.2	1.7	2.4	11.5	0.8	4.4	3.3
Spider	1.0	1.4	1.7	0.6	0.9	1.1	0.7	0.9	1.5	0.4	0.7	1.2
	<i>Hsinchu</i>											
BPH	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.2	0.4	1.9	0.2	1.1	1.9	0.4	3.5	9.6
Spider	1.1	1.1	1.3	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.7	2.4	0.3	0.8	1.0

^a A = insecticides applied on a schedule at 15–20 days intervals, 5–6 applications per crop, B = insecticides were applied as needed, C = no insecticide. ^b Numbers per hill of brown planthopper and predacious spiders, *Lycosa* and *Oedothorax*, shown are averages of 5 samplings at 20-day intervals.

that had taken up the insecticides in more recent studies, was observed (Kiritani and Kawahara 1973; Chiu and Cheng 1976).

Although predators may be killed by secondary poisoning from contaminated prey, little is known about the fate of some toxicants inside the body of the prey and the factors that determine subsequent toxicity to predators. Kiritani and Kawahara (1973) studied in detail the fate and effect of BHC passing through a food-chain from irrigated soil to rice plants, then to rice hoppers, and finally to *Lycosa* spiders.

Chiu and Cheng (1976) reported the toxicity of insecticides commonly used for rice-insect control to the predators of planthoppers. Their data indicated that carbofuran G and fensulfothion G were more toxic than lindane G to *Lycosa*; other insecticides—acephate, disulfoton, and fenthion—had low toxicity to spiders through their prey (Table 7). Chu et al (1976a) studied the insecticidal effects of BPMC and propoxur on the predatory ability of *Lycosa*. The results showed that the amount of predation carried out in 6 days by *Lycosa* that survived after feeding on BPMC and propoxur treated hoppers was about 85% of the predation of spiders fed on unpoisoned hoppers. That indicated that BPMC and propoxur had only slight effect on *Lycosa* through its prey.

CONCLUSION

Parasitism has been generally recognized as causing less planthopper mortality than predation. Most parasitism of brown planthopper is low and appears to have limited value for checking hopper populations. However, such a limitation may be overcome by the combined effect of several parasites that attack sequentially during the development of pest insect outbreaks. Various parasites predominate at different times during a crop-growing season, and

Table 7. Effect through the food-chain of granular insecticides on predacious spiders^a (Chiu and Cheng 1976).

Insecticide	Rate (kg a.i./ha)	Mortality (%)			
		<i>L. pseudoannulata</i>		<i>O. insecticeps</i>	
		in 24 h	in 72 h	in 24 h	in 72 h
Acephate G	1.8	0	5	0	15
Disulfoton G	1.8	0	5	5	10
Fenthion G	1.8	5	10	5	15
Propoxar G	1.8	10	20	5	10
Bufencarb G	1.8	25	30	5	10
Lindane G	2.1	20	70	10	35
Fensulfothion G	1.5	40	90	20	25
Carbofuran G	1.8	100	100	25	50

^aEach treatment consisted of 20 spiders and was replicated twice. The insecticide was applied to irrigation water. Twenty second to third instar brown planthopper nymphs and one spider were caged on a potted rice plant 5 days after insecticide application. ^bCorrected^b by Abbott's formula.

attack the BPH at different developmental stages. Combined egg-parasitism rates exceeded 90% or approached 100% in many localities in Thailand (Nishida et al 1976). The combined egg-parasitism rate of BPH was also recorded as about 80.1% in Taiwan (Lin 1974) and 79.4% in Sri Lanka (Fujimura and Somasunderam, unpubl.). Otake (1976b) also pointed out that natural parasitism may have been underestimated because sampling and calculating techniques were inadequate. It is suggested that even if parasitism is not consistently high throughout a crop season, it may be more important for biological control of brown planthopper than has been thought in the past.

Predation is considered to be more important than parasitism for controlling brown planthopper population; the idea is supported by the abundant fauna of spiders and the existence of other predators. However, a current problem is how to conserve and augment natural enemies. Stapley (1975) indicated that the activity of *C. lividipennis* was increased where the grass *Digitaria* was grown. Fallowed paddy fields were also favorable for the multiplication of the predator. An important recommended practice is the maintenance of the natural population of predators through use of selective insecticides for rice insect pest control.

A better understanding of the responses of natural enemies of BPH to insecticides could eventually lead to better prognosis and better use of natural enemies in management of the insect pest. Experience has indicated that when chemical control is overemphasized, it can disrupt the balance between natural enemies and hoppers, causing heavy losses of the natural enemies and quick resurgence of the pest. When a rice pest management program is established, it would be desirable to apply insecticide less frequently and more critically than usually has been done. The use of selective insecticides and low application rates for brown planthopper control is one way to minimize the detrimental side effects on the environment, including the destruction of natural enemies.

Kiritani (1975) advocated that the effectiveness of insecticide control be assessed, not in terms of percentage killed, but in terms of degree to which the injury or damage caused by insect pests is decreased as measured by yield or crop quality. We must begin applying insecticides on the basis of necessity, and do away with routinary regularly scheduled treatments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to Drs. Keizo Yasumatsu (Japanese International Cooperation Agency, Thailand), Keizi Kiritani (Kochi Inst. Agric. Forestry, Sci., Japan), Eizi Kuno (Kyoto Univ., Japan), Akio Otake (Tropical Agricultural Research Center, Japan), and B. P. Gabiel (University of the Philippines, College of Agriculture) for reprints; to Drs. V. A. Dyck (IRRI) and K. L. Heong (Malaysia Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Malaysia) for allowing the of their unpublished manuscripts; to Drs. E. A. Heinrichs (IRRI), C. S. Li (CSIRO, Australia), H. E. Fernando (CARI, Sri Lanka), and to J. S. Park (Inst. Agric. Sci., Korea) for sending valuable information; and to Dr. R. I. Row (AVRDC) for reading my manuscript.

REFERENCES CITED

- ABRAHAM, C. C., K. P. MATHEW, and N. M. DAS. 1973. New record of *Coccinella arcuata* Fabricius (Col.: Coccinellidae) as a predator of *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål in Kerala. Agric. Res. J. Kerala 11:75.
- AOKI, K. 1957. Insect pathology [In Japanese]. Gihodo, Tokko. 493 p.
- ASAHINA, S., T. WONGSIRI, and A. NAGATOMI. 1972. The paddy field Odonata taken at Bangkhen, Bangkok, Mushi 46: 107-109.
- BAE, S. H., and M. D. PATHAK. 1966. A mirid bug, *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* Reuter, predator of the eggs and nymphs of the brown planthopper. Int. Rice Comm. Newsl. 15: 33-36.
- BAE, S. H., and M. D. PATHAK. 1968. Effectiveness of egg-nymphal predation by a mirid bug, *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* Reuter, for control of the brown planthopper. Korean J. Plant Prot. 5/6:55-58.
- CHAN, C. B., and L. S. JU. 1951. A preliminary study of *Cyrtorhinus mundulus* Bred in Taiwan [in Chinese]. Sugarcane Res. 5:51-58.
- CHIU, S. C., and C. H. CHENG. 1976. Toxicity of some insecticides commonly used for rice insects control to the predators of rice-hoppers [in Chinese]. Plant Prot. Bull. (Taiwan) 18:256-267.
- CHIU, S. C., Y. I. CHU, and Y. H. LUNG. 1974. The life history and some bionomic notes on a spider, *Oedothorax insecticeps* Boes. et Str. (Micryphantidae: Araneae) [in Chinese]. Plant Prot. Bull. (Taiwan) 16:153-161.
- CHIU, S. C., and Y. H. LUNG. 1975. Predators of rice hoppers *Cyrtorhinus mundulus* Bred. & *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* Reut. [in Chinese]. Plant Prot. Bull. (Taiwan) 17:452 (Abstr.)
- CHU, Y. I., and C. OKLMA. 1970. Preliminary survey on the spider fauna of the paddy fields in Taiwan, Mushi 44:65-88.
- CHU, Y. I., and C. T. WANG. 1972. Studies on the *Lycosa pseudoannulata* (Boes. et Str.) (Araneae: Lycosidae) (I) External features and habits [in Chinese]. Plant Prot. Bull. (Taiwan) 14: 169-174.
- CHU, Y. I., and S. T. WANG. 1973. Studies on the feeding habits of *Lycosa pseudoannulata* (Boes. et Str.) [in Chinese] Plant Prot. Bull. (Taiwan) 15:13-20.
- CHU, Y. I., C. C. HO, and B. J. CHEN. 1976a. The effect of BPMC and Uden on the predation of *Lycosa* spider [In Chinese]. Plant Prot. Bull. (Taiwan) 18:42-57.
- CHU, Y. I., D. S. LIN, and T. MU. 1976b. Relative toxicity of 9 Insecticides against rice insect

- pests and their predators [in Chinese]. *Plant Prot. Bull. (Taiwan)* 18: 369–376.
- CHL, Y. I., D. S. LIN, and T. Mu. 1976c. The effect of Padan, Ofunack and Sumithion on the feeding amount of *Lycosa pseudoannulata* (Boes. et Str.) and *Oedothorax insecticeps* Boes. et Str. (Lycosidae and Micryphantidae, Arachnida) [in Chinese]. *Plant Prot. Bull. (Taiwan)* 18: 377–390.
- CROFT, B., and A. W. A. BROWN. 1975. Responses of arthropod natural enemies to insecticides. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 20:285–335.
- ESAKI, T. 1932. Natural enemies of rice hoppers [in Japanese]. *Oyo-Dobuts Zasshi* 4: 128–130.
- ESAKI, T., and S. HASHIMOTO. 1930. Report on the leafhoppers injurious to the rice plant and their natural enemies (1) [in Japanese]. *Entomol. Lab., Dep. Agric., Kyushu Imp. Univ., Publ.* 1. 30 p.
- ESAKI, T., and S. HASHIMOTO. 1931. Report on the leafhoppers injurious to the rice plant and their natural enemies (2) [in Japanese]. *Entomol. Lab., Dep. Agric., Kyushu Imp. Univ., Publ.* 2. 59 p.
- ESAKI, T., and S. HASHIMOTO. 1932. Report on the leafhoppers injurious to the rice plant and their natural enemies (3) [in Japanese]. *Entomol. Lab., Dep. Agric., Kyushu Imp. Univ., Publ.* 3. 42 p.
- ESAKI, T., and S. HASHIMOTO. 1933. Report on the leafhoppers injurious to the rice plant and their natural enemies (4) [in Japanese]. *Entomol. Lab., Dep. Agric., Kyushu Imp. Univ., Publ.* 4. 32 p.
- ESAKI, T., and S. HASHIMOTO. 1936. Report on the leafhoppers injurious to the rice plant and their natural enemies (7) [in Japanese]. *Entomol. Lab., Dep. Agric., Kyushu Imp. Univ., Publ.* 7. 31 p.
- ESAKI, T., and M. MOCHIZUKI. 1941. Report on the leafhoppers injurious to the rice plant and their natural enemies (12) [in Japanese]. *Entomol. Lab., Dep. Agric., Kyushu Imp. Univ., Publ.* 12. 33 p.
- FAO (FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS). 1975. Integrated rice pest control. Report to the Government of Thailand. FAO No. TA 3325. 59 p.
- FERNANDO, H. E. 1975. The brown planthopper problem in Sri Lanka. *Rice Entomol. Newsl.* 2:34–36.
- FUKUDA, K. 1934. Studies on *Liburnia oryzae* Mats. [in Japanese]. *Bull. Gov. Res. Inst. Formosa* 99:1–19,
- GABRIEL, B. P. 1968. Entomogenous microorganisms in the Philippines: new and past records. *Philipp. Entomol.* 1:97–130.
- GABRIEL, B. P. 1970. Additional records on the microbiota of Philippine insects. *Philipp. Entomol.* 1:465–472.
- HABU, A. 1958. Carabidae feeding upon the Delphacid insects [in Japanese]. *Kontyu* 26:160.
- HINCKLEY, A. D. 1963. Ecology and control of rice planthoppers in Fiji. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 54:467–481.
- IMAMURA, S. 1932. Mermithidae parasitic on *Chilo simplex* Butl. and leafhoppers (2) [in Japanese]. *Oyo-Dobuts Zasshi* 4:176–180.
- IRRI (INTERNATIONAL RICE RESEARCH INSTITUTE). 1973. Annual report for 1972. Los Baños, Philippines. 246 p.
- IRRI (INTERNATIONAL RICE RESEARCH INSTITUTE). 1974. Annual report for 1973. Los Baños, Philippines. 266 p.
- IRRI (INTERNATIONAL RICE RESEARCH INSTITUTE). 1975. Annual report for 1974. Los Baños, Philippines. 384 p.
- IRRI (INTERNATIONAL RICE RESEARCH INSTITUTE). 1976. Annual report for 1975. Los Baños, Philippines. 479 p.
- ISRAEL, P., and P. S. PRAKASA RAO. 1968. *Harmonia arcuata* Fabricius (Coccinellidae)-predatory on the rice planthoppers *Sogatella furcifera* Horvath and *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål. *Curr. Sci.* 37: 367–368.
- KABURAKI, T., and S. IMAMURA. 1932. Mermithid-worm parasitic in leafhoppers, with notes on its life history and habits. *Proc. Imp. Acad.* 8: 139–141.

- KAWAHARA, S., K. KIRITANI, T. SASABA, F. NAKASUJI, and C. OKUMA. 1969. Seasonal changes in abundance and the faunal composition of spiders in the paddy field. with special reference to their relation to the seasonal prevalence of the green rice leafhopper, *Nephotettix cincticeps* Uhler. Proc. Assoc. Plant Prot. Sikoku 4:33-44.
- KAYASHIMA, I. 1960. Studies on spiders as natural enemies of crop pests (1) Daily activities of spiders in the cabbage fields, establishment of spiders liberated in the fields, and evaluation of the effectiveness of spiders against crop pests. Sci. Bull. Fac. Agric. Kyushu Univ. 18:1-24.
- KIFUNE, T., and Y. HIRASHIMA. 1975. A new species of the genus *Elenchus* from Thailand (Strepsiptera: Elenchidae) (Notulae Strepsipterologicae-II). Mushi 48:145-148.
- KIRITANI, K. 1972. Strategy in Integrated control of rice pests. Rev. Plant Prot. Res. 5:76-104.
- KIRITANI, K. 1975. Pesticides and ecosystems [in Japanese]. J. Pestic. Sci. Inaugural Issue: 69-75.
- KIRITANI, K., S. KAWAHARA, T. SASABA, and F. NAKASUJI. 1971. An attempt of rice pest control by integration of pesticides and natural enemies [in Japanese]. Gensei 22: 19-23.
- KIRITANI, K., S. KAWAHARA, T. SASABA, and F. NAKASUJI. 1971. Quantitative evaluation of predation by spiders on the green rice leafhopper, *Nephotettix cincticeps* Uhler, by a sight-count method. Res. Popul Ecol. 13: 187-200.
- KIRITANI, K., and S. KAWAHARA. 1973. Food-chain toxicity of granular formulations of Insecticides to a predator *Lycosa pseudoannulata* of *Nephotettix cincticeps*. Botyu-Kagaku 38: 69-75.
- KOBAYASHI, T. 1961. The effect of insecticide applications against the rice stem borer on the leafhopper populations [in Japanese] Special research reports on disease and insect forecasting. Tokushima Agric. Exp. Stn. 6:126.
- KUNO, E. 1973. Population ecology of rice leafhoppers in Japan. Rev. Plant Prot. Res. 6: 1-16.
- KUNO, E., and N. HOKYO. 1970. Comparative analysis of the population dynamics of rice leafhoppers, *Nephotettix cincticeps* Uhler and *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål. with special reference to natural regulation of their numbers. Res. Popul Ecol. 12:154-184.
- LEI, H. C., and C. H. WANG. 1958. Studies on *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål in Hunan [in Chinese]. Acta Econ. Entomol. Sinica 1:283-313.
- LIM, G. S. 1974. Potential for the biological control of rice Insect pests. Paper presented at the International Rice Research Conference, International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines. 34 p. (mimeo.)
- LIN, K. S. 1974. Notes on some natural enemies of *Nephotettix cincticeps* (Uhler) and *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) in Taiwan [in Chinese]. J. Taiwan Agric. Res. 2.3:91-115.
- MACQUILLAN, M. J. 1968. An insecticidal application for integrated control of the brown planthopper in paddy rice. J. Econ. Entomol. 61 :568-569.
- MACQUILLAN, M. J. 1974. Influence of crop husbandry on rice planthoppers (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) in the Solomon Island. Agro-Ecosystem 1 : 339-358.
- MİYASHITA, K. 1963. Outbreaks and population fluctuation of insects, with special reference to agricultural insect pests in Japan. Bull. Natl. Inst. Agric. Sci., Ser. C, 15:99-170.
- MOCHIDA, O., and T. OKADA. 1973. Supplementary notes to a list of the Delphacidae (Homoptera) in Japan with special reference to host plants, transmission of plant diseases and natural enemies. Kontyu 41:166-169
- MOCHIDA, O., and V. A. DYCK. 1976. General bionomics of the brown planthoppers, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) Paper presented at the International Rice Research Conference, International Rice Research Institute. Los Baños, Philippines. 15 p. (mimeo.)
- MUNROE, D. D. 1975. Parasites of rice leafhoppers and planthoppers in Sarawak (Malaysian Borneo). Rice Entomol. Newsl. 2:43-44.
- NISHIDA, T., T. WONGSIRI, and N. WONGSIRI. 1976. Species composition, population trends and egg parasitism of planthopper and leafhopper rice pests of Thailand. FAO Plant Prot. Bull. 24:22-26.
- OKADA, T. 1971. An entomophthoraceous fungus, *Conidiobolus* sp., separated from planthoppers, *Laodelphax striatellus* (Fallen) and *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) [in Japanese]. Proc. Assoc. Plant Prot. Kyushu 17:107-110.
- OTAKE, A. 1967. Studies on the egg parasites of the smaller brown planthopper, *Laodelphax*

- striatellus* (Fallen) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). I. A device for assessing the parasitic activity, and the results obtained in 1966. Bull. Shikoku Agric. Exp. Stn. 17:91-103.
- OTAKE, A. 1970a. Studies on the egg parasites of the smaller brown planthopper, *Laodelphax striatellus* (Fallen) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). IV. Seasonal trends in parasitic and dispersal activities, with special referenceto *Anagrus* nr. *flaveolus* Waterhouse (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 5:95-104.
- OTAKE, A. 1970b. Estimation of the parasitism by *Anagrus* nr. *flaveolus* Waterhouse (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). Entomophaga 15:83-92.
- OTAKE, A. 1976a. Trapping of *Anagrus* nr. *flaveolus* Waterhouse (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) by the eggs of *Laodelphax striatellus* (Fallen) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). Physiol. Ecol. Jpn. 17: 473-475.
- OTAKE, A. 1976b. Natural enemies of the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) Trop. Agric. Res. Cent., Japan. (mimeo.)
- OTAKE, A., and N. HOKYO. 1976. Rice plant- and leafhopper incidence in Malaysia and Indonesia. Report of a research tour January to March 1976. Shiryō, Trop. Agric. Res. Cent. No. 33. (in press)
- OTAKE, A., P. H. SOMASUNDARUM, and M. B. ABEYKOON. 1976. Studies on populations of *Sogatella furcifera* Horvath and *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) and their parasites in Sri Lanka. Appl. Entomol. Zool. (in press)
- PADUA, L. E., and B. P. GABRIEL. 1975. Coconut water as culture medium for *Entomophthora coronata*. Philipp. J. Biol. 4:17-22.
- PAIK, W. H., J. NAMKOONG, and H. K. KIM. 1974. A list of spiders collected in the rice paddy at Milyang. Korean J. Plant Prot. 13:24.
- PATHAK, P. K., and S. P. SAHA. 1976. Mirids as predators of *Sogatella furcifera* and *Nilaparvata lugens* in India. Rice Entomol. Newsl. 4:20-21.
- PAWAR, A. D. 1975. *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* Reuter (Miridae-Hemiptera) as a predator of the eggs and nymphs of the brown planthoppers and green leafhoppers in Himachal Pradesh, India. Rice Entomol. Newsl. 3:30-31.
- SAKAI, K. 1932. On the increase and decrease in a year of the enemies of rice leafhoppers near Oita. Kyushu (prel. rep.) [in Japanese]. Oyo-Dobuts Zasshi 4:124-127.
- SAMAL, P., and B. C. MISRA. 1975. Spiders: the most effective natural enemies of the brown planthopper in rice. Rice Entomol. Newsl. 3:31.
- SASABA, T., K. KIRITANI, and S. KAWAHARA. 1970. Assessment of the predatory ability of spiders for comparative purposes [in Japanese]. Jpn. J. Entomol. Zool. 14: 144-146.
- SASABA, T., K. KIRITANI, and S. KAWAHARA. 1973. Food preference of *Lycosa* in paddy fields [in Japanese]. Bull. Kochi Inst. Agric. Sci. 5:61-64.
- SASAJI, H. 1968. Coccinellidae collected in the paddy field of the Orient, with descriptions of new species (Coleoptera). Mushi 42:119-132.
- SHIMAZU, M. 1976. *Entomophthora delphacis* isolated from the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (in Japanese) Jpn. J. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 20:144-150.
- STAPLEY, J. H. 1975. The problem of the brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*) on rice in the Solomon Islands. Rice Entomol. Newsl. 2:37.
- STAPLEY, J. H. 1976. The brown planthopper and *Cyrtorhinus* spp. predators in the Solomon Islands. Rice Entomol. Newsl. 4:15-16.
- SUENAGA, H. 1963. Analytical studies on the ecology of two species of planthoppers, the white back planthopper (*Sogatella furcifera* Horvath) and the brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål). with special reference to their outbreaks [in Japanese]. Bull. Kyushu Agric. Exp. Stn. 8:1-152.
- SUENAGA, H., and S. TAKEUCHI. 1952. Ecology of *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* and its seasonal prevalence. Pages 21-26 in The 1951 report on rice planthoppers and their natural enemies [in Japanese]. Hainuzuka, 2nd Lab. Entomol., Kyushu Agric. Exp. Stn. (mimeo.)

- SWEZEY, O. H. 1936. Biological control of the sugar cane leafhopper in Hawaii. *Hawaii Plant Res.* 49:57-101.
- WAN, M. T. K. 1972. Observations on rice leaf and plant hoppers in Sarawak (Malaysian Borneo). *Malays. Agric. J.* 48:308-335.
- YASUMATSU, K., and C. WATANABE. 1965. A tentative catalogue of Insect natural enemies of injurious insects in Japan. Part 2. Host parasite-predator catalogue. *Fac. Agric., Kyushu Univ., Japan* : 7-8.
- YASUMATSU, K., T. WONGSIRI, S. NAVAVICHIT, and C. TIRAWAT. 1975. Approaches toward an integrated control of rice pests. Part I. Survey of natural enemies of important rice pests in Thailand. *Plant Prot. Serv. Tech. Bull., No. 24, Dep. Agric., Minist. Agric., Thai and UNDP 9 /FAO THA 68/526.*